APPENDIX I TAB R

In The Matter Of:

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

> Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

Filius & McLucas Reporting Service, Inc. 1427 East Market Street, York, PA 4309 Linglestown Road, Harrisburg, PA

(717) 845-6418 or (717) 236-0623

Original File BS051905.TXT, 201 Pages Min-U-Script® File ID: 0941183289

Word Index included with this Min-U-Script®

[1]	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[1] INDEX
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT	WITNESS
	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PE	NNSYLVANIA	(2)
Z]		N 86a	Examination
3) T	AMMY KITZMILLER, et al., . Civil AC	iou iao.	1931
	Plaintiffs . 04-CV-2688		[3] BERTHA E. SPAHR
4)	vs. (JUDGE JONES)		
_	vs. (JUDGE JONES)		[4] Pv Mr Gillen 3
[5]	DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT,		By Mr, Gillen 3
(6)	et al.,		(5)
f-1	Delendants .		(6)
[7]			EXHIBITS
(8)			(7)
[9]	Deposition of: BERTHA E. SPAHI	3	B, Spahr Deposition Page
[10]	Taken by	: Detendants	(6) Exhibit Numbers Marked
[11]	Date .	: May 19, 2005, 10:11 a.m. : Two School Lane	[9] 1. Documents Labeled Research 121
[12]	Place	. 140 30 00 00	[10] 2. Handwritten Notes 159
	Dover, Pennsylvania		(11)
[13]	efore	: Bethann M. Mulay, Notary Public	[12]
(14)	Registered Professional Rej		(13)
[15]			[14]
[16]	APPEARANCES:		[15]
[17]	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNIO	N OF PENNSYLVANIA	(16)
	By: PAULA KNUDSEN, ESQ.		107
[18]	CO. L. Mills		[18]
	For - Plaintills		(118)
[18]	THOMAS MORE LAW CENTER		$\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}$
[50]	By: PATRICK T. GILLEN, ESQ.		[20]
[21]	For - Defendants		[21]
[22]	KILLIAN & GEPHART, LLP		[22]
,	By: JANE GOWEN PENNY, ES	SQ.	[23]
[23]			[24]
	For - Bertha E. Spalv		25)
(24)	ALSO PRESENT: Michael Baksa		
[25]	D Distrib		(1) STIPULATION
··			12) It is hereby stipulated by and between
			[3] counsel for the respective parties that
			[4] sealing, certification and filing are hereby
			[5] waived; and all objections except as to the
			69 form of the question are reserved to the time
	•		η of trial.
			(8)
			BERTHA E. SPAHR, called as a witness,
			having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
			BY MR. GILLEN:
			[12] Q: Good morning, Mrs. Spahr, I've introduced
	÷		myself to you off the record. I'll do it again
		•	114] for the purpose of the record. My name is
		•	[15] Patrick Gillen, and I'm an attorney for the
			(15) Patrick Guich, and I an automorphore this
			defendants in this case. And as you know, this
	•		is the time and place set for your deposition
			which is my opportunity to ask you questions
			under oath, get your answers, and as I see it
			pasically to get your side of the story.
			ny tanàna diamana hasa Deonie
			have different viewpoints as to what happened
			23) and so on. This is my chance to find out what
			23) and so on. This is my chance to find out
	•		The second formation of this process IDSI
			1 nere are a few facets of this process that

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 6

Bertha E. Spai	11
4ay 19, 2005	
	-

(1) are sort of unique. The first is that Beth 12) transcribes our exchange which places a premium 131 on verbal answers to questions. And it's 41 surprising how often we don't respond verbally. [5] So throughout the process, please try and is respond to my questions with yes or no or an [7] explanation but not some of the things that we (8) generally do like head nods or uh-huh or m gestures that are difficult for Beth to record. Relatedly, the exchange and the desire to [11] record places a premium on complete sentences. [12] If you would allow me to finish my questions [13] before you begin to answer, you may well know [14] what I'm getting at and begin to answer, but it [15] makes the transcript a little difficult to [16] follow, so please allow me to finish. I frankly (17) confess that's a little difficult for me because [18] sometimes I pause when I'm asking a question, [19] but do your best. The process also tends to lay bare the [21] imprecision of human communication. You may [22] find some of my questions unclear and not know what I'm trying to ask. If that's the case, let

By the same token, sometimes it's difficult [2] for me to understand your answer. If I'm asking [3] you questions to follow up, it's not for the μ purpose of harassing you. I just want to make (5) sure where you're coming from and what you're

[24] me know, and I'll do my best to clarify my ps question and make it more precise.

The deposition process is not an endurance (8) contest. If at any time you would like to take (9) a break, please let me know, and we'll do that. [10] Likewise, my purpose today is not to harass you in or make you feel uncomfortable. If I'm asking [12] you a question that makes you feel 113) uncomfortable, please let me know, and I'll do [14] my best to respect your sensitivity consistent [15] with my duty. I think that covers sort of the (16) preliminary matters.

Have you ever been deposed before? 117)

A: No.

Q: As we sit here today, Mrs. Spahr, are you on any go medication that might impair your ability to

[21] perceive and respond to my questions?

A: None.

Q: If we look at the period from say January 2004, [24] has there been any time during that period where 251 you've been on medication that might impair your

(1) ability to perceive?

Page 4

A: I have never been on medication.

Q: Excellent. Do you have any handicaps that make

in difficult for you to perceive, recollect,

s respond?

A: No, I do not. [6]

Q: That's good. A few preliminary questions about

[8] anyone- Well, first, would you state your full

(9) name for the record.

A: My name is Bertha E. Spahr. M

Q: And your current address?

A: 1385 Grandview Road in Spring Grove, 1121

[13] Pennsylvania.

Q: How would you prefer that I address you for

(15) purposes of this deposition?

A: You may address me as Bert which is my nickname

1171 and appears on several— But my— Most people

nel in my department call me Bert. My first name is

ne Bertha That's fine.

Q: I appreciate that. You've come up frequently as

[21] Bert, so it will be easier for me to do that if

122] you don't mind. And for my part, call me Pat if

23) you have a question that you want to direct to

[24] me, although I ask the questions, but if we need

[25] to talk, please use my first name.

Page 5

Page 7 Let me ask you, did you speak with anyone-

[2] Well, I see that you've retained counsel today,

(3) COTTect?

[1]

A: That's correct. [4]

Q: Other than your counsel, have you spoken with [5]

is anyone in preparation for this deposition?

A: Certain members of my department. We happen to 171

eat lunch together.

Q: When was that?

A: Well, most of this week, most of last week. I

[11] mean, we did not specifically sit down to

(12) discuss anything there, but members of my

[13] department would say to me, well, when exactly

[14] is it that the department is to be deposed, and

[15] we would say Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday of

ne this week. Did we discuss issues, the answer is

[17] DO.

Q: That's what I was going to ask you, did you put [18]

[19] your heads together to try to recollect events?

[20]

Q: How about apart from your colleagues in the [21]

221 science department, did you speak with anyone

[23] else in preparation for the deposition?

A: No.1 had several members of the staff ask us

[25] when the depositions were to occur, but that was

Page 8

- m the extent of the discussion.
- [2] Q: How about reviewing documents in preparation for
- 131 the deposition, did you review documents?
- A: I looked over my time line which I have in front
- (5) of me. I looked over the statement that I read
- [6] to the board in October, I looked over the
- 71 history of the ordering of the textbooks. And 1
- (a) also looked over the curriculum in relationship
- 191 to the Pennsylvania state standards.
- [10] Q: I take it that you've provided all those
- [11] documents in response to the subpoena?
- 1121 A: Yes, we did.
- [13] Q: Thank you very much. Just with respect to that
- [14] subpoena, Bert, it seems to me that my
- (15) understanding is that science department
- [16] teachers as a whole collected information as a
- [17] group which they provided in one set.
- [18] A: That is correct.
- 119 Q: For the most part.
- A: That is correct.
- Q: And then today you have kindly provided me with
- [22] some additional materials, a small clip of
- (23) documents, which reflect communications with
- pq news media personnel?
- 25 A: That is correct.

Page 9

- Q: And some other materials in a box there which I
- 12] understand to be e-mails that came to Dover
- [3] schools relating to this dispute which you got
- [4] off the computer system?
- [5] A: I was given them, hard copies from the website.
- [6] Some of them came to me personally at my
- m address, but they are all of the e-mails that I
- (a) received concerning this issue.
- [9] Q: Just to be sure on that score, have you checked
- [10] your home computer for any documents that might
- [11] relate to the-
- [12] A: I do not use my home computer for anything
- [13] related to school.
- [14] Q: Good. So you're pretty sure that this is a
- us complete collection of documents?
- [16] A: To the best of my knowledge.
- [17] Q: Again, just to be sure, did you talk with any of
- [18] the plaintiffs in this case in preparation for
- [19] your deposition?
- A: Not in preparation for the deposition. I have
- [21] spoken to Mr. Bryan Rehm on occasion. He was a
- 122) former physics teacher here. And we were
- looking for pieces of equipment that were in his
- 124 room when he left. I could not find them. So I
- 1251 spoke to him to ask in which closet they may be

- in found. But it did not involve anything related
 - 12) to this.
 - (3) Q: To make sure I understand, Mr. Rehm left the
 - (4) school district?
 - is A: At the end of last year.
 - [6] Q: Have you spoken with him since that time about
 - 77 the subject matter of this litigation by which I
 - [8] mean the purchase of the biology text, the text
 - [9] Of Pandas, the changes to the biology
 - no curriculum?
 - [11] A: The only thing I have spoken to him on, and it
 - [12] was a call from him to me, it had to do with his
 - running for the school board election, and that
 - was the issue that was discussed at the time,
 - would my son who lives in the district put a
 - ne sign in his yard.
 - Q: Apart from that exchange, anything else?
 - ne A: No.
 - [19] Q: Now, I understand from Jen Miller that you have
 - [20] spoken with plaintiffs' counsel in this case.
 - [21] Is that correct?
 - [22] A: That is correct.
 - Q: Tell me when you spoke with them.
 - [24] A: Well, spoke or you mean via e-mail?
 - Q: Well, very good. Let me make my question more

Page 11

- n precise, communicated with plaintiffs' counsel.
- A: The earliest time that I communicated with Eric
- [3] Rothschild involved November, He had contacted
- [4] me and indicated that there were some parents
- is who were concerned in the district, would I
- [6] supply him with some information that I had
- n obviously through my department gathered, and he
- [8] requested that I send that information to him,
- g which I did so.
- [10] Q: What did you send him at that time?
- A: There is a communication that you have in your
- (12) documents that lists it all. I have it here in
- my own binder. If you would like me to, I will
- [14] get it out and read it to you.
- (15) Q: Well, if you have something that would refresh
- list your recollection, please do.
- (17) A: It is dated 11/8/04. It says, I sent the
- [18] Pennsylvania state standards for teaching
- [19] evolution, my filed materials regarding the
- whole curriculum development leading to the ID
- resolution, the draft of the guidelines for
- 1221 teaching ID given to teachers by Mr. Baksa, the
- textbook analysis of the Panda book, and the
- 18th statement that I made at the October 18th
- meeting of the school board and the revised

in board policy on curriculum development.

- Q: Bert, it appears you've produced all those
- documents to me, correct?
- A: That's correct.
- Q: Did you have any conversations with
- [6] Mr. Rothschild about those materials?
- A: He did ask me on several occasions to clarify
- [8] what was written because we sometimes write
- m notes on the side, and, you know, two to three
- [10] years down the road trying to explain some of
- in those notes to someone else. He did ask me
- [12] about the clarification of what we perceive to
- 113] be the change in the policy where before there
- (14) was input from five different groups when the
- [15] curriculum was changed. And in October the
- [16] curriculum was changed virtually by the board
- 117) and was basically given to us. He did ask about
- (18) the past practice.
- Q: It seems your focus or he was focused on the 120) policy-making process?
- A: Yes. [21]
- Q: Apart from that, Bert, have you spoken with
- [23] Mr. Rothschild about the subject matter of this
- [24] litigation?
 - A: Yes.

Page 13

- Q: Tell me, what else have you spoken to him about?
- A: Most recently he called me and asked me to
- respond to the accuracy to a memo that came from
- [4] Dr. Peterman, were the contents of that memo
- [5] accurate, and I responded to him to the best of
- [6] my knowledge, yes, they were.
- Q: Anything clse?
- A: That was the most recent one. One time he did
- of call us, the members of the science department,
- (10) he had basically stopped to see us again about
- [11] something. And they went to a board meeting,
- 12 and we all sat together to basically determine (13) the nature of the community and the nature of
- 114) the board at a board meeting.
- Q: Do you think that was in December 2004?
- A: It was at the end of the year. I don't know if
- 117] it was in November or December specifically.
- Q: Anything else? (181
- A: Not that I can think of.
- Q: How about any other plaintiffs' counsel?
- A: We met with Paula. As a matter of fact, two
- (22) different contingents of the science department
- 23 met with Paula concerning some clarification of
- 124] issues, and that was not too long ago. I have
- [25] to hunt my paper here.

- Q: Consulting your time line?
- A: Yes. That was on the 22nd of April, Rob, Jen, [2]
- pj and I met with Paula for background
- [4] clarification. And then on the 28th Bob Linker,
- [5] Leslie Prail met with Paula for the same reason.
- Q: On the 22nd meeting what did you discuss with 77 Paula?
- A: Certain-She had some questions concerning
- [9] background of the documents that she had
- po received. I'm not specifically sure what issues
- [11] there were but asked for our clarification or
- [12] our understanding of whatever was there.
- Q: When you referenced documents she received, are
- [14] those documents you produced in response to a
- [15] subpoena served on you by the plaintiffs? I see
- (16) you're looking at Paula.
 - A: They are the same documents that we gave to the
- [18] Thomas More Law Firm that then went to Tom
- [19] Scott, and I am assuming they then went to them.
- Q: That's the thrust of my question.
- A: I did not give it to them. [21]
- Q: Good enough. There's no secret. I just wanted [22]
- (23) to make sure it's the same set of documents
- [24] we're all looking at.
- A: I think so.

Page 15

Page 14

- Q: Well, let me ask you, who collected the
- [2] documents to hand over to Paula?
 - A: Jen Miller, Rob Eshbach, and I were given a day
 - [4] off from school to basically compile what is
- [5] basically this together, and we basically worked
- is roughly 24 hours to get it together so that it
- m was basically given to the administration, and
- m they disseminated the information.
- Q: Do you remember any particulars of your exchange
- (10) with Paula relating to the documents?
- A: Not specifically. I do not.
- Q: Did you speak with Paula, and we're referencing
- [13] Paula Knudsen, plaintiffs' counsel, did you
- [14] speak with her on more than one occasion?
- A: I believe she was in attendance when we went to
- ite the board meeting, now when that was in November
- [17] or December, and then again on the 22nd is the
- [18] only time I remember speaking to her.
- Q: How about any other plaintiffs' counsel that
- 201 you've spoken with?
- A: No.
- Q: How about any communications with the NCSE, have
- you been in contact with the National Center for
- 24 Science Education?
- A: Is that the one that's out of California?

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al. Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

Page 18

D	4	•
rage	Į	Q

Q: I believe it is. A: And Nick whatever the name is with an M?

Q: Matske.

A: Yes. Have I been in communication with him, I

[5] have received e-mails from him, and they are in

is the packet of e-mails which are in the box.

[7] Have I been in any personal communication, the (B) answer is no.

Q: Thank you. How about an organization NSTA?

A: National Science Teachers Association, I am a

un member of the National Science Teachers

[12] Association, l also received an e-mail at

(13) school from that organization, I have not yet

14) been personally in contact with them.

Q: I'm going to ask you a few questions about—

[15] Well, let me ask you, have you contacted or

177 communicated with any former board members in

[18] preparation for the deposition?

A: Not in preparation for the deposition, but I

pos have spoken to former board members.

Q: We'll get to that I guess as we go. Well, let [22] me ask you, have you spoken with former board

(2) any member of the science department had 3 videotaped the presentation that Dr. Behe did in

is on whatever that open house day was.

20 December 15 filing of the lawsuit.

A: Yes.

[22]

Q: Early in the fall of 2004?

Q: Were they printed materials?

gs, they are in the packet that you have that is

(4) the school district from, I don't know, 11 to 12

pay members since the lawsuit was filed?

A: Yes.

Q: Who have you spoken with? [25]

[1] labeled research.

Q: How about prior to that communication-We'll

3 set that aside for now. Any other

(a) communications with former board members?

A: I have spoken to Casey Brown. She had called to

[6] inquire of me, and this had nothing to do with

(7) the lawsuit, but it had to do with the biology

book. When we were basically preparing to

m select a biology book, she had some questions

[10] regarding both the new biology text that we were

[11] proposing and the new chemistry text, And I

12) answered those questions because at that point

13] she was on the curriculum committee.

Q: What were the questions that Casey Brown had

[15] about the biology text?

A: Basically what differences appeared between the

1171 1998 edition and the 2002 edition. Most often

18 she would ask of me the difference in the

(18) chemistry text because obviously biology is not

po my field of expertise.

And it had to do with her commenting on the

1221 fact that the problem presentation in the new

123] version that we wanted to get was easy enough

pay that she could understand it. And she thought gs that this would probably be very good for

Page 19

[1] students, and she was very supportive of the 2 selection of the chemistry text that we had.

Chemistry is not usually very

[4] controversial. I mean, it's pretty cut and dry

[5] with the math. But she had, you know, asked

some things as to where they differ, why we

n changed book publishers, why we changed book

m authors. And we - I was happy to point that

m out to her for clarification.

Q: How about any conversations with Angie Yingling?

A: I have never had a conversation with Angie

[12] Yingling.

Q: Jane Cleaver? [13]

A: No. [14]

Q: Noel Wenrich, have you spoken with him about the អេទា

[16] subject matter of this dispute?

A: I have spoken to Noel after a board meeting.

[18] Actually it was after the board meeting where I

[19] read my statement. He came up to me afterwards

203 and gave me a hug and said, I'm sorry things

[21] went the way they went. That was the extent of

[22] the conversation.

I have worked with Mr. Wenrich when he was [24] on the board having to do with the design of the

25) new science labs. We had discussions on where

Page 17

(7) members of the department had. I believed that in the school district had done so and she would m have to inquire as to what procedure would be to [10] get that. But that was the contact that I had [11] with Mrs. Callahan. Q: Apart from that contact with Ms. Callahan, have 1131 you had any other communications with her since [14] the lawsuit was filed? A: Not since the lawsuit was filed, I remember she 116) had sent me some information, background [17] information or research, having to do with 18 creationism and evolution and whatever, but that (19) was early in fall. That was prior to the

A: Barrie Callahan. She had called me to ask if

And I had indicated to her that none of the

Filius & McLucas Reporting Service, Inc.

A: Yes, they were printed materials. I believe

Min-U-Script®

(7) Page 16 - Page 19

sertha E. Spahr day 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

May 19, 2005	
Page 20	Page 2
n things should go, what should be the design of	[1] A: No, I did not.
athe tables. But that basically had to do with	[2] Q: Anyone in the administration?
the new building project, nothing to do with	р ₁ A: No.
y this.	[4] Q: Any shared memberships in fraternal or community
Q: So it seems you've never discussed the biology	[5] organizations with anyone that's been on the
n text, biology curriculum issue with—	Dover Area School District School Board since
n A: No.	(7) January 2000?
Q: How about any of the current board members, have	A: Would you clarify that. Are you talking about
you spoken with them personally about the	19 Lions Club?
of subject matter of this dispute, the selection of	[10] Q: Yes, things like that.
to be a server the Of Dandas text the change	[11] A: No, 1 am not, no.
in the biology text, the Or Fandas text, the change	[12] Q: How about same thing for the administration,
1. W called into exectings with the curriculum	113 same question.
A: We were caned into incertings with the curriculum committee at that	a my
	14 A: There are some professional organizations that I 15 belong to that I have no knowledge whether they
15) time was Mrs. Harkins, Mr. Buckingham, and Casey	ing belong to as well.
16] Brown. So in those meetings we certainly held	a contract the second s
ng discussions but certainly not outside the forum	[18] relationship outside your relationship as a
nej of—No.	ting teacher and member of a union with Sandy Bowser?
Q: Very good. I mean, we'll get to those, as you	A C A Description of the older members of Our
20j know.	
21 A: Right.	staff, and my primary connection to her is I am
Q: Likewise, communications with Mr. Bonsell	chair of the meet and discuss committee for the
limited to communications in connection with	association, and so we have regular meetings in
meetings of the board curriculum committee?	which she is in attendance. I do not have a
A: That's correct, yes, involving the board	ps, social relationship with her outside the school
Page 21	
(1) curriculum committee. He sat in on some of the	in district.
22 curriculum committee meetings as president of	
st the school board. He was not actually a member	(3) A: No.
ы of the committee. I believe he presently now is	1
is the chair of that committee.	ISJ A: No. SJ C
(c) Q: I'm going to ask you a few questions just to	
[7] explore any connections you might have with some	[7] you here today, and so I want to try and just,
(a) of the persons involved in this dispute. Do you	[8] as I say, watch that story unfold from your
m have any relations by blood or marriage to	p perspective.
anyone who's been on the Dover Area School	We have here a set of documents which is
111 District School Board since December 2002?	[11] part of the teachers' production which we used
nzi A: No.	112 yesterday when we were working with Jen Miller.
1131 Q: How about anyone that's served in the	[18] And what I want to do is just let you take a
[14] administration for the school district?	lial look at those. It might be easier if we laid
(15) A: No.	(15) them out.
[16] Q: How about any other employees of the school	The first thing I'd like you to do is to
ក្រា district?	1071 focus on the period before January 2002, and I
[18] A: There are other employees of the school district	[18] know that seems a long way off. And I just want
(19) whose last name are Spahr, I do not know if	199 to ask, prior to that time, prior to
[20] there is a distant relationship but not as far	[20] January 2002, was the biology text or the
ρη as I know.	pij biology curriculum an issue in your — let me
Q: Good enough. How about any business dealings,	zzi ask you, in your experience as a teacher at
and the home and business dealings angre from	Dover Area School District?

issi anyone?

231 did you have any business dealings apart from

24 your employment with the school district with

(23) Dover Area School District?

[24] A: Are you asking me when we previously ordered a

ps biology textbook did this controversy ever come

m

Various.

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Q: Mr. Glass?

A: Yes, Mr. Larry Glass.

Q: Ten years ago, so what's that, '95?

A: It might be 10, 11, but it's certainly around 10

(21)

[221

1241

(25) years ago.

[26]

Page 24 (1) up to light? Q: Well, yes. Let me ask you this by way of (a) background, when did you start work at Dover 4) Area School District, Bert? A: 1965 to '66. I have been here for 40 years. Q: I will say that every witness has demonstrated [7] considerable respect for you, Bert, That's a (8) long time. A: Yes, it is. Q: Were you hired on as a biology teacher? [10] A: I am not a biology teacher. 1111 Q: Oh, chemistry. [12] A: My only certification is chemistry. [13] Q: Chemistry. [14] A: Yes. My first year is the only year I taught [15] [16] physics, Chem I, and Chem II. Q: Now, when did you become head of the science [18] department? A: About ten years ago after the retirement of [20] Mr. Glass.

A: Got it. Q: And then to save us time, I'll ask you to look [4] at Miller 6, and a third of the way through the is pack you'll see another e-mail from [6] Mr. Hamilton, It's dated March 28th, 2005 with [7] the subject, I have not forgotten. MS. PENNY: We have the two e-mails in p front of us. BY MR. GILLEN: [10] Q: Now, I wanted to ask you a few questions about [12] Mr. Hamilton. I don't know, he's a fairly new tial character. Who is he? A: Mr. Hamilton was a former member of the biology [15] department, and his role was to teach [16] technoscience which is now our present environmental course, and he taught honors na biology. He then went from the science department (191 [20] into the administration and became our principal (21) of the high school. I had the pleasure of 122] teaching a course on the ecology of Bermuda with [23] Mr. Hamilton in the summer with 20 of our [24] students, great honors bio man. Q: Really?

Q: Now, prior to your becoming chair of the [2] department, would you be involved in the process (3) of selecting the biology text? A: No. Q: Biology curriculum? A: No. Q: So let's look at the period from your tenure as n head of the department up through 2002. You get m the gist of my question. During that period no when biology texts came up for selection where [11] the biology curriculum was worked on or 112 discussed, were the issues that are the subject natter of this litigation, the presentation of [14] evolutionary theory, a possible purchase of (15) other texts or presentation of other theories or 1161 subject matter, did they ever come up in that [17] period of time? A: Not to my knowledge. Q: There's a few e-mails I just want to ask you 201 about. If you would, Bert, please direct your 211 attention to the exhibit marked Miller 5. And [22] back towards I'd say two-thirds of the way 120) through that packet of document there's an

Page 25 Page 27 A: Yes, very much so. [1] Q: Was that course in Bermuda? [2] A: Yes, nine days. We stayed at the Bermuda [4] Biological Station for Research. Q: What I'm interested in is did Mr. Hamilton ever [6] discuss with you incidents that he thought were 77 related to this dispute? A: Not until after the fact and basically this memo (e) that— And I'm not sure discuss was the word. 101 I received the memo, okay, and in the memo, as [11] you see highlighted in the second paragraph, he 12) indicates, which we had gotten some rumblings [13] of, that there was some concern among board [14] members concerning this evolution presentation [15] in the biology curriculum, It was as far as we [16] knew at that point in time with his tenure (17) handled in his administrative office. We did [18] not have meetings with him with board members [10] present on this issue. Q: Did he give you any other details about-[20] A: No, he did not other than what's written right [21] 1221 here. Q: It seems, Bert, from your answer that you didn't discuss it in further detail with him? A: No, I did not.

24 e-mail from Robert Hamilton to you dated

1251 December 15th, 2004, and the subject is,

Sertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005 Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 28

- Q: Do you know if any member of the science department did?
- (3) A: No, I do not.
- [4] Q: It seems from what you've said that whatever
- [5] Mr. Hamilton is referencing in this e-mail-
- [6] And for the record, I'll say you're referencing
- the e-mail dated 12/15/2004. Is that correct?
- (8) A: That's correct.
- [9] Q: —was news to you at that time?
- A: Certainly as it is presented here it was news that, you know, there have been some board concerns which because he was a biology teacher had handling himself. And, therefore, it certainly did not come to me because I'm chemistry. Now, whether it went to other members of the biology department that are no longer with us I cannot had answer.
- Q: It indicates or makes reference to his principalship. When did he become principal, do you know?
- [22] A: That I can't answer.
- Q: That's fine. When did he leave again?
- [24] A: Well, Mr. Riedel is there this year.
- ps Dr. Peterman was there for two years, so it

Page 30

- A: I mean, you always—We always had people in the community, because it's a very conservative,
- s) religious community, that when, you know,
- [6] evolution was brought up would always ask
- 151 questions or whatever. But we always tried to
- is take a middle of the ground road that we did not offend many people.
- (B) Q: Well, let's talk about that, Bert, just look at
- it in the period-Well, let's look at
- community concerns generally prior to 2003.
- [11] A: Okay.
- [12] Q: Tell me how those concerns came to your
- A: They were never called to me in terms of my attention. We were always very aware, though, for of the varying groups within the community and simply took a very cautious presentation. Now,
- 18 I do not teach biology, so if you are asking me
- [19] exactly how it was taught, I can't respond to
- [21] Q: And I'm not, I think I'm understanding better
- p23 now. Do I understand you correctly that you're
- [23] saying teachers in the science department knew
- [24] that they were teaching in this particular area
- psj had a sense for the cultural environment?

Page 29

- [1] A: That's correct.
- 2) Q: And then also an appreciation for the cultural

Page 31

- [3] dimensions of this theory. Is that right?
- A: That's correct.
- [5] Q: And they endeavor to be sensitive to those
- (6) considerations?
- [7] A: That's correct.
- (B) Q: If we look at the period prior to 2002, do you
- [8] recall any discussions with your teachers, your
- 100 science teachers, that were focused on the ways
- in which they demonstrated that sensitivity to
- [12] the cultural context in which evolutionary
- ital theory was presented?
- [14] A: No.
- [15] Q: So now we're in January 2003. Tell me, Bert,
- lis did the subject matter of this litigation,
- 117) selection of the biology text, some controversy
- no surrounding that, or some concern expressed
- relating to the presentation of evolutionary
- got theory, did they come to your attention during
- [21] 2003?
- [22] A: 2003 was our year to order the new biology
- [23] textbook. We traditionally send our budget to
- 124) the administration and the board in January for
- 125] the following year. Since it was our cycle to

- would have been four years ago. I'm not sure of

 [2] the exact dates, but it was four years ago.
- [3] Q: That's quite all right. Don't worry about it.
- ы If we look at Miller 6, again, there's another
- [5] c-mail from Mr. Hamilton in which he is seeking
- 161 your peanut brittle recipe among other things.
- 77 Did you ever have a discussion with Mr, Hamilton
- (8) in connection with this e-mail?
- A: He came to my home to pick up the peanut brittle
- not recipe. He did indicate that he certainly will my think about us over this issue because he is at
- [12] heart a biology teacher. Did we discuss any
- 13 particulars of the situation, not really other
- [14] than he was certainly supportive and he will
- ps always keep us in his thoughts.
- Q: In your capacity as head of the science properties, did you ever have occasion to properties discuss with Mr. Hamilton the manner in which he
- (19) presented evolutionary theory?
- [20] A: No, I did not.
- Q: We're up through 2002, and it seems like at least so far as you were concerned based on your
- personal knowledge, things you heard or saw and
- [24] so on, the biology curriculum wasn't an issue of [25] controversy up to that period?

(1) order books, the proposed new biology book where (2) the biology teachers individually and together [3] reviewed various textbooks and their [4] recommendation was this at that point 2002 [5] Miller and Levine biology book. That was sent is) basically to the administration. We were told (7) it was going to be delayed a year because the books that we had were still in relatively good, 193 usable condition.

We at that point took them at word that [11] that really was the reason that it was being [12] held up. In looking back now, we do question (13) whether there were other things behind it.

There was apparently some board interest, [14] (15) okay, later on in the spring of 2003 which did [16] not get to the department, okay, where some [17] people either in the community or on the board [18] raised questions beginning with this evolution (19) issue.

Q: Let's get to that. You say there was apparently [21] some board interest?

A: I cannot be specific because it did not come to [23] the attention of the department until we got to pay the fall of 2003. So it apparently had calmed (25) down somewhat. But then it was revisited in the

Page 33

11) fall, and then we as a department addressed the [2] questions and the concerns.

Q: Now, if I understand you correctly, Bert, you're |4| kind of inferring from the fall 2003 events that s the problem didn't just pop up in the fall of (6) 2003?

A: No, it did not. (T)

Q: Why do you say that, Bert?

A: And I'm not exactly sure to whom the gentleman no spoke that had the concerns, but in the spring I [11] think there were some questions either regarding [12] the selection of the book that we had done or 13 how evolution is taught in the classroom, how it [14] is presented. But then in the fall, and it was [15] early in the fall, we sat down. There is a lot [16] of confusion between origin of life and origin 1171 of species.

Q: Are you referencing to the fall meeting, Bert? A: Well, but this is- When people see evolution, 120] they don't always see evolution the way it is 121 taught in the biology curriculum here. They 122] have their own concept of what they think it is. 231 And, you know, you have parents who will, you [24] know, raise- And they have every right to do 1251 SQ.

Page 34

And when asked, the science department will [2] sit down and attempt to clarify those issues [3] since that is their training. So we think this [4] started in the spring. We went home for the [5] summer. We weren't getting the new book. So it [6] pretty much went to rest until we got to the m fall.

Q: And all I'm trying to get at, Bert, is you have 194 this sense, as you say, we think it started in (10) the spring. And we're going to talk about the [13] memo from Peterman. Is that what you're relying 12 on to give you the sense that something was [13] going on in the spring?

A: Well, that was certainly part of it. But that-(14) ps If you look at that memo, that was in 2003. [15] That was in March and April. Yes, that's where my we got the idea, yes, yes.

Q: Why don't we-

[15] A: But we didn't have a meeting- If you're asking [20] me did we have a meeting over it, the answer [21] was, no. The meeting occurred in the fall. Q: Let's look at that memo which is what, Miller 1.

[23] Take a minute to look that over, Bert, although 124 I have reason to believe you're fairly familiar

es with it.

Page 35

A: I am. [1]

Q: Did you receive a copy of this memo from p Dr. Peterman?

A: Not to my knowledge.

Q: Did you ever speak with Dr. Peterman about not [6] having received a copy of the memo?

A: No, I did not. 77

Q: Let me ask you, were there any other occasions m in which Dr. Peterman created memos, copied you, tig but didn't send you the copy?

A: I can't answer that. I have an entire file of Dr. Peterman memos that are numbered from one up [13] to whatever from the tenure when she was here. [14] I actually looked in that folder thinking it may [15] have been there, but I usually filed everything ps on this issue in a file that was labeled as

[17] such. I did not have that in my file.

Q: No other occasions have come to light such as 119) this where a memo that's directed to you has [20] later come to light and you've looked in your [21] file and found, gees, I didn't get that?

A: Not often. This memo was seen, though, by [23] another member of my department. The evening, I [24] don't know, that she may have written it, she (25) had come to my room. I had left for some reason

ertha E. Spahr ay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 36	Pa
1 early, and another young staff person who was 2 working there in the evening remembers seeing 3 this memo. 4 Q: That staff member's name? 5 A: Rob Eshbach. 6 Q: I take it he's told you he specifically recalls 7 seeing this document? 8 A: Yes. Yes, he has. 9 Q: Did he tell you any particular reason why he 10 recalls seeing it? 11 A: Yes. He remembered the enumerations one, two, 12 three, four, and five which is strange, but 13 that's what he remembered, looking down and 14 seeing that. 15 Q: Did he have the memo, do you know? Did he have	Q: Apart from these features of this conversation, of do you remember anything else? A: No. But I think Mr. Baksa had indicated to me of and my science department that this was of obviously going to be a concern that we are of going to have to address sometime soon. O: Now, if you continue down that first paragraph othere, about halfway through there's a sentence of that begins, she, referencing you, explained to of that begins, she, referencing you, explained to of that begins, she, referencing you, explained to of that begins and the standards of the standards of the standards. O: Now, if you recall telling Dr. Peterman of that, Bert, do you recall telling Dr. Peterman of that O: Now, it looks like in order to get that of information you had some discussions with your of science faculty about the issue. Tell me, what of this memo? A: Since we are a standards-driven institution and of getting the state standards over this
m Q: Do you recall Mr. Baksa using the term	(1) evolutionary issue, we followed the standard

Page 39

Page 38

22 creationism?
23 A: I remember the word creationism being used, yes.
24 Q: And I could see that. What I'm asking you, do
25 you recall Mr. Baksa saying that a board member
26 wanted creationism taught as opposed to, you
27 know, it coming up in the conversation?
28 A: I remember Mr. Baksa saying that a board member
29 wanted creationism taught. Now, I'm not sure
20 50 percent was there. I think it was of equal
20 11 time when the evolutionary issue was presented
21 in biology classes.
22 Q: Do you recall Mr. Baksa mentioning a board
23 member wanting other theories taught?
24 A: Not to my knowledge.

(16) Q: Do you recall anything else of your—Apart from this memo, do you have a recollection of (16) your discussion with Mr. Baksa?
(19) A: Yes.

Q: Do you recall anything else other than the
[21] statement we've just discussed?
[22] A: I remember asking Mr. Baksa, may I ask you which

pay board member has indicated this to know where
the red flag was coming from and are we going to
the prepared, and he responded to me it was

(1) evolutionary issue, we followed the standard
(2) which I believe is listed under biology letter D
(3) that said that the theories of evolution need to
(4) be taught because in the year 2006 or 7 there's
(5) going to be the PSSA test which is going to
(6) address these issues.
(7) But to present a fair evaluation, they also

But to present a fair evaluation, they also

[8] said that there are other theories out there;

[8] namely, creationism. And they all mentioned it

[10] and said we encourage you to go to your pastor

[11] of your church or your family if you want a

[12] discussion of that. And that was as much that

[14] Q: When you say they, are you referencing your [15] biology teachers? [16] A: I am referencing the biology teachers. There

would be no other subject that would—

Q: Implicate this concern?

A: That's correct.

[20] Q: Now, who had you spoken with in order to get

[21] that information, Bert, about the way in which

[22] creationism was presented in the classroom in

[23] connection with the presentation of evolutionary

[24] theory?

A: When we had various department meetings and

per point.

Page 43

Page 40 (1) in-service days and we had all of the waiting [2] for these state standards and we were in the pa process of rewriting our curriculum to match the m state standards, the subject came up. And the isj group; namely, Jen Miller who was obviously the re veteran biology teacher, agreed that this is the [7] way we would all do it so that there would be (8) consistency in basically how it was presented. Q: What did Jen say on that point? A: That we would simply mention that creationism [10] [11] was an alternate theory to Darwin's theory of tial evolution and that we request that the churches [13] or if the families be able to present their own. Back then students could literally ask (15) questions, and at that point the biology 118] teachers were comfortable in addressing these [17] issues. And, you know, the major reference was (18) please contact your own family, your pastor, you [19] know, or there are other reference books 201 available if you have those concerns. And it [21] was a very non-threatening situation at that

Q: Sure. And it seems that you're saying that the

gs questions or curiosities the student might have?

[24] teachers are trying to just address any

Page 42 A: Yes. 111 Q: What did Dr. Peterman say? A: She basically directed me to direct the biology [4] teachers to continue with what had been past is practice, that you would teach evolution, you is would mention that creationism was an [7] alternative theory, and then request that the families or the churches handle any explanations m that they would have since there are so many ng different religious backgrounds in this [11] community. Q: Do you recall anything else that Dr. Peterman [12] na said to you relating to this issue? A: I remember we had some discussions as to what ps her concerns were if we decide that we are going (16) to teach creationism or it's going to be 117 something that's going to have to have equal (18) time with the evolution. Number one, there was a time constraint. 1191 20] That was another issue because it basically [21] comes at the very end of the semester. If 122] you've had snow days, you may not even get to 128 the issue. And now where are we going to find [24] the time to put in these additional things. And the science teachers felt somewhat

Page 41

A: Back then, yes. Q: You say back then. You say things are different B) now? A: Yes, because now the issue obviously is not even [5] addressed. You know, we teach what is necessary is for the state standards, and then they go on. Q: When you say the issue is not addressed, Bert, (B) what are you getting at? A: We don't talk about creationism, I'm not even no sure creationism is ever mentioned in the [11] classroom anymore, although I am not in a ha biology classroom. You would have to ask a 1131 biology teacher that question. Q: Now, if you continue to the next paragraph, [15] Bert, there's a couple sentences there. I know [16] you can read them, but I'll read them for the [17] record. It continues, in asking for direction in this matter, I have advised all Biology I (18) teachers to teach the approved school board [20] curriculum for Biology I. I advised them to [21] continue to mention that creationism is another [22] alternate theory of evolution. And then she 23 says, however, as principal, I am uncomfortable

11 uncomfortable because they are not trained in g religious education. They are trained as 3) biologists but felt that they would not [4] necessarily have the background to do what would isi be an appropriate job. And as she pointed out, and she actually [7] said to me, which theory of creationism are you going to teach and not offend somebody who is m sitting in the room. So that discussion did ng come up. Q: Apart from what you've told me that you remember 12 today, anything else come up with Dr. Peterman [13] that touched on this issue during her tenure as [14] principal? A: Oh, I'm sure it did because when there was an issue, whether it be are we going to get our [17] textbooks, when are we going to get our [18] textbooks, I always followed chain of command, 119 and I went from department chair to Dr. Peterman po who would then in turn sometimes go to Mr. Baksa [21] who was head of curriculum.

But, yes, we discussed issues. I'm certain

[24] biology book since this had never been a problem

1 said to her what is the holdup with this

es before.

[24] with this topic and so on. Do you recall

es Dr. Peterman so advising you?

rtha E. Spahr ay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 44 And the interesting thing about it was it was simply a new edition of the book that we had aiready had, and it was approved. We had the 1 Miller and Levine book, but it was a 1998 a edition. And so we were simply asking for a a newer, more modern copy of it. So we didn't η realize that there was going to be any n controversy. Q: Now, I just want to make sure, Bert, it seems g like— Well, Peterman left when? Did she leave n in 2003, can you recall?

A: It was the end of the last school year. Q: So that's 2004?

A: She was there 2003-2004. Mr. Riedel came at the 15) beginning of this year, although you might want

Q: To check, that's fine. 17)

A: I think it was the end of last year.

Q: I'm not going to hold you to the dates. I'm 201 just trying to get a sense because it seems to 121) Mc-

A: She was there two years. 1721

Q: It seems to me from what you're saying that the [24] holdup of the books, that's the holdup that psj occurred in 2003, correct?

Page 45

A: The books were just ordered at the last minute [2] in August of 2004, right. We got them for the n school year. Q: You'll see in this Exhibit Miller 1 there's a

is number of enumerated points. Would you take a (8) quick look at those for me, Bert. You've 7) referenced that Dr. Peterman had some concerns. [8] Looking through those numbered items, one m through five, do you think that fairly [10] summarizes the concerns that Dr. Peterman

[11] expressed to you? A: Yes, 1 do.

[12] Q: If you look beneath that enumeration, there's a 114 new paragraph which begins, in the public school [15] arena creationism— Forgive me, it doesn't (16) begin this way. The second sentence says, in 117 the public school arena creationism must always [18] be mentioned as an alternate theory, but public ng school teachers are teachers of their content po area and are not to be perceived as teachers of [21] religious instruction. Do you recall [22] Dr. Peterman making statements to you to that 23) effect during the conversations you had with [24] hct?

A: I remember on one occasion she certainly brought

Page 46

n that up. I don't know whether it was on this particular one. But she did show concern that B) we are teachers of biology and certainly not [4] teachers of religion and this could be a is problem. Yes, she did bring that up.

Q: Have you had any discussions with your biology [7] teachers about whether they reference

(8) creationism currently?

A: No, I have not, It is my understanding that no since the statement was read I'm not even sure (11) the reference is made anymore, but you would [12] have to ask the biology teachers that.

MR. GILLEN: Let's take a brief break.

(Recess taken) [14]

BY MR. GILLEN:

[15] Q: Bert, as we broke off, you were making some 1161 177 observations about the text purchase process and [18] holding up the text in 2003. And with that in [19] mind, I'd like you to look through the packet of 201 documents that's been marked Miller 5. Flip back to some notes, handwritten notes. [21]

122] that at the top of the first page have notes [23] from Bert Spahr, I ask you, Bert, to just look [24] at the first two pages of those notes I've just 28) referenced which relate to a meeting and which

Page 47

[1] are dated 1/21/05 in the upper left hand corner 22 across from the first entry.

A: 1/21/05.

Q: You got it, Bert? [4]

A: Yes.

Q: Having looked those over, Bert, do you have any

[7] recollection of a discussion relating to text

ரு usage?

A: Yes, I do. **(9)**

Q: Tell me what you recall - let me be more 1101 111 specific — in the 2003 period.

A: Mr. Baksa had asked us about a question that I 133 believe came from a board member indicating that we had not used the textbooks, and he was asking 119 us why the textbooks were not used. And they were referring to the 1998 edition of the Miller 1171 and Levine biology book.

And we attempted to explain that in the one 19 year when we switched what was going to be 201 taught in the science curriculum we had all 21) ninth grade and all tenth grade students taking kel biology at the same time. Now, this was only 1231 going to occur for one year. We did not have [24] enough textbooks to give each student a copy. gs. We would not have presumed to ask the board to

[1]	buy additional textbooks to be used for one
[2]	year. And so consequently textbooks were not
[3]	assigned to each student.
141	It was also after that that we instituted

It was also after that that we instituted the environment and the ecology curriculum as the new required course for tenth grade. So we had the one-year time frame in which roughly probably 400 students were taking biology. We did not have enough textbooks to pass out.

Therefore, most of the biology teachers
with the exception of Mr. Eshbach had class sets
students. Mr. Eshbach did not have a full
biology load. He was teaching another course.
Therefore, he had enough to assign each student
a text.

And that was why it was being questioned
why did we not use the books that we had
savailable. Number one, they would not have gone
far enough. Secondly, when the curriculum was
changed, we moved certain topics such as
classification to the eighth — or the seventh
grade level at a different building. And,
therefore, this book dealt a great deal with
classification. And there were some other areas

Page 50 Page 48 [1] taught as a required course. Q: Does that touch on your second concern you've (3) referenced which was the way in which the text m jibed with the actual presentation of material [5] in various classes? A: That's correct. Q: And there was sort of a disjunction between the (0) text the department had at that time and the way [9] in which the teachers were presenting specific (10) subject matters? A: That's correct. Q: And really sort of the net result of that is 1131 various subject matters were being presented in 114) a variety of classes instead of just biology? A: That's true. Q: Do you recall Barrie Callahan speaking at school [17] board meetings about the biology text? A: Yes, I do. 1181 Q: Do you recall Barrie Callahan saying the kids 1191 gon don't have books? A: Yes, I do. Q: If I look at your-Well, let me ask you, Bert, [23] Miller 4 is a small set of documents, the second

(1) that did not address what we had now rewritten (2) the curriculum to match the state standards (3) with.

Q: So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure I understand you,
| So I just want to make sure

A: I do not know in which year exactly the state standards were approved. There was—And that's in the one packet. There was about a two-year time frame where we wrote it, and then they had the discussion.

And then finally it was approved.

During that time, we were in the process of realigning our curriculum within the two required course departments to match those state standards realizing that eventually we thought they would probably be passed. And it was in this from the roughly 2000 to 2005 — actually 2001 that we were actually trying to realign the classes. Prior to that time, earth science was

Page 49 | [1] approximate time line.Am I correct?

[2] A: That's correct.

(3) Q: Did you jot this down, Bert?

A: That is my handwriting, yes.

1251 upper right hand corner. And that is an

[5] Q: And in compiling this document, did you consult

[6] with your colleagues in the science department,

page of which has the number one circled in the

[7] or was this your recollection? Was it kind of a

(8) collective work?

A: It was a collective time line.

[10] Q: Well, I see next beneath the entry for [11] January 2003 the next entry is fall 2003, and

there's a reference to a meeting with

ma Mr. Bonsell. What I want to ask you is, we've

[14] got a conversation with Dr. Peterman that's

reflected in the memo dated April 1st, 2003, and

[16] we've got a fall meeting coming up here that

we're going to talk about. In between April and

[18] this fall meeting, was there any discussion that

119] you were privy to relating to the purchase of

the biology text or the biology curriculum?

A: Other than the discussion I had with

[22] Dr. Peterman after the discussion I had with

(23) Mr. Baksa.

[24] Q: Have you told me what you can recall about the gest discussion you had with Dr. Peterman?

A: I have. 111

Q: Good enough. Other than that then, there was (3) really nothing until this fall meeting?

A: There may have been some passing of bodies in [5] the hall where we may have said, have you heard is anything on our textbooks. But it's not a case (7) where we had large meetings involving the (a) department over this issue.

Q: So we have a fall meeting with Mr. Bonsell. [10] Tell me how that meeting came to your attention.

A: I believe that meeting was at our suggestion. [12] There was I believe for him some confusion over the issue of origin of species and origin of 14 life. I believe-

Q: I'm sorry, Bert, let me stop you there because [16] that's interesting to me. You say the meeting was called at your suggestion and you believe [18] you had some confusion. That seems to point to 19 some discussion with Mr. Bonsell or some sense go for Mr. Bonsell's position.

A: I believe the discussion was between Mr. Bonsell 22 and Mr. Baksa. And then Mr. Baksa came to me or [23] to us - I'm not exactly sure which one - and [24] we suggested to him maybe we could clarify the [25] situation if the department and the biology

Page 54

Now, what I'm trying to get at is, I could [2] see you having that in the back of your mind and

p) carrying it with you through the summer and

(4) saying let's nip this in the bud or let's

[5] address it. Or another way of looking at things

is Mike could have come to you periodically

[7] throughout and said, you know, have you thought

m of anything. Do you have any recollection of

(9) what happened in this period?

A: It was not uncommon for Mr. Baksa when he was in

(11) the building to come by the door and say, you

[12] know, I would like to run this past you, you

[13] know, I would like to give you a heads up. Can

[14] I specifically recollect any other discussions,

usi I cannot.

Q: And that's why the next question for me is, all right, you've got a concern because you're

[18] suggesting, hey, maybe we can address this if we

19 have a meeting with Mr. Bonsell. Do you

[20] recall- And you think it was at your

[21] suggestion. Is that-

A: I think it was at either my suggestion or the

[22] suggestion of the department where they felt

124) that they would be more expertly able to answer gs his questions and concerns.

Page 53

Page 55 Q: In this intervening period between April and the

[2] fall, had you had any discussions with

[3] Mr. Bonsell personally?

A: No, I did not.

Q: So essentially any sense you have from

in Mr. Bonsell's concerns would be derived from

(7) conversations with Mr. Baksa?

A: That's correct.

Q: You've indicated that you think that the fall

meeting with Mr. Bonsell occurred at your

[11] suggestion. What else can you recall about the

[12] meeting, Bert?

A: Well, most of his questions were directed to the

1141 biology teachers. Jen Miller being the veteran

(15) teacher was the one who certainly had the most

[16] responses. I did not chime in that much because

(17) that's not my field. There were other biology

(10) teachers present who also contributed. And I

think the reason for this was that his son was

going to enter a biology classroom that

[21] particular year in the spring, spring semester.

Q: Let's look at that. Who was at the meeting,

123) Bert? There's Mr. Bonsell, there's you, there's

[24] Jen Miller, Mike Baksa?

A: I believe Mr. Baksa was present.

[1] teachers met with Mr. Bonsell and answered his 2) questions and basically his concerns.

They're the experts in the field, I am 14] not. I don't think Mr. Baksa's background is in [5] biology either. And we felt that this would be [6] a willing compromise to sit down with him and basically answer his questions and concerns.

Q: Let me understand you. Is the conversation 19) you're referencing now the conversation we've 110] discussed where you and Mr. Baksa talked?

A: Well, and it may have been one that came (12) subsequent to that. I am not aware of that, but [13] I think there was this ongoing question and [14] concern that we felt we could resolve in the

[15] fall meeting. It was fairly early in the fall,

Q: I just want to make sure I understand how the 117] story unfolds from your perspective. You know [18] you had a conversation with Mike, and this memo [19] seems related to that. As you sit here today, [20] can you remember any other discussions with

[21] Mr. Baksa between April and the fall meeting? And I guess what I'm trying to get at is, [23] plainly the discussion that's reflected in some pay measure in this memo dated April 1, 2003 alerted

[25] you to a possible problem, as you say, red flag.

Q: How about any other science faculty? A: I think Bob Linker was there. Leslie Prall was [9] there. And I believe then Bryan Rehm may even 41 have been there. He at that point was the (5) physics teacher. Do 1 remember any others, no, es I do not.

Q: How about Rob Eshbach?

A: Yes, he was there.

Q: Looking at Bryan Rehm, he's the physics teacher, [10] is there any particular reason he would be [11] there?

A: Simply as a member of the science department. I (13) am not certain that he was present.

Q: How did it start? To the best of your [15] recollection, I just want to get a sense for how [16] the meeting unfolded. You're meeting as a 137 science department with Mr. Bonsell. Did he [10] present his concerns up front at the beginning? A: I believe Mr. Baksa basically, you know, might [20] have indicated that we are all gathered together (21) to basically answer the questions and concerns (22) he had. I do not specifically remember the [23] mechanics of that meeting, and I do not.

Q: Do you recall any specific statements that

psj Mr. Bonsell made?

Page 58

[1] were biology teachers. I cannot tell you 23 specifically which one.

Q: How about the general thrust of Jen's comments, en get any sense for that in your memory as you sit [5] here today sort of what she made clear to him? A: Yes, specifically the differentiation between

[7] origin of species and origin of life and the (8) emphasis being made that the biology teachers

191 emphasize origin of species and change over

ng time. That was the big thing.

Q: This distinction you've just referenced, this has come up a couple times. As you sit here, [13] Bert, I know you're not a biology teacher, nor

[14] am 1.1 discussed this in some measure

(15) yesterday with Jen, but can you recall what sort of distinction Jen Miller conveyed to

[17] Mr. Bonsell during this fall 2003 meeting?

A: I think it had something to do with a bird,

there was a bird in the tree, and how did the

[20] bird adapt himself to survive in the

[21] environment, did the beak get longer, did the

1221 claws get longer. No one cared where the bird

[23] came from. The bird was in the tree and changed [24] over time. That was the point of the emphasis.

Q: So change within species as opposed to change

Page 57

(1) across species?

A: There certainly was main emphasis on change 3 within the species. I do not know whether

[4] change between species was brought up.

Q: Anything else you recall about that meeting? [5]

161

Q: Do you recall anything Mike Baksa said? 77

A: No. 1281

Q: Was Dr. Nilsen present?

A: I can't answer that. t 101

Q: Do you think Trudy Peterman was present?

A: I can't answer that either. [12]

Q: That's fine. This is a meeting in the fall of [14] 2003. About around what time, Bert? You said 15 you thought it was early?

A: It was early September or October, I would (17) assume.

Q: Between the meeting and the close of 2003, did [19] anything happen that you saw as tied to that meeting or relating to the same subject matter, [21] evolutionary theory, the curriculum, the biology gaj text?

A: Well, we still had not gotten assurance that the

[24] biology book that they had hoped to get was

25] going to be ordered. Again, in December or

A: No, I do not or even questions.

Q: How about any responses from Jen Miller, can you [3] recall the - Well, I'll tell you what, can you

[4] give me a sense for any of the concerns

[5] Mr. Bonsell expressed during the meeting?

A: No, I cannot. [6]

Q: How about Jen, Jen Miller that is, can you tell me anything about the issues she was addressing?

A: I don't know the specific questions. I know not that she was very well prepared and she answered [11] the questions adequately. And as we departed 112 from the meeting, we all seemed to feel that he [13] was satisfied with the presentation that we had [14] given to him. That was our sense as we left.

Q: If you had to characterize the tone of the [15] ng meeting, was it collegial, cordial, civil?

A: Yes, indeed, yes. [17]

Q: You said you departed thinking-[18]

A: We addressed his concerns and questions and-[19]

Q: Do you recall any specific scientific subject

[21] matter coming up like carbon dating, fossil [22] record, stuff like that?

A: No. I don't. [23]

Q: Any of the other science faculty speak? [24]

A: I think there were some others who answered that

Page 59

ertha E. Spahr 1av 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Dage 60	

[1] January of what would have been 2004 we 21 submitted or resubmitted our order for those 131 books, and we had not been guaranteed that we 14] would-Now, orders are not usually sent out is before July 1 anyway. But we were trying to get is some sense of are the teachers going to go home [7] for the summer working on this new book to (8) present their lessons and their activities and B) whatever or are we again not going to have that 1101 particular edition to deal with in the following [11] year.

Q: That would be the summer of 2004? [12]

A: That's correct. [13]

Q: I'm trying to get a sense, was your concern, [15] Bert, that if you didn't get them in 2003 you ne might lose your turn-

A: Yes. (17)

Q: -altogether?

A: That was a concern because we are on — or were 201 on a seven-year cycle. And then not only was [21] that a concern, then that throws the next 1221 subject back a year behind in terms of not 123) getting their books.

Q: And that would be subject matter in the science (25) department?

Page 61

A: No. That was subject matter I believe it may (2) have been language arts. So it's an entirely [3] different department. Everyone is on a 10 seven-year cycle. So when ours were not [5] ordered, then the next year somebody else's was [6] delayed—

Q: Oh, I see, yes. [7]

A: —a year because of the budget concerns.

Q: But apart from that - I mean, I see you have

(10) that concern — was there any further

[11] discussions with Mr. Baksa or anyone in the

[12] administration for that matter relating to the

meeting, the issues that were addressed in that

[14] meeting as we reached the wrapping up of 2003,

[15] between this meeting in the fall and

[16] December 2003?

A: I'm sure we spoke on this issue, whether it be [18] an in-service day or some other time, but I

[19] can't recall specific dates.

Q: At any time in 2003 did you have any discussions

gy with any member of the board curriculum [22] committee apart from the discussion that you've

pay just described?

A: Not to my knowledge.

Q: At any time in that 2003 year did anyone from 1251

Page 62

in the administration ever get back to you with any (2) directions to change the biology curriculum or pp presentation of subject matter in biology?

A: On certain in-service days we were directed to isi basically revise curriculum as it needed to be

[6] revised based on the new state standards. Were

m we directed to change the curriculum as far as

evolution was concerned, not to my knowledge. Q: Am I right, Bert, that the revision of

[10] curriculum you've just referenced is an effort [11] to bring Dover's curriculum into, what shall I

[12] say, to dovetail it with the state standards

(13) we've been talking about?

A: That's correct.

Q: Well, that brings us to 2004 which by all 1151 [16] accounts was an eventful year. Let's look at [17] the period between January and March of 2004.

(18) It's just the beginning of the school year.

[19] We're going to focus on the biology text and the

[20] curriculum issue. In that three-month period,

[21] Bert, were there developments that touched on

A: We did resubmit the budget with the Miller and

[24] Levine book as indicated that that was our

25) choice for our biology text. Now, that is done

Page 63

[1] by the biology teachers together. They review

23 various books, and there were many different [3] books that they looked at. And this was their

(a) selection. And so we resubmitted it again so

is that we would have it for basically the

(6) 2004-2005 school year.

Q: Did you participate in the text review in [7]

m biology-

A: No, I did not.

Q: —or do you defer to your professionals? [10]

[11]

Q: Looking at that period, as you say, in the [13] ordinary course the text request would go in.

[14] Any communications with the administration psy relating to the department selection of a text?

A: They usually relied on our professional

[17] judgment. And if we felt that this was an

[18] acceptable biology book, it usually has never ng been questioned.

Q: Looking at the period January through March

[21] 2004, was there any conversations with any

members of the board curriculum committee during

(23) that period relating to the biology text?

A: We had several meetings with the curriculum 25] committee of the board. The one that I remember

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al. Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

Page 66

Page 64
14 was in June, though, of 2004. But there was one
that preceded that in this room — I cannot tell
131 you the exact date of that — where we were
present, and it had to do with the accepting of
isi books for the family and consumer science
ist department. It was that. But I cannot tell you
m exactly when that meeting was, It was in this
m room, and it was prior to this June meeting.
(9) Q: Just tell me generally, there was discussion
about that family and consumer sciences text
un here?
[12] A: Oh, my, yes. Oh, my, yes, that poor woman who
ust is a very gentle sole and certainly was not
the prepared for what was coming, it was pretty
us upsetting, where the comparison was made between
use the edition that she had and the new edition
that they had recommended. And it was pointed
(18) out to her that there were only five words'
[19] difference in the entire text. I do remember
[20] that quite well.
Q: Who pointed that out to her?
A: Well, members of the curriculum committee were
[23] Mrs. Harkins, Mr. Buckingham, and Miss Casey
[24] Brown. One of the meetings Casey Brown did not
[25] attend. It was actually Mrs. Harkins who

1	(1) Q: Am I right, Bert, at this time they're looking
	2 at the 2002 edition?
	[3] A: That's correct.
	(a) Q: So the meeting was had before the close of the
	is school year?
	[6] A: That's correct.
	[7] Q: Is the school year's close before or after
	[e] June 7, 2004, do you recall?
	[9] A: No, I don't. I know we had snow days then, so
	my guess it would be after June 7th would be my
	guess, though I don't have a calendar.
	[12] Q: Well, with that in mind, Bert, if you would,
	please look at Miller Number 3. And you will
	[14] see there a packet of board minutes, board
	[15] meeting minutes and agendas, the first one of
	which is for Monday, June 7, 2004. There's some
	117] handwriting on that, Bert. Is that your
	ing handwriting?
	[19] A: No, it is not.
	Q: There's a notation SB in the upper right hand
	[21] corner. Do you have an idea who that might
	(22) refer to?
	A: Yes, probably Sandy Bowser.
	Q: Did Sandy Bowser provide copies of board agendas
	less and minutes to the teachers when you were

[1] pointed that out. And we had also brought the other textbooks, those of the chemistry and 18] those of the biology, at the same time. But is that was the time, the only time, when the other is department was present as well at that 69 curriculum meeting. Q: Did you leave this meeting you've just is referenced prior to June 2004 with the sense for m whether the family and consumer sciences text (10) would be purchased? A: We had doubt. We did not know. Q: You've referenced a meeting in June. Can you-133 Towards the end of the month or the beginning, 14) do you know when it occurred? I see your time [15] line gives the month. A: It had to be before we left school, and the [17] reason that I know that is I specifically asked [18] Mr. Buckingham if he would assure us that my in biology teachers would have this new text to gor start the beginning of the school year because 211 they had planned to do work using the new 1221 textbook obviously during the summer months. [29] And it certainly would be a tremendous waste of

Page 67 (1) compiling materials? A: Some board meetings that you attended there were B) not sufficient numbers of agendas; and, [4] therefore, we sometimes asked the president of [5] the association who was always in attendance if 16) we could have a copy of hers. And that's where (7) they came from. Q: If you'll page through the ones relating to the M June 7th meeting, Bert, and just let me know if 10) you have any - Oh, there's only one set, and in that's SB. Do you have any notes that relate to 112] the June 7th board meeting? A: Not to my knowledge. If there were notes, they would be attached to this. Q: Because of the way you guys put the materials [16] together? A: Yes, and because I can recognize the [18] handwriting. Q: Thanks. A: Like this is Rob Eshbach's I mean. [20] Q: There's a notation on the first page of this (21) 122] June 7th agenda here that says, Bert, so-A: That's me. Q: That's you. I thought. Did you attend the

gs June 7th, 2004 meeting?

1241 time if, in fact, they knew full well that the

gs] textbook was not going to be chosen.

lay 19, 2005

A: I can't remember that, I know I was at the 2 June 14th meeting.

Q: Well, look at that notation, and that's what I [4] wanted to ask you about. Do you think that [5] Sandy Bowser might have scribbled that notation 6 on the front page as a note to you to let you [7] know what she heard at the June 7th-

A: That's a possibility. I don't know where this may have come up that it's on this front page, but Sheila obviously is referring to Sheila Harkins says they were never used and they're [12] six years old. And the comment was bio never 1131 used the books.

Well, this had to be in relationship, okay, [15] to this one year where not- It's not that the 116) students didn't use the books. It was the [17] students didn't get a book to carry home with (18) them. They were used within the classroom. And [19] I do believe that it was probably taken out of gol context. And I think this was to basically gal alert me that this may be one of the reasons why 122] you're not getting new ones, you didn't use the 23) old ones anyway.

Q: That's what I was going to ask you about, Bert. [25] When you look back at it, it seems like Barrie

Page 68

Page 70 (1) had been approved or whether they had not before [2] we all left for the summer. But I was in

(3) attendance at that meeting.

Q: If you look at the first page here, you'll see a 151 note, Bonsell intelligent design, C. Brown which

[6] I think is Casey Brown, uphold the law. Do you

have a recollection of an exchange relating to

(8) the biology textbook at this June 14th meeting?

A: I know there was an exchange. I do not remember [10] specifics of that.

Q: How about specific comments, does anything stick [12] Out in your memory as you sit here today from [19] this June 14th meeting?

A: No. [14]

Q: Do you recall any of the board members [15] net addressing the text?

A: Well, I know that the chemistry books and the [17] [18] family and consumer science books were approved.

Q: Did you stay for the whole meeting? (19)

(201

Q: Well, I mean, this is one of the meetings where,

|22| you know, you can see there's some exchanges

[23] here relating to the books. Can you recall any

pay specifics as you sit here today?

A: Not specifics. I do remember that that was a

Page 69

Page 71

m rather contentious meeting. I mean, there were [2] some exchanges that actually occurred between

[3] board members that - Now, specifics of that -

Q: Well, let's just run through the board members.

[5] Well, let me ask you this, let's start with

6 people in the public, do you remember any public

(7) comment by Barrie Callahan?

A: I don't know whether it was that specific

meeting, but Barrie Callahan often spoke at

no board meetings. And she always addressed her

[11] concern that students get the newest science

[12] books so that they be most updated. And she

1134 always wanted to know why the books had not been

[14] ordered and why they had been delayed.

Q: Do you recall her making statements to that

no effect at this meeting or can you-

A: Well, somewhere in those meetings she always [18] questioned why the board had not approved the

new books when the money for it had been in the 20 budget.

Q: Was she referring- She's referring to what (22) we've already talked about?

A: All the science— See, the whole science 1241 department ordered new books. Now, some were [25] automatically ordered without question, the

[1] Callahan, maybe Sheila Harkins, and others had

(2) what was a mis-perception about use of that [3] text. Is that accurate?

A: I think so. There were some other issues with is the text. The text was not certainly as usable (6) when we changed the curriculum because the [7] emphasis of that book was in the area of (8) classification, and we no longer did that. So p) it certainly was not as useful as some of the 1101 other reference books that we had available for (11) student use.

Q: I think I know the answer, but let me just ask [13] you, do you have any recollection of attending [14] the June 7th meeting?

A: No, I do not.

Q: Let's look at the next set of minutes. I'm [17] calling them minutes. Actually it's the agenda [18] for the June 14th, 2004 meeting. You said you (19) attended that, Bert?

A: Yes, I did.

Q: Was there a specific reason?

A: Well, if you go under to curriculum, we thought 1231 the books for the science department, okay, were [24] going to be approved. And, therefore, I was in 25] attendance for that reason to know whether they

Dover Area School District, et al.	
Page 72	Page 7
Page 72 [1] physics, the physical science, I think even the [2] anatomy and physiology, although they may have [3] been on that second one. And the chem of course [4] then went. They approved those. But there [5] were— The entire science department is on that [6] seven-year cycle, and every seven years we get [7] the opportunity to order new texts. [8] Q: You've mentioned some that were automatically [9] purchased. Were they of the same age as the [10] other books in chemistry and biology? [11] A: Yes. And one set of books for the — well, it [12] was called STS at that time which is science, [13] technology, and society. Today it's really [14] environment and ecology. When we implemented [15] that new course after that one year where all [16] ninth and tenth graders took the biology course, [17] those books were ordered out of our cycle and [18] sequence because we had adopted the new course [19] and we needed them for the following year, and [20] without discussion, that was— [21] Q: So did your department put in the request for	17) biology text? 18) A: Not at that point. I know there was also some 18) public comment in which a woman stood up and 14) read at length many verses from Genesis. I 15) think this was the same board meeting. And that 16) again I believe was under public comment. 17) Q: Anything else memorable from Bill Buckingham's 18) statements? 18) A: No. 10) Q: How about Alan Bonsell, do you recall him 11) responding to public comment? 12) A: Not specifically. 13) Q: How about Heather Geesey, do you recall anything 14) she said at the meeting? 15) A: I do not know whether it was this meeting— We 16) ended up attending a lot of board meetings. I 17) do not know whether it was this one. There was 18) a statement made by Mrs. Geesey, and I'm not 19) exactly sure in reference to what. And Rob, 190 Jen, and I stood up simultaneously and went to 191 the podium. And it had to do with something
new books in that subject area? A: Actually the year before we were to be cycled,	

m	A: 1	hat's	correct.	

- Q: Do you recall any exchanges between
- [3] Mrs. Callahan and Mr. Buckingham at this
- μ) June 14th meeting?

124) yes. And that—

[5] A: There were some words exchanged.Lonny Langione

Q: And, therefore, you didn't request them again?

- [6] also spoke, and there were some exchanges.
- 77 Larry Snook now, these are all former board
- in members basically spoke under public comment,
- m and it got somewhat heated.
- [10] Q: Were the heated exchanges both between board
- [11] members and the public?
- [12] A: Both.
- [13] Q: And between board members and board members?
- [14] A: That's correct.
- [15] Q: Let's look at the exchanges between board
- [16] members and the public. Do you remember any
- 1171 specific statements that Mr. Buckingham made in
- (18) response to public comment?
- 119 A: I believe this was the board meeting in which
- (20) the statement by him was someone died on the
- [21] cross so many thousand years ago.
- [22] Q: Do you recall how he came to make that
- (23) statement?
- R41 A: Not exactly.
- [25] Q: Do you recall him saying anything else about the

Page 75

Q: Apart from that statement by Heather Geesey, do

A: I'm not sure what board meeting that was, but it

- |z| you recall anything she said relating to the
- B) biology text?

125) was a board meeting.

- 4 A: No.
- (5) Q: How about Jane Cleaver?
- isj A: No.
- [7] Q: How about Angie Yingling?
- [8] A: No.
- Q: How about Sheila Harkins?
- [10] A: Not specifically.
- [11] Q: You said not specifically. In general do you-
- [12] A: She was not president of the board then, so I
- [13] don't specifically remember her being singled
- [14] OUL.
- Q: I understand. If you look at the agenda here we're talking about, there's a statement there
- that says, Bonsell intelligent design. Does
- (ii) that says, borises meangers
- us that trigger any-
- [10] A: No, it does not.
- 20) Q: Bert, if you would, I'd ask you to look through
- [21] Miller 2. If you look about halfway through
- that pack of documents, there's a document there
- that's headed at the top it's directed to
- [24] Mr. Baksa and it begins, the new biology text
- gs we would like to order is Prentice Hall Biology

ertha E. Spahr lay 19, 2005 Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 76	Page 78
η by Miller and Levine copyright 2002. It	(i) not.
2) concludes with, thank you, biology department.	[2] Q: At this board curriculum committee you're
3] Does that look familiar to you, Bert?	[3] recalling now, who was present?
A: Yes, it does.	A: Mr. Buckingham, Mrs. Harkins, Mr. Baksa, Jen
[5] Q: Did you produce that document?	[5] Miller, I believe Rob Eshbach, and myself, I do
6 A: 1 did not.	[6] not know if Bob Linker was in attendance. He's
7] Q: Who did?	7) a coach, and sometimes if you have meetings
A: Members of the biology department.	(8) after school, he has duties that are elsewhere,
Q: And that's the process we've described?	(9) SO.
A: That's correct. I believe Jen Miller was the	Q: How about Casey Brown?
in lead person in writing it.	A: One of the meetings with the curriculum
o re gramment	(12) committee Casey Brown was not in attendance, and
u: If you rip two pages, you if see a document	[13] I'm not sure for what reason. But one she was
	not here.
o to the second name Rest	[15] Q: How about Alan Bonsell, do you recall him being
Ci - Ci - Look shot corre	ing there?
(15) you'll see product profile for a book that says (17) — it's a book by Bob Jones University Press.	A: I do not recall whether he was present.
1.1 id Clin fromban worn'll con	(18) Q: How about Richard Nilsen, Dr. Nilsen?
the street was a bonded at the top Curriculum	[19] A: I don't remember that either, and I'm not sure
[19] handwritten notes neaded at the top, Curriculand [20] Committee and dated June 4, 2004. Do you	120 if Dr. Peterman was there.
21) recognize those, Bert?	[21] Q: What was the subject of the meeting?
to the state of the same area documents. I do not	A: We were again since this obviously is the
23 recognize this, and I do not know whose	beginning of June of 2004 still trying to
pay handwriting it is.	resolve are we going to get the Miller and
Q: So let's look at the top two then. Tell me, if	Levine biology text before we left to go home
Page	77 Page 79
m you would, when did you first see those	[1] from school because the purchase order would
g documents?	121 have gone out the 30th of June in preparation
A: We were in a curriculum meeting with the	[3] for the July 1 change. And so we were still
[4] curriculum committee, and these two documents	μη discussing the book.
[5] were handed to us while we were in attendance in	[5] Q: Do you recall anything more specific about the
16) the meeting. Somebody had contacted Christian	is discussion? Discussing the book in what way?
77 School of York, Delone Catholic, and York	77 Well, do you recall anything Mr. Buckingham
m Catholic to inquire what textbook they use. The	[8] said? Was he complaining about the book?
of other book was a suggestion that was given to us	[9] A: Well, he still had questions about the book. I
no to look at in lieu of the biology book that we	lig don't know whether complaining about it, but he
[11] were suggesting.	[11] certainly still had questions. This was the
[12] Q: Let's take a look at that now. You indicated	reason that he had directed I believe Mr. Baksa
133 there was a meeting of the board curriculum	[13] to contact these other — and obviously these
[14] committee?	are religious schools here — only to find out
ps A: I believe in this room.	[15] Delone Catholic had the same book that we did
[16] Q: Can you date it?	(16) which was the Miller and Levine book.
[17] A: If you look at the bottom of the e-mail or the	The suggestion was also would we — because
[18] book having to do with Bob Jones University, it	me had reviewed many different texts, not just
ng says 6/8/2004. I'm assuming that's the date I	the Miller and Levine book, Lenko, Prentice
go received it. So I would think it would be	[20] Hall, Holt, Rinehart and Winston. We had
gay sometime early in June. And the notes, if you	[21] reviewed some and of course were handed this as
22) also look, are dated that same day, 6/4, of the	227 a possible suggestion for review.
[23] Curriculum committee.	[23] Q: Who handed it to you?
[24] Q: But you don't recall seeing the notes?	[24] A: I believe this was given to us by Mr. Baksa at
A: I do not know whose notes they are, no, I do	

Page 80

Q: Did Mr. Baksa ever tell you to review any of (2) those books?

A: No. He just handed it to us, and the book was (4) not present.

Q: And the same thing with the book that's from Bob 6 Jones University Press, did he-

A: That's the one I'm referring to, that one. We (8) got both of these from him at that meeting, m these documents.

Q: But he didn't tell you to review any of these it [11] sounds like.

A: No. (12)

Q: Is that right? [13]

A: Not specifically, no. [14]

Q: How about in terms of recalling the discussion [16] had at the meeting, do you recall any specifics? A: I think that may have been the meeting-It

[18] certainly was one of those curriculum meetings [19] in June where I believe I looked at 201 Mr. Buckingham, and I said to him, if I hear you [21] say man and monkey in the same sentence one more [22] time, I am going to scream. That may have been 1231 the meeting.

Q: Tell me about that. Was Mr. Buckingham saying 251 man and monkey during this meeting to the extent

Q: Let's stop right there. You say I think certain (2) members of the board were offended. Do you have [3] any specific members in mind?

A: Yes, Mr. Buckingham. And there were also other [5] employees in the school district that found that mural to be offensive because of their religious [7] convictions.

Q: I think I know the answer to this, but how do 191 you know that, Bert?

A: Because over one weekend the mural was taken out [11] of the biology room and burned is how I have (12) that feeling.

Q: I thought I might have that sense, too. Bert, [14] if you would, would you look at Miller 4, top [15] page. Let me refresh your recollection for the [16] purposes of this little section of the (17) deposition here. That looks like— That first (18) page of Miller 4 is titled, history - mural [19] evolution of man. Is that a document that you (20) created?

A: Yes.

Q: Where did you get the information for that 234 document, Bert?

A: I lived it. 1241

Q: You did? [25]

Page 81

Page 83

[1] you can recall?

A: Yes.

Q: Well, tell me what he said in connection with

A: Well, man and monkey in the same sentence sometimes has to do with certain people's [7] perception of evolution. We have to my 183 knowledge never taught that man came from a monkey. But much of this man and monkey in conversation had to do with a mural that was in [13] the school district that was given to the, if 112] you will, I guess science department by a [13] student. It was his senior focal project in the [14] late 1990 something, '98 I believe maybe, where us he painted a very large mural, 16 feet by [15] 4 feet, of the traditional evolutionary assent [17] of man that you often see where on the one end [18] you had the four, you know, whatever and the

[18] other end the upright man. That mural sat in one of the science rooms, pn now, not the present ones because the whole [22] building has been redesigned. And I think there 23 were certain members of the board and certain [24] community members that were offended by the fact gs) that that was in the school system.

A: Yes, I was next door to the room in which the [1] g mural was.

Q: So this document you've just referred to relates (a) to the mural that you're discussing. Is that is right?

A: That is correct.

Q: So tell me about the discussion-Well, we're 184 talking about this meeting in June, and you indicated that Mr. Buckingham is using the phrase monkey and a man, and you are obviously [11] linking that usage to this mural. Tell me more.

A: I actually questioned him as to where this man ps; and monkey idea came from, and I may even have [14] asked him does this go back to the mural which [15] basically sat in what then was Room 217. And [16] the reason that I say that, okay, at a board meeting in the spring of the year 2004 - now, l [18] cannot tell you what meeting, okay — a picture [18] of that mural apparently was shown to board 201 members by Mr. Buckingham, I knew about that. [21] And it may have been in this June curriculum [22] meeting I asked him point-blank where he had [23] gotten the picture of that mural. He would not

Q: A couple things, let me ask you, how did you

(24) answer me.

rtha E. Spahr ay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

V	•
	o

know about the picture? How did you come to know about the picture of the mural?

A: Somebody who was in attendance, and I cannot tell you specifically who, at the board

1 meeting - it could have been an association

4 person - was sitting in the audience when the

n picture was being passed around. I did not see

n it. I was not present at the time.

Q: But you have this discussion with

of Mr. Buckingham, and how did he respond to your

A: He did not respond when I asked him questions as a to how he came by that picture. He just didn't

4 answer me. Q: How about your statement, you know, if I hear ig man and monkey again I'm going to scream, did he 171 respond to that?

A: Not really. But he didn't say man and monkey in 19) a sentence again, so. I mean, it was the end of 20] that discussion at that point.

Q: Well, Bert, tell me you seem to be remembering a 22 meeting that has some details sticking out.

[23] What I want to get is what do you remember about

124) this exchange? What are the concerns that are 251 being expressed by Mr. Buckingham and the way in

Page 85

(1) which you as the science faculty are responding [2] to them?

A: Other than that brief exchange, it's always been 14 a relatively civilized meeting. That probably I s pushed it on that one, but finally I had had it. 16) His concern was he felt, did not know, but felt [7] that we were teaching that man comes from a [8] monkey.

Q: Now, did he say that? A: Yes, in some discussions he actually said that. [19] And I said to him, and the biology teachers [12] obviously said it more emphatically than I did, 1191 that was not my perception. To my knowledge— [14] Now, I have to say to my knowledge because I am [15] as department chair a facilitator of doing (18) things. I am not a first line supervisor. I do [17] not have the opportunity to go into biology [18] classrooms and see and hear what they are 1191 teaching. That's not part of my job [20] description.

So I'm saying, okay, his perception was we 1221 teach man comes from a monkey. And I felt that 123] that came about because of the relationship to (24) this mural, if the mural is sitting in a [25] classroom. And it was because the building and

Page 86

[1] grounds people refused to hang it on the wall so 2 it had a permanent hanging. So this is where I

[3] got some of the clue that something was amiss μ here.

The science department had requested that [6] like all the other murals in the school it be

[7] permanently affixed to the wall so it was

B stationary. And for whatever reason, the

19) building and grounds people would not do that.

ng And so it was sitting on the chalkboard in the

[11] back of the room in the tray.

Q: Let me ask you, Bert, the document you created [13] which is the first document in the packet marked

[14] Miller 4 halfway down has a reference to a [15] Mr. Reeser?

A: That's correct. {16}

Q: Was Mr. Reeser building and grounds?

A: He was the head of building and grounds for the

[19] district, not just the high school, for the

120) district, yes.

Q: Would Mr. Reeser be the person who would be

[22] charged with seeing to it that the mural was

[23] affixed as the department desired?

A: We originally asked the janitorial staff that

25) services our building which would have been the

Page 87

[1] high school, and they in turn apparently before

(2) they could hang it up had to get permission from [3] Mr. Reeser, and that permission was not granted.

Q: Continue, Bert, with your story. You've got

[5] this sense that the mural is underlying

[6] Mr. Buckingham's concerns, and you bring that to

his attention. What happens next? What's the

(e) nature of the exchange?

A: I specifically asked him, does this go back to no the time of the mural because man and monkey

[11] would certainly evolve from this picture. And

12) he questioned as to whether that was what our

(13) biology department was teaching in these biology

[14] classes.

And it was at that point that Jen Miller (15) 1181 and the biology people responded to that because

177 I could not answer that. I did not know that,

[18] That pretty much, you know, once we had gotten

[19] over that, that ended it, and we went on to

201 something else.

Q: Do you recall what Jen said? [21]

A: Not specifically. But to my knowledge, she 1231 indicated that none of the present biology

[24] teachers ever teach that man comes from a

gsj monkey.

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

Page 68

Q: You say to your knowledge, Bert. Are you saying 12) based on what Jen had told you earlier about the (a) way in which she presented evolutionary theory?

A: Yes. I do not have that firsthand.

Q: We've got some statements by Mr. Buckingham at in this meeting. How about you say Sheila was [7] there. Did Sheila Harkins say anything?

A: Not that sticks out in my mind.

Q: Did she react or how did she react to

po Mr. Buckingham's comments? A: I think she raised her eyes when I spoke to him, [12] as I recall. But she did not make a comment, [13] you know, in regard to what he had said to me.

Q: You say you let Mr. Buckingham have it that (15) time?

A: I don't know whether I'd say have it. I just 117] simply said to him, if I hear man and monkey in the same sentence one more time, I am going to ng scream indicating that I had heard enough of it. go But, I mean, that was the only exchange. I may [21] have raised my voice, but, I mean, I didn't-

Q: How about any of the other biology teachers, do 123] you recall them saying anything at this meeting?

A: I'm sure they had input. I'm not sure gs specifically what. Our major concern at the

Page 89

[1]

BY MR. GILLEN:

Q: Bert, we were speaking about a meeting with the 14] board curriculum committee which you believe I

is think was sometime in June?

A: That's correct.

(Recess taken)

Q: We had some discussion about the exchanges that

[8] occurred in that meeting. I want to ask you, do

you remember the book Of Pandas coming up at

(10) that time?

A: It did not come up at that meeting. [11]

Q: You have a definite recollection that it did [12]

nal not?

A: I do. [14]

Q: How about specific complaints that

(16) Mr. Buckingham had with the text?

A: One of Mr. Buckingham's complaints was that it

[18] was laced with Darwinism.

Q: Do you remember him saying that? [19]

A: Yes. (20)

Q: Do you remember-

A: I do not know if it was at that specific

meeting, but that was one of his complaints

[24] about the textbook that we had selected.

Q: Did he tell you what he meant by that? Did he

[1] June meeting, wherever this was, okay, was are (2) we going to have this biology book for the start [3] of school. It was the last day of school. We (4) wanted to go home. And so we didn't want to [5] delay this any longer than we needed to. Our is purpose was to find out will we have a biology [7] book. And that's primarily. And then the next (8) monkey wrench.

Q: Miller 4 references the destruction of the no mural. Do you recall any discussion with [11] Mr. Buckingham relating to the destruction of [12] the mural?

A: Not with Mr. Buckingham. I don't think [14] Mr. Buckingham was in the school district at (15) that time. So- Now, he certainly- 1 think 1181 somewhere in all of our meetings the destruction 1173 of the mural came up because a board policy was [18] created on accepting contributions from students [19] and outside sources as a result of that where 120] the board I guess had not been asked for [21] approving the fact that the student gave this [22] mural to the school.

I'm not exactly sure what the mechanics 124] were whereby the student gave it to the school gs) because it was in a classroom of a teacher who

Page 90

Page 91

n is no longer here. But I know a board policy evolved, okay, where the board felt they had the

[3] right to either accept or reject a gift from (4) outside.

[5] Q: Well, let me ask you about that. Do you have (6) any recollection of discussions concerning

policy relating to donations to the district?

A: At one meeting it certainly did come up where 191 they now-And I remember we said it probably

would not be a bad idea for a policy to be used

that this would not happen again that, you know,

112 a gift would be blatantly destroyed and the

person that gave it not given the opportunity to na take it back.

Q: Do you recall in that discussion who suggested 1161 the idea of the donations policy?

A: No, I do not. I know at the curriculum (18) committee meeting, that may have been the one

19 that Casey Brown was there, Sheila Harkins, and [20] Mr. Buckingham, and I think it was simply an

24 open discussion where we as a department agreed

that maybe it would not be a bad idea so that,

123] you know, this does not happen and we go through (24) this one more time.

MR. GILLEN: Let's take a brief break.

(25) Page 88 - Page !

ertha E. Spahr lay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 94

Page 95

Page 92

n elaborate?

A: He indicated that references to Darwin's theory B) of evolution was in more than one place in the chapter in the biology text on evolution.

Q: Do you remember him going through the text in any way with page numbers or anything?

A: Yes. And that is on a document which I believe (8) you have in your possession.

Q: Are you referencing to these handwritten notes?

A: No. It is a typed document. 101

Q: Why don't you take a look at Miller 2. Does 112] that document look familiar to you?

A: Yes, it does.

Q: Do you think that you saw this document we're [15] looking at now which is one of the documents in [16] Miller 2 headed, Teachers Edition Prentice Hall [17] Biology Miller/Levine with a handwritten [18] notation, given to Jen Miller in the upper right [19] hand corner, do you think you've seen that 120) before?

A: Yes, I have. [21]

Q: Do you think you saw it in connection with the 1221 [28] meeting we're talking about now in June of 2004?

A: I do not know if we received it at that specific [25] meeting, but we received a copy of this after

[1] and Miss Miller and what we've already talked 23 about, do you recall anything else being said at 131 the meeting by Mr. Buckingham about the text or (4) his reservations with the-

A: Not at that particular meeting, no.

Q: You say not at that particular meeting. Did you [7] have discussions with him later?

A: I did not have discussions with him later.

[8] Subsequently to this June meeting, right before

we left to come home from school, this book rep nn sent us and Rob received the book the 2004

12 edition of Miller and Levine.

I immediately because I am an honest person [14] called Mr. Baksa and I said, you need to be [15] prepared that there is now a 2004 edition of this textbook out there before the vote which we [17] thought was going to occur in July goes down to purchase the 2002 edition.

Q: Stop right there. I see where you're going. [20] Let me ask you, about when did you get the 2004 [21] edition?

A: It was sometime between this meeting and when we 1231 left to go home for the summer.

Q: Now, if you turn the page on Miller 2, there's [25] an e-mail I don't want you to look at. Turn the

Page 93

[1] page again if you would, Bert. We're looking at 2 apage that has at its top the heading, Beyond

131 the Evolution versus Creation Debate. It has a

[4] handwritten notation, given to me by Baksa

[5] spring 2004. Do you recall seeing this

岡 document?

A: I recall seeing it. I have a copy in my file.

Q: When did you see this Bert?

A: I cannot tell you what meeting this was given to

no us by Mr. Baksa, but it was sometime in the

spring of 2004. It was before that summer

[12] curriculum meeting.

Q: Before the June meeting we've been discussing? ោខា

A: I believe.

Q: Do you recall a discussion of this chart? HÐ

A: No, I do not.

Q: If you flip the page again, Bert, to a document 1171

that is headed Dover Area School District

[19] Changes in the 2002 and 2004 Copyright Biology

go Books from Prentice Hall, do you recognize that

21 document?

A: Yes, I do. 1221

Q: Does that document relate to the process you've [24] just described of receiving an updated edition

is before the close of the school year in the

111 Mr. Buckingham had reviewed what was the teacher g edition of the 2002 Miller and Levine book. And

131 that was the only one that we had for him to

[4] review, It was sent to us by the Prentice Hall s rep.

And some of the objections that he cited m here had to do with references that appeared in in the teacher's edition that would never have been p seen by a student.

Q: Do you recall any discussion at the meeting [11] along the lines you just suggested?

A: I remember Jen Miller pointing out to him that (13) this was not a student edition of the book but

[14] it was the only one that we had available for ps him to take with him to review.

Q: If I understand you correctly, Bert, you're 177 saying Jen was pointing out to him that some of [18] his marked pages were pages that students would

ing never see? A: That's correct, where they had suggested [21] discussion questions. They had suggested activities to be used by the teacher, whether a [23] teacher chose to do so. The student certainly, pa though, would never have seen those suggestions.

Q: Apart from that exchange between Mr. Buckingham

[1] spring of 2004?

A: The book that we received was very close to when we left at the end of school which would have been in June. That was when we got the 2004 sedition. But, yes, I recognize this document.

C: Now, if I look at Miller 4, I see an entry for July 2004, and it references that J. Miller, spahr, Baksa, Nilsen reviewed the chapter on

[9] Spant, baksa, whisen reviewed the chapter on go evolution. Now, let me ask you, did that review take place at the beginning, the middle, or in the end of July?

A: I have no idea. I know we were all home for the summer vacation, and all of us came to

Dr. Nilsen's office to review the two editions

of the book side by side.

Q: Do you know if the meeting you've just referenced occurred before or after whatever board meetings were had by the school board in July of 2004?

A: My recollection is that it was probably before to because the ordering of the book was tabled to until the August meeting in terms of having people review the comparison.

[24] Q: So you think the review took place prior to the [25] July board meeting?

Page 97

m A: I believe so.

Q: Then let's look at that document headed Changes in the 2002-2004 Copyright Biology Books. Tell me what sort of reviews you conducted.

A: We opened both books to the chapter on evolution because the other chapters were not in question, and we read paragraph by paragraph, picture, laid diagram by diagram to see where the changes were by between the two editions.

Our conclusion when we got to the end was
that we felt that the 2004 edition would
probably be less offensive to most people than
that the 2002 edition. And, therefore, our
that recommendation was that we actually purchase one
that was not already two, three years old but
the 2004 edition.

[17] Q: Did you look through the book yourself, Bert?

[18] A: I was, yes, sitting at the table.

Q: As you went through it, did you compare the presentation of evolutionary theory in the 2002 edition and in the 2004?

221 A: Yes.

Q: Did you have an impression as to the thrust of the changes made in the presentation of the 2004 ps; text?

Page 98

A: The verbiage in the 2004 edition removed words like primate from the discussion. In Number 1 so you will see deleted the word primates from ancestors shared with felines. Some of the diagrams and pictures removed again things that were certainly considered controversial so that we felt that the presentation of 2004 would be more acceptable we even thought to the board.

the changes from the standpoint of assertions
the made on behalf of evolutionary theory, to be
trained by the changes from the standpoint of assertions
the changes from the standpoint of assertions
that made for what
the changes from the standpoint of assertions
the changes from the standpoint of assertions
that the changes from the changes fro

[14] A: That was not our intent. Our intent was to see [15] how the two editions differed on this subject in [16] that chapter.

Q: That's what I'm getting at, Bert. When you looked at it, how they differed, were you looking at how they differed from the standpoint looking at how they differed from the standpoint looking at how they differed from the standpoint looking at the 2002 text looking with respect to what evolutionary theory showed looking at the 2004 looking edition with respect to the same concern what looking claims could be made for evolutionary theory?

[25] A: I can't answer that. I am not the authority on

Page 99

what had previously been done in the textbooks
in evolution. I think I was the one that
so basically wrote down words. I believe Jen had
one book. I believe Mr. Baksa had the other
book. And Dr. Nilsen would be floating in and
out. So it was kind of a collaborative effort
where we were literally comparing one chapter to
the other.

[9] Q: I don't want to belabor it. I just want to get [10] a sense for the nature of that review. I know [11] biology isn't your field. So when you're [12] reviewing the text, I know you're comparing the [13] presentation of evolutionary theory in the two [14] versions, correct?

(15) A: That's correct.

Q: What are you reviewing them in light of? I mean, what are you looking for?

mean, what are you looking for?

18 A: Well, we certainly were looking to see if, in 19 fact — because certain chapters are never 120 changed from one edition to another. We were 121 looking to see whether the new chapter in the 122 2004 book reflected any changes in light of the 123 controversy that had been seen in print in the 124 last several years because certainly this issue

[25] has been in print, e-mail, in documents,

ertha E. Spahr lay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 100	Page 102
n discussed all kinds of places. And we were	(1) were basically looking at, at that particular
n there to see whether they had made changes that	12) point in time.
would make the book less controversial to	(3) Q: Let me see if I can go at this a different way
people.	(4) here. When you came away from your review of
Q: You say seen in print. Are you referring to	15) the text, you say that you thought it would be
just media generally apart from Dover Area—	s more acceptable?
A: Yes, yes.	A: We felt that.
Q: When you are referencing that sort of	(a) Q: Tell me why.
on controversy, Bert, what are you getting at	A: Because some of the words that had been used,
n exactly?	[10] some of the diagrams and some of the pictures
a A: Well, there were court cases that were taking	were removed from the 2004 edition. This whole
place in Georgia, there were some in Kansas,	itel idea of common descent was a big controversial
there were some in Michigan which involved this	issue, anything obviously having to do with
evolution and creationism discussion, and	[14] primates.
s certain books certainly came up.	But when we reviewed it, and we basically
In Texas the discussion came up. And one	did it from the beginning to the end of the
n of the big things is Texas purchases one book	(17) chapter, and felt that Miller and Levine had
of the entire state. And, therefore, if you	[18] done their best in doing the new edition to
g are going to have this purchased, then you	19 soften it and make it more appealing to a larger
of certainly want to make the chapter that deals	go audience.
with evolution the least offensive to attract	Q: When you looked at those changes, did you have
27 the greatest audience. And we felt it literally	22 any sense for what was driving them? I mean-
al had been softened.	123) A: No.
Q: You reference the evolution versus creationism	[24] Q: No?
25] debate, and we're talking about the period of	(25) A: I did not.
Page 101	Page 103
[1] July 2004. By that time, Bert, did you have an	Q: Well, did you see them as addressing specific
opinion concerning whether intelligent design	27 claims advanced on behalf of evolutionary
p) was equivalent to creationism?	p theory?
A. I beard the mond intelligent design up	A: I was not aware of that, no. That was not what
s until that time.	[5] I was looking for. I was reading sentence by
6 Q: Up until what time?	sentence and not necessarily comprehending
7) A: Actually August of 2004.	anything that was being presented. We were just
Q: So are you just— Well, let me ask you, you're	(a) hunting differences to point out.
saying in the June board meetings you didn't	Q: And it seems like when you were hunting
ng hear the term intelligent design?	ing differences you were doing it in large in this
A: I did not because I— I don't remember it,	[11] sort of cultural controversy you've referenced.
(12) okay. I do not remember it. It certainly was	(12) Is that right?
113) not a discussion among the members of my	A: That's correct.
[14] department, Intelligent design never came to us	Q: You've referenced common descent several times.
(15) until the book Of Pandas and People came to our	[15] Numbered Item 11 on the page we're looking at
ing attention.	116] now says, in same paragraph deletes common
m n t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	117] descent, Do you recall any discussion among the
[18] July 2004, you're reviewing the text to see if	no science faculty or the science faculty and the
[19] it's less controversial from the standpoint of	ing administration relating to that deletion, what
full it a vega controversions were mic possessions or	illed accommendate to the part and

A: I think Jen Miller had the one book, and

A: I don't recall any, no. That's not to say some

Q: Understood. You indicated that you- Who was

201 significance it might have?

(22) discussion did not occur.

[24] it, Jen Miller?

[21]

A: Well, certainly less controversial with the

122) introduction of the words primates meaning 123) monkeys or common descent. That was a big bone

pa) of contention, the stress being change over

[25] time. And those were the kind of things that we

[20] creationism?

[1] Mr. Baksa had the second book, and I was kind of 12) there writing. We both kind of, you know-We 3) commonly, you know, shared because of course we 44 knew that the board meeting was imminent and now is) here we were with a new edition, and we knew it is) was going to present a new problem.

Q: A new problem or a solution?

A: No, a new problem in that we had been assured in p June that the 2002 edition of the Miller and (10) Levine book was going to be approved by the [11] board.

Q: Let's go back to that. Thanks, Bert. So when 113 you came away from the June 2004 meeting with [14] the board curriculum committee, it was your (15) understanding that Miller and Levine 2002 would (16) be approved by-

A: We were assured by that curriculum committee (18) that the 2002 book would be ordered.

Q: Then the new book comes, and you see it's up in [20] the air again. Is that correct?

A: We felt it probably would be because now there's 221 a new edition. And they always pointed out, you [23] know, why would you waste money buying a 2002 [24] edition when, in fact, it's always three years [25] old even though the date says 2002 when now

Page 105

[1] there is a new one which is now on the market.

And that was the reason I called Mr. Baksa [3] and said, you know, I hate to tell you this but in the new one has now been received by us, what do [5] we need to do. And that's when we sat down and [6] we attempted to go through and see where they (7) differed.

Q: It seems you anticipated an objection to the 2002 text based on it being old already?

A: Yes.

Q: Now, did you generate this document we're (12) looking at, Bert, that's got the heading,

[13] Changes in the 2002 and 2004 Copyright Biology [14] Books?

A: I believe we had written it in pencil, and I [16] think it was my understanding that Mr. Baksa's 117] secretary actually typed the document as you see

Q: Did you have a meeting with the board curriculum 201 committee as a result of the work that we need

[21] to preparing that document? A: Not to my knowledge. It was summer, and it [23] would be hard to find with everybody's

[24] vacations, you know.

Q: So you don't think there was any other meetings?

Page 106

A: I do not believe we ever had any meeting with the board subsequent to this.

Q: The board curriculum committee?

A: With the board curriculum committee, that's is correct.

Q: If you would, Bert, please look at Miller 3, the [7] agenda for the July 2nd, 2004 board meeting.

A: July 12th?

Q: Yes. If you go to Item XIII curriculum, you'll 1101 see a notation on the right hand side, table to (11) next meeting going into new edition at no 12 additional cost. Does that reflect what we just 1131 talked about?

A: Yes. 1141

Q: So it was tabled at the July 12th meeting. From 1181 your standpoint, Bert, you've got this meeting where you've reviewed the differences in the [18] text, and was there anything, any communications (19) that you had with either the administration, members of the school board, or your science 211 faculty relating to the science biology textbook [22] between that meeting you just discussed in July [23] and the board meeting that was held on [24] August 2nd, 2004?

A: Not to my knowledge.

Page 107

Q: Did you attend the August 2nd board meeting? [1]

A: I don't think so because I think I was on [3] vacation. I was not in attendance at the August le board meeting.

Q: But at some point in August it seems to me based is on what you've said that the book Of Pandas came n to your attention?

A: My recollection is when we met in Mr. — with p Mr. Baksa in July in Dr. Nilsen's office I

policy a copy of Of Pandas and People was given [13] to Jen to look at. Nothing was said about it

(12) other than this is a book, would she please, you

[13] know, look at it or, you know, read through it.

141 I did not have one. It was just given to her at ns that time.

Q: Now, I just remembered something I wanted to ask 177 you about. Going back to that June 2004

[18] meeting, do you recall there being any

119) videotapes or DVDs given to the science faculty

[20] for review in that June meeting?

A: I don't know when it was, the department was [22] given a video which I believe was a set of

(23) three, or certainly there were three videos

[24] involved. And on the last in-service day the

gs biology department viewed that video. And it

Page 111

lay 19, 2005

n had to do with discrepancies in the Darwin 21 theory.

And while we were viewing the video, [4] Mr. Baksa came into the building, and I jokingly 15) said, see, we're doing what you asked us to do, is we're watching this video. And he asked us, you m know, how we felt about it. And we said, there (a) is some validity to it. Now, are we going to 19) use it in our classroom, but, you know, we were in willing to say, yes, there certainly is some 19] validity to what we're looking at.

And interestingly enough, one of the people [13] on the video was one of the two authors of the [14] bio book. But, yes, we did view one video, and [15] it was basically on the last day of the school [16] year as I recall.

Q: And in that meeting in June do you recall there [18] being some discussion of gaps in evolutionary (19) theory and Jen Miller saying something like, you 201 know, we can present the information on gaps? A: As a compromise to this curriculum committee,

(22) we - when I say we, certainly the biology 123] teachers because I don't teach it — would be [24] willing to point out that there are some gaps in [25] this theory. And we were willing to do that

Page 108

(i) ninth grader. I have a master's degree, and I [2] could barely get through it. Now, granted it is 13) not my area of expertise, but it was very, very

41 difficult to read. I felt that it was not good

is) science, and I felt that there were some

statements in there actually concerning

77 chemicals that were not accurate.

I further went to the front of it. I pl looked who published it, tried to look up some information on the publisher. To my knowledge, [13] this company that's out of Texas prior to the [12] publishing of this book has only ever published [13] labels in farming and manuals.

I furthermore then tried to research the [14] [15] authors to see what their background was. I he looked at who had reviewed the book and found (17) there was one high school teacher and everybody [18] else was college professors. So I felt that the 19 book was more suitable to freshmen or sophomore [20] college students than it ever would have been to gn a ninth grade student body.

Q: You said you felt there was not good science. [23] What did you mean by that, Bert?

A: Well, that it had some statements in it - one ps had to do with the oxidation of carbon

Page 109

in compounds - which when you read it just was not

2 accurate. It had things in it which were not [3] going to be able to be proven, okay? In other

(4) words, if you— I mean, I felt that what they

is, were putting forth was a belief and not a in theory.

Q: You've referenced some things that you think [8] Couldn't be proven and so on. I just want to

make sure I understand what you're getting at

ig there. Tell me more about that, Bert, when you

[11] say things that couldn't be proven.

A: Well, a belief is difficult to prove in a lab nal situation which is everything in science is

[14] pretty much lab based. For instance, I cannot

prove what God is or is not. It is a belief,

[18] Where a theory has been time-tested explanations

[17] which basically cover observations and is our

18 foundation in science. It is different from a

1181 law, and it is different from a hypothesis. Q: I take it you understood the text to be

gal advancing claims that were not - did not

[22] satisfy your conception of what a theory was.

[23] Is that correct?

Min-U-Script®

A: That's correct.

Q: And it seems, Bert, from what you've said that

[1] thinking that we could maybe get this settled if [2] this was going to be what would make him happy.

Q: Do you recall Jen saying something to the effect [4] of I show the gaps to my students anyway?

A: Many of the biology teachers have already done in that as past practice. But now what we would [7] have been directed to do at that point is to see (8) to it that every biology teacher would basically be teaching this as a consistency.

Q: Okay, I see. Back now to July and Of Pandas, [11] you think it was then that Jen got a copy to (12) review?

A: Yes.

Q: How about yourself, did you ever- I know it's [15] not your subject matter, but did you ever review ne the text?

A: Yes, I did. I read only the beginning which was [18] the overview. There's a beginning which is the (19) overview which covers all of the chapters, and 201 then there's obviously what follows it which gan goes into greater depth. I read the overview. Q: What was your take on it, Bert, after you 1231 reviewed the text?

A: I felt that the reading level of the text 125] material would never have been suitable to a Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

[2] lab situation?

[4]

[10]

[15]

A: Yes.

A: Yes.

(14) was 2004.

112) the text Of Pandas?

[21] the last week of August.

with Jen about the book.

[1] your overall criteria seems to be testable in a

[5] anything else? You said earlier that it was

[8] it through your review of this book-

(6) during this period of time that you first heard

173 the term intelligent design. Did you first hear

[11] about did you ever speak with Jen Miller about

Q: Apart from these reservations you've referenced,

Q: -learned of the term intelligent design? How

A: Not until we returned to school that fall which

Q: Tell me what you recall about your discussions

A: We talked about the readability of the book -

Q: Just when does school start, September?

A: No. Usually it's the last week of August, I

think because of the building project one year [18] we went after Labor Day which I can't remember

(19) which year that is. But that was due to the [20] building project. But normally we begin school Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

Page 114

Page 115

Page 112

[1] you find criticisms of it online?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you collect those?

A: Yes.

Q: 1 know that you provided me with some documents.

A: Yes. And those are the ones that I had in my

m possession.

Q: Do you recall discussing the book with Bryan

191 Rehm? Was he still at the district at that

(10) time?

[11] A: No, he was not.

Q: Discussions with members of the board curriculum

[13] committee about the book?

A: I don't remember discussing Of Pandas and

[15] People, I never heard of the book other than

[16] when it was given by Mr. Baksa to Jen at that

July meeting. I was not at the August board

[18] meeting when the vote to purchase the at that

point 2004 edition of Miller and Levine came up

go for the vote. It went to a four/four tie. Then

[21] Mrs. Yingling switched to a five/three.

And of course I was away but was appalled (23) when I got back because I had been assured by [24] the curriculum committee that this book was

[25] going to go through and then come to find out

Page 113

in the readability was too far above the level of [2] the students it was supposed to serve - some of

25) and everybody who looked at it maintained that

131 the theories which were presented in the book.

And I think I have some notes somewhere

[5] having to do with notes that I had taken when we [6] read the book because I remember asking I don't

[7] know whether it was Mr. Buckingham, but it was

[8] somebody on the curriculum committee, to explain

(9) what this meant that I read in the book because

[10] I as a scientist could not figure out what they

my were basically trying to say and did not get a

[12] response to that.

It was a pretty sophisticated vocabulary,

[14] and their explanations were well beyond the

[15] comprehension of ninth graders even if they were

[16] honor students. We did discuss that, though.

Q: You discussed that with Mr. Buckingham? [17]

A: No, with Jen. 1181

Q: Oh, with Jen? [19]

A: And the department. 1201

Q: Let's ask about that, how about Rob Eshbach, do

|22| you recall any discussions with him?

A: I do not know whether he had a copy of the book,

124) but he certainly read excerpts from the book.

Q: You said you did some research on the book. Did

[1] that Mr. Buckingham had voted no on the book

unless Of Pandas and People would serve as a

[3] companion book and be given to each student.

(4) Now, that was the first time I had ever heard

is anything about this book becoming a student

is text, so.

Q: When you returned, obviously somebody informed

(8) you as to what had transpired at the August 2nd

[9] board meeting. Who was that?

A: Well, I can't tell you exactly. I do know that

[11] before I left we had prepared all of the

purchase orders so that if this were approved we

[13] had called the book companies, and the book

[14] companies had assured us that within receipt of

115] the fax on the day after the board had approved

it they would have those textbooks in our

1171 possession within two weeks. So we assumed that

ing this was going to go ahead.

Now, the purchase orders were in the hands 20) of Dawn Spahr, not a relative of mine who was

gn the secretary to our principal, and they were

[22] automatically when the vote went down faxed to

ga them. Q: So the book was approved and purchased?

[24]

1251 A: That's correct.

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

ertha E. Spahr 1ay 19, 2005

Page 116

Q: Now, if we look at your time line, Bert, there 2 on the page which has the number two circled in B) the upper right hand corner there is a reference 4 to a August 22nd, 2004 curriculum meeting. Did is you attend that, do you know?

A: I don't know that, I would assume that I did. רן l can't imagine why I would not have. That was [8] an in-service day.

Q: You have some recollection-

A: Yes. 1101

Q: -of hearing that Of Pandas would be a [11] (12) supplementary text?

A: Well, that came out of the board meeting, not (14) the curriculum meeting. That was the board [15] meeting in August. I was not in attendance at (18) that meeting, but it is my recollection that [17] Casey Brown who then still served on the board [18] asked Mr. Eshbach who was sitting in that board (18) meeting whether he had any knowledge of Of 201 Pandas and People, and he said he did not.

So that was our first inkling that Of Pandas and People was going to have any (23) connection to our biology text that we thought [24] we were going to get.

Q: Just to let me make sure I understand you, Bert,

[1] And budget constraints were pretty narrow.

And of course one of our questions was 13) where are the extra moneys for these books going (4) to come from. Plus we thought they would not

(5) serve the students well because of their

6 difficulty in vocabulary and readability. So then it was suggested, and I cannot

71 [8] remember by whom, that the Panda book only be m used as a reference text, that they be placed in in the science classroom for use for students who [11] chose to read them or whatever. And we're told [12] that the goal of the administration was to place (13) the books in the classrooms as reference as (14) opposed to having each student have his own.

Q: Do you recall who specifically made that (16) suggestion?

A: No. I believe Mr. Baksa and Dr. Nilsen were 1571 (18) trying to appease both sides that, okay, if they [19] say we must have Of Pandas and People and we say we do not want the students to carry two books, [21] we have enough trouble truly trying to get them 122 to carry one, that again we tried to compromise. [23] You know, we thought that this would be an 1241 acceptable possible solution.

Q: You say you tried to compromise. Did the

Page 117

[1] do you seem to recall that Casey Brown had not reviewed the Of Pandas text?

A: I have no knowledge of that, I don't know.

Q: I'm sorry, then I mistook what you just said.

A: I think she was asking of Mr. Eshbach who is represented the science department if we had any [7] knowledge of the Of Pandas and People book, and is he said he did not because he was not at either p of these other two.

Q: So, in other words, if Jen Miller had been there [14] or you had been there, you would have known, but 12 Rob Eshbach had not been at those meetings?

A: That's correct.

Q: Do you recall a meeting in August where this -[15] the use of Of Pandas was discussed with the (16) board curriculum committee?

A: Yes. 1173

Q: Tell me what you recall about that meeting.

[18] A: What I recall about the meeting is that, number 201 one, the science department was certainly based [21] on the fact of its readability or lack thereof 22 happy to spend the kind of money that would be [23] necessary to purchase those companion books for pal each student because we ordered 250 books, so 251 that would mean 250 additional of these books.

Page 119

Page 118

[1] faculty indicate an openness to that use of Of 2 Pandas?

A: You mean the science faculty?

Q: Yes. 141

A: Biology teachers? 5

Q: Yes.

A: They agreed. **[7**]

Q: Let me ask you, we referenced that fall meeting

p in 2003 with Alan Bonsell. Had you done

research on the legality of presenting

[11] Creationism or intelligent design?

A: I did not do the research. Somebody who was a

[13] member of the association, and it was actually [14] the legislative committee, when this came to be

(15) actually did the research and handed me the

[15] packet of papers.

Q: When this came to be, meaning this dispute or

(18) issue came up? A: The controversy.

Q: Was that in 2003 that it first came up and you

[21] mentioned the issue to your association?

A: Well, it was wherever that meeting was with

[23] Mr. Bonsell. Q: That was my question, Bert. So you had the

[25] materials we're talking about now prior to the

Page 123

Page 120

(i) copies of these documents?

A: Yes, I do.

Q: Do you recall which one?

A: Not specifically. [4]

Q: Do you have a sense for who - which board members it might have been who asked for copies?

A: I believe it may have been Mr. Bonsell. It **47**1

is might also have been Casey Brown.

Q: In the meeting we're referencing, did you have discussion with the members of the board

[11] curriculum committee concerning the legality of presenting creationism in the classroom?

A: Yes. And we actually read from some of these (14) documents.

Q: The document we've just discussed, is that the only document that you brought to the meeting nn you're recalling?

A: I cannot say that for sure. I don't know how [19] many of these documents I would have had in my 120 possession at that time.

Q: But the document we're talking about now is [22] highlighted, and if I understand you correctly, [23] it's that highlighting that makes you confident [24] you brought it to the meeting?

A: I brought it to some meeting, yes, yes.

m meeting with Mr. Bonsell in the fall of 2003? A: Yes.

Q: Do you recall giving those materials to

(4) Mr. Bonsell?

A: I remember having those materials. I remember

(6) when the discussion arose I actually had read

[7] from those materials some things that were

[8] highlighted. I believe one member of the board m asked if they could have copies of those things

(10) and was given to one of the secretaries to make

[11] copies of. I cannot tell you for certain

(12) whether it was Mr. Bonsell or whether it was

[13] Casey Brown, but it was somebody. Somebody did

[14] take those materials, copies of them.

Q: So they got a copy of your materials? [15]

A: That's correct. (16)

Q: Bert, if you had to sum up what those [17] [16] materials - Did you provide me those materials?

A: I think so. [19]

MS. PENNY: Why don't we go off the record

[21] a moment and make sure.

(Discussion held off the record)

MR. GILLEN: We are back on the record and

pay have confirmed that Bert in response to my

[2] I'm going to mark the whole packet as

251 subpoena provided me with a set of documents

Page 121

Q: Do you recall discussing the legality of

teaching creationism with Mr. Bonsell in the

pg B. Spahr 1. (B. Spahr Deposition Exhibit #1 marked for

[1] labeled research which I am going to mark as-

[5] identification)

BY MR. GILLEN: Q: What we've marked now is B. Spahr 1, and, Bert,

[7] [8] I'm going to ask you a few things. If we flip

m through this collection of documents, we come to

[10] a set that has a handwritten hash mark in the

in upper right hand corner, and about a third of

[12] the way down the document a bold heading that [13] says, what does the Constitution say about

[14] teaching the religious theories of creation.

[15] And then beneath that there's another heading,

[16] what is creationism? What is creation science?

[17] What is intelligent design theory? And then

ne there's some highlighting on that page. I'm

[19] going to ask you, Bert, do you believe that [20] these are documents you brought to the meeting

(21) with Alan Bonsell in the fall of 2003?

A: I believe I brought them to some meeting. I (23) cannot specifically say if it was that [24] particular one.

Q: Do you recall a board member asking you for

pg fall of 2003?

A: I know we brought up in someone's presence this

is idea because we actually read may a teacher of is science who teaches evolution also teach

[7] religious theories of creation and then said,

10) you know, these are the responses which we have

191 found and our concern is that we will go into

no the classroom and be asked to commit an illegal [11] act.

Q: Do you recall Mr. Bonsell responding to that-[12]

A: No, I do not. [13]

Q: -concern at that time? [14]

A: He was listening and was very open to what we had to say. I do not remember him giving us an [17] answer.

Q: How did you come by the documents that you [18] no brought to this meeting which we're discussing?

A: A member of our professional organization who I

gal believe is on the legislative committee when

1221 this topic came up researched religion in the science class, printed it off of the Internet,

[24] and presented it to me as department head.

Q: Bert, if you would just page through the

III you saw it at that time?

A: I don't think so.

Q: You don't? A: No.

Q: Why is that?

A: Yes.

(5) discussing?

(15) meeting.

161

(B)

193

[16]

Page 124

ertha E. Spahr lay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Q: Do you think you had looked at this material

prior to the fall - prior to the meeting we're

A: I just didn't have time since my primary [41] obligation is to teach students and I had labs

Q: Sure. How about do you think that you had

A: I can't answer that. My guess would be that

117] received these documents from Ms. Lauer prior to

poj that could not be possible because the reference

[22] September/November 2003 journal. And I now

izsi magazine, but we basically, I believe, were able

[23] have, and I think you do as well, that reprint

[24] of that article. We actually didn't have the

12 to set up and tests to grade. So I did not read

(14) the research packet certainly prior to that

(18) the meeting we're discussing?

[21] to the skeptical inquirer is from a

(13) through all of these articles which are here in

Page 126

n documents which follow the document that we've

2] looked at, and you'll see one, Creationist

3] Geologic Time Scale at the top it's headed that

4) way.

A: Yes.

Q: As you look at that document, do you remember

[7] bringing that to the meeting we're discussing?

A: No, I do not.

Q: If you continue to the next stapled group of

of documents in this pack, you'll see it looks like

11) some handwritten notes?

A: Yes. 121

Q: It says, a note from Priscilla J. Lauer? 13

A: Lauer. 1141

Q: Who is that? 115

A: Priscilla J. Lauer is one of the substitutes

that substitutes in our district in the field of

[18] science.

Q: If you flip the page over, you'll see a

[20] handwritten notation on the bottom of that page

gay says, skeptical inquirer October 27th, 2003?

A: That's correct.

Q: Did you make that note?

A: I wrote it down, and the reason I did is because

[25] Mrs. Lauer said that this was a scientific

Page 127 [1] to acquire a reprint of it where it talks about

2) science and religion.

Q: As we sit here today, is there any way for you 14] to tell me any other documents that you may have

brought to this meeting we're discussing?

A: Not to my knowledge.

Q: It's just the highlighting really that allowed

(8) you to distinguish these?

A: That's correct.

Q: Bert, we've been discussing a couple meetings of

[13] the board curriculum committee from the spring

12 through August 30th, 2004. Do you recall

discussing these issues of legality with the

[14] board curriculum committee at these board

(15) curriculum committee meetings we discussed?

A: No. I do not.

Q: Do you recall anything else about this

[18] August 30th meeting in which there was

(18) discussion of using Of Pandas as a reference

poj text?

A: Nothing more than we felt that a compromise 1211

[22] might possibly be reached in not having each

[23] student have Of Pandas and People but simply

[24] have available. And I think the suggestion was

gs made that there were to be 20 copies of the book

Page 125

in journal that contained articles having to do

[2] with intelligent design and creationism and [3] evolution and then tried to find that magazine

4) or that journal. We did not have it available

in our high school.

Q: Do you know when you made that note, Bert?

A: No, I do not. m

Q: Is the handwriting above the reference to 191 skeptical inquirer Volume 27, is that your

[10] handwriting?

A: No. That is the handwriting of Priscilla Lauer

(12) who also gave us some reference material on this

13 issue. She has written some letters to the

[14] editor. She is a biology teacher and was very

[15] concerned over this issue and, therefore, did

115] some research on her own and presented us with [17] this material.

Q: Is this material that you also brought to the [19] meeting with Mr. Bonsell?

A: I do not know that, I did not carry all of the

[21] reference materials with me to that meeting. I 1221 believe that I carried only the ones that had to

221 do with religion in the public school systems (24) and some of the court cases.

Q: Bert, were you more focused on the legality as

Filius & McLucas Reporting Service, Inc. Min-U-Script®

(1) placed in each of the three biology classrooms.

Q: How about any curriculum change, did that come 19 up during this August 30th meeting or around μ) this time?

A: Not to my knowledge.

Q: In the meetings that we've discussed here from m the spring through August 30, 2004, do you (8) recall any discussion relating to curriculum m change at that time?

[10] A: No.

Q: So what happened next, Bert, from your **[11]** [12] perspective? I mean, there's this August 30th 1131 meeting with discussion of putting the Of Pandas [14] in the classroom as a reference text. What's [15] the next step in the story as you see it? A: Well, the Miller and Levine book, the biology book, arrived prior to the beginning of school. [18] They were appropriately stamped and numbered and (19) distributed among the biology teachers that they would basically distribute them on the first day [21] of school. Nothing else occurred until we got [22] to the beginning of October.

Q: And that's what I wanted to ask you. In the period between August 30th and October 1, were 251 you part of any discussions relating to the

Page 128

Page 130

[1] would, in fact, get their job done, so.

Q: That brings us to October, and if you'd look at [3] Miller 3, we'll flip to the board agenda for a

14) board meeting that was held on October 4th,

[5] 2004. If you look at the top right hand corner

[6] of the cover page, the first page of the agenda,

171 there's the initials BS. Do you think that's-

A: Those are mine, and this is my handwriting.

Q: Did you attend that meeting? 191

A: If my notes are on here, I must have. I know iii for certain I was at the October 18th one, but 1121 Obviously I was at this one as well.

Q: If you flip back to XIII, there's a notation 114] there. And opposite the heading curriculum, can us you tell me what that points to, Bert?

A: Yes. Under curriculum, the superintendent approved the donation of two classroom sets of [18] 25 each of the books Of Pandas and People. The [18] classroom sets will be used as references and [20] will be made available to all students.

Casey Brown who was at that point still a [21] [22] member of the board asked at that point whether 123) the district would be accepting other books on the subject of basically evolution/creationism.

Q: Did she receive a response from the board at

Page 129

Page 131

(i) contemplated curriculum changes?

A: Not to my knowledge. I'm sure at that point 131 they would have been cited on this time line. [4] The first few weeks at the beginning of school [5] are rather hectic to say the least, and I think

[6] we were all basically-Now, remember, we were

[7] in new rooms having to move all of our supplies,

[8] and so we were pretty busy trying to get the g start of school.

Q: How about do you know whether any of your [11] science faculty, in particular your biology 112] teachers, were having discussions with the [13] administration with respect to potential [14] curriculum change during this period from [15] August 30th through October 1st?

A: Not to my knowledge, because usually if they (17) would have been there, I would have been with (18) them.

Q: And is that because in your capacity as science

1203 department head chair? A: I'm the facilitator. If the administration would direct me to see to it that my biology 1231 teachers basically work on curriculum or work on 24) assessments or standards, I need to know what 25] the direction is so I can see to it that they

(i) that time or any members of the board?

A: I believe the response was they would consider [3] each gift or presentation individually.

Q: Okay And at the bottom of that Page 6 of the is agenda for the October 4th meeting there's a e circled heading, Policy?

A: I see that.

Q: Does that trigger any recollection on your part?

A: None whatsoever.

Q: Then if we look at Miller 4, your time line, the

[11] page with the Number 2 circled in the upper

right hand corner, we see an entry for

(13) October 8th, 2004. Take a look at that, Bert.

[14] Have you?

A: Um-hum.

Q: Tell me, does this reference a meeting you had (161 [17] with Mr. Baksa?

A: I don't know whether I would say meeting. It 19 was not uncommon for Mr. Baksa if he was in the go building to stop in to one of our rooms. I

gy don't know whether it would be called a formal

[22] meeting. But certainly he came and presented us [23] - now, when it says us, I am not exactly sure

[24] what that means — but the change in curriculum

25 including the mention of intelligent design.

ertha E. Spahr ay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 134

	Page 132
1 Now, this was given to us in written form	
a without any input from us. And the Panda book	
n was listed as a reference. That was the first	
q time we had seen this. We were not involved in	
s the curriculum meeting where this was done.	
6 Q: Bert, do you recall being presented with a	
77 document—	
[8] A: Yes, I do.	
p Q: —at this sort of drop-by meeting?	
ng A: Yes, which is Miller 3.	
11] Q: Does that look like it?	
12] A: There were three different documents. I wo	uld
13] have to—	
[14] Q: I know what you're getting at, but—	
[15] A: I mean, I would have to see it somewhat clo	ser
ng to know which one was first.	
[17] Q: Well, this one's marked draft.	
[18] A: Yes, this is the document.	
[19] Q: For the record, I'm showing you a page from	n the
[20] exhibit that's been marked Miller 3. It is a	
gn document that has draft stamped across the	
middle of it and spray adhesive in the upper	

(1) books that are used in other classes. And, 12) therefore, when we saw this, we were really (a) quite surprised that that particular reference μι book was there. Q: Surprised because other reference books are not in listed? A: Yes. Q: Then there's some language which you've referred 191 to under the -- at the foot of the column that's no headed Unit Content/Concepts/Process. Now, it [11] starts out, students will be made aware of [12] gaps/problems in Darwin's theory and other [13] theories of evolution. Was that consistent with [14] matters you had discussed up until this point? A: Yes, it was. Q: So it was the addition of including, but not [17] limited to, intelligent design that was what [18] attracted your attention? A: That was what the department, biology 203 department, specifically objected to. Q: Do you recall discussions between yourself and [21] (22) Mr. Baksa relating to that change? A: I think we exchanged words on the idea. [2**3**] Q: Exchanged words has a certain connotation. [24] A: No, I didn't mean it that way. I mean, but was (25) Page 135

Page 133

pe 133

[1] it a formal meeting, I don't think so. I know 12] that members of the department looked at this

by when we were handed the draft. And I'm sure we

[4] shared those concerns when we also sent an

[5] amended curriculum how the science department

(6) wanted this to appear.

[7] Q: Yes. We definitely will get to that. Let's [8] see, who was present when Mr. Baksa stopped by

my with this draft document?

no A: I have no idea.

[11] Q: Do you have a sense that your biology—

A: The biology department does not meet where the

(13) chemistry room is, so they're in the other end

[14] of the building. So, you know, if he came some

ns evening after school- Now, Rob Eshbach is

right across the hall. He may have been in the

107 room. But I have no idea or recollection who

[18] might have been in the room at the time.

Q: And that's all I'm trying to get at. It sounds

1201 like it wasn't a meeting called for the

121) purpose—

[22] A: It was not a formal meeting, no, it was not.

q: You were getting this as head of the science

pa department?

A: That's correct.

11 document you believe that you saw on 22 October 8th?

123] right hand corner. It's a planned

[3] A: That is correct.

(5) G: Tell me, Bert, I know a little about your (5) reaction to that document. Explain in detail, (6) if you would, what you saw that was significant (7) and what thoughts.

[24] instruction/curriculum guide that apparently has

125] been changed and is in draft form. Is that the

A: What was different is the end of the last—In the second column the part that begins students will be made aware of the gaps and problems in Darwin's theory and of other theories of evolution including, but not limited to, intelligent design, we never agreed or were that asked, I might add, to have that intelligent design placed there or the reference over in materials and resources which refer to Of Pandas

177 and People placed there.
188 Q: Now, let me ask you a little about that. I
189 mean, there had been some discussion of putting
120 Of Pandas as a reference text in the classroom,
121 right?

[22] A: In the classroom.

Q: But you apparently were not thinking of putting it in the curriculum. Is that correct, Bert?

25] A: We were not-We do not cite other reference

Page 136

Q: Did you take it back to your biology teachers? [1]

A: Yes. [2]

Q: Did they express any thoughts in addition to {3]

[4] those you've already told me about?

A: Yes. They or we, meaning the biology (6) department, sent an amended curriculum to this [7] draft in which the reference to Of Pandas and

[8] People was removed, and the last part that had

(s) to do with including, but not limited to,

no intelligent design was removed. And I believe

[11] there was a period at the end of, of other

(12) theories of evolution, although I do not have [13] that.

Q: Bert, if you would, look at Miller 7. [14]

A: That's our amended version of the draft that the

[16] science department agreed to be presented. Q: Bert, we're looking at Miller 7. As you've

[18] said, there's three memos here which in turn [19] reference three enclosures titled XI-A, XI-B,

20] and XI-C. I would ask you to direct your

[21] attention to the curriculum chart following the

[22] cover memo which references enclosed in XI-B.

MS. PENNY: That's Bates Number 20.

MR. GILLEN: Right, with Bates Number 20 in 125] the lower left hand corner.

Page 137

BY MR. GILLEN:

Q: Is that what you're referring to as the (2) pa teachers' amended version?

A: Yes, it is.

[1]

Q: Comparing that document, Bert, with the draft is that you received on October 8th, what did you

n see as the significant changes from the

in standpoint of the science faculty?

A: The reference to the reference material of Of

[10] Pandas and People was removed from the last

[11] column. And under the unit concept and content,

ita the sentence ended with a period following and (13) of other theories of evolution.

Q: Do you recall when you provided this to the [15] administration, Bert? This plainly was prior to [16] the October 18th board meeting.

A: Oh, my, yes. I would imagine that it was-[18] Well, it was before October the 12th and the

119) 15th where Mr. Bonsell then amended it and added

go another thing which then became the third

[21] version. So I would say somewhere, I don't

[22] know, 10th, 11th because we had to meet

ga together, and then we decided this would be our

124] suggestion. And then it was presented back to

ps Mr. Baksa.

Page 138

Q: Now, you've referenced some changes made between

[2] the 12th and the 15th. Were you a participant

ji in any discussions which led to those changes,

[4] Bert?

A: No. **15**1

Q: What do you recall about those changes? [6]

A: Somewhere between the 12th to the 15th

[8] Mr. Bonsell added a note to the bottom of the

m curriculum- Let me go back a minute. The

1001 recommendation of the science department was

[11] rejected by the committee.

Q: And just for the record, Bert, that's the

recommendation XI-B with the Bates stamp

[14] Number 20 at the bottom?

A: Yes, Yes, it is. And then after that,

160 somewhere between the 12th and the 15th, we were

117) told that Mr. Bonsell was going to add a note at

the bottom of this section of the curriculum

[19] dealing with evolution that was going to read

[20] origins of life will not be taught.

Q: And you say, we were told. Who's the we?

A: Well, my guess would be Mr. Baksa. Since he was

[29] the head of curriculum and curriculum

24 development, he was the one who usually carried

25) the information between the science department

Page 139

[1] and the curriculum committee.

Q: Well, you anticipated my question. Mike Baksa pj told you, but who's the we he told? Was it you

μ] and Jen Miller?

A: Well, it was probably biology teachers, but

(6) again I am not certain that was a formal

meeting. We were all obviously getting prepared

in for the October 18th meeting which was the big

19) board meeting. So who the we was I'm really not

[10] certain.

Q: In terms of getting prepared for that board [11]

[12] meeting, Bert, did you have discussions with

[13] your science faculty?

A: We had some discussion in who would attend, you

[15] know, what was going to happen. I will say that

[16] my science staff was somewhat upset because the

perception in the community and the perception

[18] in some of the newspapers was that the science [19] department contributed to and were behind the

201 change in this curriculum. And it was at that

21) point that I decided that I was going to make a

public statement the night of that board meeting

[23] prior to the vote under public comment.

Q: Before we go there, I apologize, I didn't ask

25] you, did you go to board meetings in September

ertha E. Spahr lay 19, 2005

Page 140

n of 2004?

A: I can't answer that. If we have the board [3] minutes and I could look and my handwriting is 41 there, my guess will be that I may have. But I s don't specifically remember attending in F September. August I did and October I did.

Q: I just want to be sure. I don't think there are [6] any minutes. There are no agendas or minutes [9] from September. It seems you don't have any no independent recollection of attending.

A: No, I do not.

Q: Good enough. Well, I understand why you decided [12] (13) you were going to make a statement. Any other (14) discussions with your science faculty about the [15] competing versions of proposed curriculum is changes between the period October 8th and [17] October 18th?

A: Well, after we were told that our proposal was 1194 rejected, the biology department didn't feel po real comfortable about what was going to happen. 21) They had a pretty good inclination or at least [22] thought so that the proposal by the curriculum [23] committee without our input was probably going [24] to pass.

Q: Did you have any discussions with either

Page 141

[16]

[1] Dr. Nilsen or Mike Baksa about trying to find 23 some sort of middle way here?

A: I don't recall that.

Q: How about you've referenced a note. Do you [5] recall having any discussions with your science [6] faculty relating to the purpose of the note m which was added to XI-C document from Miller 7 [8] with Bates stamp Number 22 which says the m origins of life is not taught? Do you recall [10] discussions touching on that?

A: Yes, I do.

Q: Tell me what you remember.

A: If the note reads the origins of life will not [14] be taught, then our question is why would the us reference book Of Pandas and People be placed [16] anywhere because if you look at the title of the μη textbook, it says the origins of life right ne under the thing Of Pandas and People. And there 119] was obviously some question if, in fact, you 201 know, the statement now reads the origins of [21] life are not going to be taught, then why are we [22] dealing with the intelligent design issue or of gas the book Of Pandas and People. Q: Did you ask that question, Bert? Did you direct

gs that question to any of the administration?

Page 142

A: In this interim there were so many meetings and 2 so many people, I can't answer that, I don't

[3] know that, I'm certain it came up somewhere

10 because, I mean, by that time, you know, we had

[5] all seen, many of us, copies of Of Pandas and

[6] People, and there in the title were these words.

And if, in fact, we are not going to teach (8) this, then the question would arise as to what

m would then be the purpose of that reference

10) book. But, you know, in terms of having a

[11] formal, you know, meeting in which just that

[12] topic was discussed, the answer is no.

Q: How about at any time during this period did you [14] have any conversations with members of the board 115] curriculum committee about the note?

A: Not to my knowledge.

Q: How about with members of the school board (17) [18] generally, any discussion?

A: Not that I remember.

1101 Q: Well, you go into the October 18th board (20) pij meeting, Bert, and just tell me what you can [22] recall. Well, let me ask you this, did you have 231 any discussions with any board members leading 124) up to the October 18th board meeting at all?

A: Could you repeat that, please.

Page 143

Q: From October 8th through October 18th, we have 12) what we see as the curriculum change is sort of

[3] in process. And I just want to get a sense,

[4] before you go to the public meeting on

[5] October 18th, did you speak to any of the board [6] members yourself?

A: About the change in the curriculum and the fact 10) that the science department had no input into

Q: Yes. 1101

ey it?

A: Not to my knowledge. I believe Casey Brown as I (12) was either coming into this meeting said [18] something to me, but it certainly was not a discussion, how does the science department

[15] feel, but it was not a discussion.

Q: Going into that meeting, did you have any (17) discussions with the administration?

A: Actually prior to the start of the board meeting, the science department, Jen, Rob, and I 1201 were sitting at a round table, and Mr. Baksa

[21] handed us the document. And it's very confusing

223 because you have A, you have B, you have C.And [23] even when the vote came down, even the people

24) who were voting did not know what we were

[25] looking at, whether it was A, B, or C.

Page 146

Page	144

(1) statement. I did not have it in print in my p hand. That's what I got prior to the board meeting.

Q: Well, let me ask you about that, Bert. As is, you've already noted, XI-C does have the note [6] which you say you were aware of and attributed [7] to Alan Bonsell. But XI-C also admits the [8] reference to intelligent design.

A: That one does appear to do so, yes. Q: If you look at the cover memo for XI-C, you'll

[17] see that it states attached is a second draft of it the recommended changes to the biology (13) curriculum from the administration and staff.

Now, what I want to get your sense for is HAL (15) this, based on your discussion today, the note [16] origins of life is not taught reflects the practice of the teachers which you've described

[18] already, is that correct, that they didn't teach ng origins of life?

A: The present teachers do not teach origins of [21] life. That's not to say that there were not 122] biology teachers before this who are no longer 1231 here.

Q: Oh, yeah, understood. [24] A: Yes, that is my understanding.

[2] first time we had seen what became I guess the [3] final document that was approved. But that's as much as I remember. He walked over to the [5] table. He handed us the piece of paper, and I [6] can't tell you which it is. It's the one that [7] was finally approved. I can't remember how it (B) was labeled. Q: Don't worry. Don't worry, Bert. Just let me ng look at it here. Look at this one with the nn blacked out. Take a look at that. A: Well, I don't think it's this one because in the [13] one that was approved, it had intelligent design [14] at the bottom in addition to this note and this [15] reference to Of Pandas and People. Now, where

And that was the final-That was the

[16] exactly that is, but that was the one. Q: Let me ask you this, we're going into the [18] October 18th board meeting, and you've 1991 referenced a document that Mr. Baksa gave you is 1201 Miller 7, this collection of pages. Is this the [21] document you received from him? A: No. We only got one page from him that one

[23] night at the board meeting. We had had others. [24] I'm not certain—

Q: That's okay.

Page 147

A: Because the one that was finally approved has [2] this on the bottom. It has this on the side, 131 but it does not stop after evolution. I believe [4] it has the original verbiage which is including, [5] but not limited to, intelligent design on it [6] under column two.

Q: Do I understand you correctly that the final (a) version is a combination of the text from XI-A 191 along with the addition of the note taken from [10] XI-C?

A: That is my understanding.

Q: All I'm trying to do, Bert, is understand what 1131 you had going into the meeting and how you [14] viewed that, If I'm correct, you're telling me ns that you had already seen the board curriculum 116] committee's version which is XI-A?

A: That's correct. [17]

Q: You had already given to the administration the (18) teacher's version which is XI-B?

A: That's correct.

Q: And Mr. Baksa had just handed you for what you 1221 believe is the first time the page that is

(23) XI-C?

A: Now, it had been mentioned to us that [24] [25] Mr. Bonsell was going to add this last

Page 145 Q: Okay, good enough. Then as you've noted, 23 another difference from the version that the

[3] teachers have proposed was the reference to the 10 book Of Pandas which is contained in the column

[5] headed materials and resources, is that right?

A: That's correct.

Q: Do you recall having any discussions with [8] Mr. Bonsell about the note? I think I asked you p this but-

A: No, I did not.

Q: Do you recall having any discussions with your [12] science faculty about the note, either Jen

[13] Miller or Rob Eshbach or one of the people

[14] teaching biology?

A: I remember somewhere like overlunch or whatever 1881 the statement came up if we are not teaching

(17) origins of life, then what, in fact, is the

[18] purpose of having intelligent design listed as

part of the curriculum or the book Of Pandas and

1201 People there because that seems to be what it is 1211 addressing.

'Q: You've referenced this situation where the board 123] meeting is about to start and Mr. Baksa has

[24] provided you with XI-C. Did you have any

es discussion with him about XI-C?

ertha E. Spahr 1ay 19, 2005

ne curriculum.

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 148

A: No. The board meeting was about to begin. Q: Did you have any discussions with Mr. Baksa p about the desire of the biology — or the w science department and biology teachers for the isj administration to take a certain position? A: I don't know when that came to be. But, yes, I (7) can say that the biology teachers and the B) science department were somewhat disappointed 191 that it did not appear that we were going to no, have the support of the administrators on this [11] issue, that the board was now going to literally [12] be coming in and without our input since we happened to be the experts in science altering 114] the curriculum and then we were going to be held its accountable to teach what is written on the

And that's not standards driven. [18] Intelligent design or whatever we wish to call [19] it, creationism, is not part of the mandated 1201 state standards.

Q: That's understood. I'm trying to get at your -1221 just the exchanges with the administration and 123] the science faculty about the upcoming meeting, 124] the October 18th meeting and what you as the 25] science faculty might have communicated to the

Page 150

(1) whether they thought something was going to [2] occur that did not occur. We have no idea.

Q: Well, let me ask you about that, Bert, because

if you look at both XI-B, the cover memo for

[5] that, and the cover memo for XI-C, if you look

[6] at that, read that if you would, Bert, read the m description on that page. That's XI-B, right?

A: Yes.

Q: Now flip to XI-C, Bert. 日

A: Which is this one? [10]

Q: Yes. You see that cover memo. Read that.

[12] You'll see both are recommended changes to the

[13] biology curriculum from the administration and

[14] staff. They're described as such. Do you

[15] recall, and again looking at XI-C at the note,

(18) the note origins of life is not taught that is a

117 - that reflects existing practice of the

(18) biology teachers as of the time that this is

[19] submitted to the board. Is that correct?

A: That is my understanding, yes.

Q: On the other hand, we understand that this reference Of Pandas and People in this column

123] here, materials and resources, is something that

124] the science teachers saw as unusual and not in

[25] keeping with the ordinary practice?

Page 149

[1] administration about the upcoming deliberations 27 on the competing versions of the curriculum.

A: We had no communication with the administration. (4) The administration did not know that I was going [5] to make a statement. I did not inform them I ig was going to do so. I am a property owner in [7] the Dover Area School District, and I felt as a [8] taxpayer as well as the department chair I had in the right to make a statement.

Q: Certainly.

A: But we did not communicate with the 112 administration who would attend, what we would [13] say or do. It just was not something we did. Q: So it seems like there wasn't a lot of (15) discussion leading up to the meeting about these [16] rival versions. Did you feel like everyone knew (17) everyone had a different choice?

A: Yes. And we did have the feeling going into the no meeting that the administration thought that a [20] different document was going to be approved. I ga don't know why I feel that way. I can remember [22] Dr. Nilsen walking over toward our table and gaj saying when you hear, I don't know, the gq decision, don't clap. And to this day, we have gg no idea what that meant. So we don't know

Q: So as you approached the board meeting, was [3] there some exchange between either Dr. Nilsen or Mr. Baksa and the science faculty about the [5] administration version being selected?

Page 151

A: I can't answer that.

Q: Let me look at it this way, when Dr. Nilsen said m when the curriculum is approved-

A: We have no idea whatever that comment meant.

[10] And to this day, we still don't know because we [11] had the feeling that something was going to

[12] OCCUP that we all would be happy or satisfied

with. Well, it most definitely did not. But

(14) that was kind of our sense that even he may have

115] thought that something different was going to go

(15) down, that there was going to be a compromise.

And I am now looking at these three

[18] documents, and I can honestly say to you I do 19 not know which, if any, of the three of these is

go the final document.

Q: Well, I agree with you, Bert, because the final 122] document as reflected in the board minutes is a 23] combination of these. So you would not have pay been able to see the final document prior to the

gg meeting?

Page 154

Page 155

Page 152

- A: Because I'm looking at this, and I am thinking this is not the document we think that was passed.
- Q: No, you couldn't have seen it because these are so documents generated coming up to the meeting.

 [6] I'm sorry if I was unclear about that.
- [7] A: Because the final one that was passed is
 [8] actually with this, with this, and then with the
 [9] last part of that sentence. I'm beginning to
 [10] think even I don't remember what it looked like,
 [11] but, yes. Thank you.
- [12] Q: Now do you see?
- [13] A: Thank you for clarification, yeah.
- Q: And that's why I asked you again, as you were going into the meeting and you say you had the sense that the administration thought something was going to happen that you as teachers were going to be comfortable with, looking at these three versions seeing that two of them are billed as administration and staff, does that trigger any recollection on your part as to what the administration went into the board meeting looking for?
- [24] A: Well, certainly B does because that was the one [25] where the science or the biology department

Page

[1] certainly amended it. We had given it to [2] Mr. Baksa, and he certainly accepted our

[3] discussion. Then this one as it was written—

MS. PENNY: Say which one for the record.

(5) A: Enclosure C.

[6]

BY MR. GILLEN:

- [7] Q: XI-C?
- [6] A: XI-C.—would have been acceptable. The
- 191 science department could have lived with this.
- Now, we weren't happy with Pandas and People
- [11] over there. But the fact that the ID issue was
- [12] removed from that section of the curriculum we
- 1131 would have been able to live with.
- [14] Q: And the fact also was that there had been [15] discussion of putting Of Pandas in the classroom
- [16] as a reference text?
- [17] A: That's correct.
- (18) Q: And the teachers had said fine?
- He A: Yes.
- Q: So you go into the meeting, and you've prepared
- 21) a statement. Let me just, if you would, see the
- (22) meeting through your eyes. Did it start with
- gal public comment?
- [24] A: No. It started out with the traditional roll [25] call, the pledge to the flag. There were a few

n other items that were done prior to the public

2 comment.

Q: Now, at this time was public comment allowed to before each agenda item?

A: Yes, it was. Well-

Q: Or in connection with specific agenda items? In

77 other words, we're rolling through the agenda

(8) here, and we know that we're coming up on—

(9) A: I believe at that point public comment was allowed simply as public comment. I do not

[10] allowed simply as public confident. I do not [11] think that policy changed because I know there

were two— Later the change occurred that there

were two— Later the change occurred that there were two public comments, one at the beginning

[14] that had to do with I believe agenda items and

then one at the end which could be of anything lies else.

And usually—Yes, there was a public comment listed here as 14 on that same day. But

[19] I believe the public comment at the beginning

had to do with items referring to the agenda.

And since the vote on the acceptance of the

[22] curriculum, I spoke at the earlier one.

[23] Q: You know what, Bert, in looking now at Miller 3,

124 I note that there are apparently no minutes —

or no agenda for the October 18th board meeting.

Page 153

[1] Would you look through your stack?

A: Here it is, It's right here under Exhibit 3.

[3] It's right here with my initials at the top.

[4] It's following the October 4th one that have my

initials at the top.

MR. GILLEN: Can we go off the record.

(Discussion held off the record)

BY MR. GILLEN:

of Q: I'd ask you to look at Miller 3, the agenda relating to the October 18th, 2004 meeting, and

in there are some handwritten notes after that. If

12 you would look at those, Bert, and tell me—

The agenda has BS in the upper right hand corner

on the first page. Is that Bert Spahr?

[15] A: Yes, it is.

[16] Q: And then if we looked at the pages between the

first page of the agenda for October 18th and

its the first page of the agenda for November 1st,

[19] 2004, we'll see a couple of things here,

|20] handwritten notes. Are they your notes, Bert?

[21] A: They are.

Q: And then behind the handwritten notes there's an Enclosure XI-C, right?

[24] A: Yes.

Q: Now, let me ask you, Bert, it seems to me that

ertha E. Spahr Iay 19, 2005 Dover Area School District, et al. v.

Page 158

Page 156	
n the fact that these documents are grouped in	[1]
ra this way reflects particularly that XI-C appears	[2]
B) here to reflect what you've already told me	[3]
[4] which is that you received this document so far	[4]
[5] as you can make it out around the time you had	[5]
[6] the agenda. Is that correct?	[6]
[7] A: That's correct. Now, I'm not exactly sure why	171
(a) there is the blacking out of what would have	[8]
been that note down here.	[8]
[10] Q: Would you take a minute to just look through	[10]
in those notes?	[11]
(12) A: Through the minutes of the board meeting?	[12]
[13] Q: No, your notes.	[13]
[14] A: My notes.	[14
(15) Q: Yes.	[15
(16) A: I must say they're not the most legible I've	[16
117] ever done. Usually I carried with me a tablet	[17
[18] that had lined paper and wrote rather than on	[18
ties the agenda which we often shared on there. I'll	[19
[20] look at these notes.	120
Q: Let me ask you, had you spoken with your science	[21
(22) faculty prior to the October 18th meeting for	(2
123] the purpose of generating attendance at the	[2:
[24] October 18th meeting?	124
25] A: We met over lunch and asked who would be	5
Page 157	\

```
union reps?
   A: That's correct.
   Q: So the meeting begins, and you get to the
curriculum item. As the meeting began, was
there public comment?
   A: Yes. That's when I stood up and made my
   Q: Do you have your statement here, Bert? Did you
give that to me?
    A: Yes, I have it, and I'm sure you do as well.
1) You have it. I'm not sure under what. But I
a have it here. Again, it's handwritten.
    Q: Let me ask you this, do you recall public
q comment at the meeting other than your own?
    A: Oh, yes. I probably was one of the first.
    Q: Tell me what you remember about the meeting as
n it opened in terms of public comment other than
aj your own. Was Barrie Callahan there?
    A: 1 don't know that exactly. I know Lonny
o Langione was there.
    Q: Do you recall anything he said?
    A: Yes. But that was in response to what occurred
23) after I made my presentation.
    Q: Did you start off, Bert?
    A: Pretty much, yes.
                                                     Page 159
```

[1] attending that meeting. We felt that since the 21 curriculum was going to be approved at that time [3] it was important for the science as many of us m to be present as possible. Q: Apart from discussions with your science [6] faculty, did you speak with anyone else? A: There were other members of the faculty who also (8) came in support of the science department to (9) that meeting. So the English department, the 110] math department, yes. At length did we discuss [11] it, no. They simply indicated that in support [12] of us they were going to attend. Q: I mean, did you as a faculty with an issue in [14] front of the board encourage people — make them [15] aware of the impending vote and encourage them us to attend for the purpose of showing support? A: I don't know how it was done exactly, whether it (18) was at a faculty meeting, whether it was simply in the lunchroom, but there were people - an association of people that said, you know, it [21] would be a nice idea if you would show the 1221 support to the science staff because this is a 123] very important issue for them. But it was not a Q: That's fine. And association people are the

Q: You've shown me a document that's headed, [2] Statement Board Meeting 10/18/04. Is that a [3] copy of your notes in preparation for that M presentation? A: Yes, it is. MR. GILLEN: Let's mark that as 2. (B. Spahr Deposition #2 marked for [8] identification) BY MR. GILLEN: Q: Bert, take a look over that if you need to and in just— I guess what I'm trying to do is get at 12) the thrust of your objections as they were (13) expressed. Does this document we've marked as 14 Spahr 2, does that fairly summarize what your [15] sentiments were? A: Yes. And the purpose of the statement that I made publicly which was the first statement I [18] have ever made on this issue before the board had to do with the fact that the perception in 20) the community had been that the science (21) department and specifically the biology 1221 department was in support of what is being done. 1231 And I did this to clarify. And then I pointed out to them what the

253 science department had done to make every effort

(1) to reach a compromise with this curriculum [2] committee. And there were obviously four (a) points. The curriculum change I pointed out to 41 them which is to be voted on this evening many [5] feel would be railroaded through and has not is followed past practice, and it had not. Past 171 practice had been there would be input.

Mrs. Callahan must have been there because 19 she had been on the community curriculum [10] committee, and she had said that that had been [11] past practice, and she would have known because [12] she was a member. And the board curriculum [13] committee usually had had input from the professional staff, the district curriculum psj committee, the community members, and (16) administrators.

I also at that point said, you know, it had [18] been deemed unlawful, illegal, and 19) unconstitutional to teach intelligent design 1201 which is a synonym for creationism or creation [21] science along with evolution, I cited the court 221 Case, okay, and, you know, went through the fact gas that it was putting my teachers who were fairly [24] young, two of which were untenured at the time, 25] into what I felt was a no-win situation in that

Page 160

Page 162

iii life has been devoted to teaching in this 23 district, and they could not imagine that any [3] member of a school board would treat me with 4) such disrespect. I looked at him, I said is nothing. And I sat down. I wasn't even going is to dignify what he had said with a response. Now, in addition to that, neither Alan Bonsell who was president of the board or 191 Dr. Nilsen who was sitting there ever made any [10] comment to him about how he had publicly treated [11] a staff member. Q: Did you look up at the board when Mr. Buckingham [13] made that comment? E141 A: Oh. yes. Q: I mean, what was their demeanor? I mean, were 1183 they as equally surprised, or could you tell? A: Oh, I couldn't tell with that board and I pay couldn't. I mean, I certainly know there were us some that were. I do know right subsequent to 1201 that Mr. Langione who was a former board member got out of his seat and literally attacked the (22) board for the treatment in which I received. So

But I felt the statement was not [25]

Page 161

[24] that.

Page 163

11) they either had to defy a ruling of the school [2] board or they had to walk into a classroom and B) possibly commit an illegal act. And it was at the end of that - I did ask [5] Mr. Buckingham directly, though, as part of this

statement if he was going to direct, are you, [7] meaning the board going to direct my teachers to [8] teach intelligent design if it appears on the m written curriculum. He did not respond to that [10] question.

I then gave them a challenge and said, (12) please delay the vote of this issue so that we [13] can again sit down and attempt to resolve this (14) with a compromise which is beneficial to all is concerned.

At the conclusion of the statement which [17] was relatively brief, you know, not (18) antagonistic — it was I thought very factual 1191 and very professional - Mr. Buckingham looked 201 at me and asked me where I thought I got my law [21] degree. When that question was asked, the 221 entire audience went ha because they knew my [23] integrity in this district for 40 years has pal never been challenged, okay.

[1] antagonistic. I felt that it was professional. [2] And I felt that it was very factual, And I did

1231 it was a little on the heated side right after

[3] it at the direction of the department because ig they wanted it known in the public that we did

[5] not agree with everything that was being done.

6 And yet that was the way it basically had been

n displayed in some of the news articles.

Q: It seems to me, Bert, from what you're saying

my that Mr. Langione took exception to the way Mr. Buckingham had addressed you?

A: Yes, he did. There were others who did as well. [11]

Q: Other people spoke during public comment in that (t2) [13] way?

A: But Mr. Langione is the one that stands out. [14]

[15] He's pretty verbal. He's also an Italian.

Q: Apart from those comments, Bert, do you remember

anything else in terms of public comment (18) directed at the proposed curriculum change? I

[18] mean, let me put it this way, how many people

120] were there at that meeting?

A: Oh, I have no knowledge of that. [21]

Q: Can you estimate? [22]

A: No. I was sitting at a table which was [23] 124] relatively in the front, and that was so I could gs get to the podium without, you know, falling

A hundred and twenty-five percent of my

ertha E. Spahr lay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 166

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Page 1	64
n over chairs. So I did not look— It was pretty	
g crowded. But I can't estimate the number of	
a people who were in attendance. There were	
others who did speak under public comment, you	
s know, having to do with the curriculum issue.	
But I cannot tell you specifically other than	
Mr. Langione, and that's primarily because	
g obviously it affected me.	
Q: Sure. Well, if you look at your notes, Bert,	
10) there's a reference to Lonny Langione, and it	
11] says, what does it mean in a classroom?	
A: Yes. Mr. Langione did pose the question if this	
13] is basically passed as part of the curriculum,	
14 what is this going to mean in the classroom	
where the students obviously are going to be in	
ing attendance.	
[17] Q: And then there are some notations beneath that,	
Bert. Would you look at those?	
[10] A: May I ask what page you're on.	
[20] Q: On I'm on that page, the first page.	

A: It's tough to read that I must admit. This is

(22) after this thing occurred. I was a little

rattled. Oh, this was where Mr. Langione said
y what does this mean in the classroom. And then

28 what the little note here is, well, read a draft

[2] that took place that night. Are you referencing p) questions among board members as to exactly what in the question was at the time a given vote was is being called? A: There were questions among board members as well [7] as questions of members in the audience because ial there was also some confusion among them. Q: Sure. For the reasons you've stated, they no didn't have the documents. A: I think the board members all had the documents. [12] I think the people sitting in the audience did [13] not understand if they were throwing out B or [14] voting on C.And it was a little on the chaotic ps side to say nothing as well as heated, because there were some people who were very verbal (17) either in support of or against. Q: Tell me what you recall about those people and 1191 their comments. Can you recall any specifics as go] we sit here? A: Well, not other than the questions that [22] Mr. Langione posed. I think there were others [23] who spoke. Mr. Snook often spoke. Barrie 24 Callahan would often speak. I do not know if 25] she spoke that evening, though. I mean, after Page 167

(1) whole bunch of different parliamentary maneuvers

Page 165

m to the class. I don't know what paragraph three [2] means. I really do not know what that means. BI If Jen was there, her notes on this may be μ) somewhat clearer. Q: There's a thing that says purpose, protect us (6) and Dr. Nilsen. A: I don't even know what that is referring to.1 (8) think it had to do with the variations of the 191 drafts. And remember there were three different [10] drafts, the A, B, and the C. But it was very [11] confusing. And it was even very confusing when (12) the vote took place because the people who were voting on the different forms of the change in (14) the curriculum didn't even understand what was ទ្រៀ going on. Q: Well, and how- Why do you say that, Bert, I [17] mean that they didn't understand? Did someone-A: Because many questions came up before the vote [18] was taken in the discussion section that people, 201 you know, wanted clarification, now is it going [21] to be this one, this one, or this one. And there were people sitting in the audience who [23] did not have access to all three of these [24] documents. And it made it very confusing. Q: Let me make sure I understand you. There was a

(1) what had happened, I was so upset that I really [2] didn't clearly see what was going on, it just is clouded what I perceived at that point because, [4] I mean, I even had other board members or in [5] some of the - well, Dick Brown, if you will, s and even Noel who came up to me after that meeting and attempted to apologize on behalf of m what had occurred. At that point I just wanted m to get out of there, and I did, but. Q: Am I right, Bert, you felt that Mr. Buckingham [10] [11] had insulted you? A: Yes, I did. (12) Q: Look at the board now. There's some public [14] comment, and I understand why you're saying you psj don't remember the specifics. Do you recall any ps of the board members speaking to the substance of the issue that was in front of them, the [18] curriculum change and these issues? Do you no recall-A: In terms of the comments of what the different 21] documents were as to what the difference was

122 between A, B, and C?

Q: Yes.

[23]

[24]

Q: When you made this statement to the board, you

A: I'm not-No, I do not remember that.

(1) know, you've referenced certain legal

Page 170

Page 171

Page 168

So there were some churches that were very [2] vocal in their perception of our department 134 because we were opposed to this intelligent [4] design. So it became a very uncomfortable situation.

Q: Well, and I just want to get a sense for that. [7] I don't want to burden you. But there's sort of [8] two- I think I'm getting two themes out of 19) what you're saying. One is it became a nuisance in that it was such a public controversy people

[11] would ask you— A: You could not open a newspaper probably anytime [13] in the last year and a half and not see the book 14 Of Pandas and People on the page. And of course that just kept fueling the fire. And so it [16] became— You know, it's a very small community. [17] It's a very religious community. It was [18] basically spoken about in Sunday school, you 1191 know, in terms of, you know, we need to get out 20) and get the people of the church in support of, 121) you know, whatever. And it just became, I don't [22] know whether nuisance is the word, but it became 123] very uncomfortable because you couldn't do your

124) job. Q: That's what I want to get at. There's plainly [25]

[2] information and that, were you relying on the [3] materials that you've provided to me earlier (4) that addressed the legality of teaching? A: That's correct. Q: If you look at your handwritten notes, there's a (7) series of comments there. There's that purpose, m protect us and Dr. Nilsen. A: I don't know what that means. Q: Beneath that, why it wasn't negative, does that [11] trigger any recollection on your part? A: Simply the fact that the science department did [13] everything that was humanly possible to [14] cooperate with this curriculum committee and (15) showed a willingness to do short of, you know, [16] getting the word intelligent design or [17] creationism into the biology classrooms [18] everything that we thought might possibly (19) appease them. They did agree to point out gaps in [20] [21] Darwin's theory. Obviously at that point in 1221 time the mural was gone, so we didn't have that,

[1] do. And, therefore, we were tired of being 121 perceived by the community as being anti-God,

[23] you know, contentious thing to deal with. And [24] we felt that, you know, we worked as positively

251 and professionally as any department was able to

[3] anti-whatever.

And unfortunately that is how we were [5] perceived because we were so much against this 6 intelligent design I think it was perceived in 77 the wrong way. You know, intelligent design has [8] a place, you know, philosophy, comparative m religions. It just isn't in the science [10] classroom in our opinion.

Q: Certainly. And tell me about that sense you had 1121 of the perception of the community. Was there-[13] Did you have conversations yourself, or what are [14] you deriving that from, Bert?

A: Well, I got to a point that I avoided going [15] places in this community, whether it be the food 117 store, you know, whether to the sandwich shop or (18) whatever because you could literally during all 1181 of this commotion being in the newspaper not go 201 anywhere and have somebody in the community 1211 knowing that I've been here for 40 years ask me 1221 questions on this issue. And I was not going to (23) get into a public debate, you know, over ID, [24] creationism, evolution, in any type of a (25) nonprofessional setting.

Page 169

[1] two elements to this, and I want to get at your (2) basis for each of them. The first is the notion

[3] that you couldn't get out without being asked

[4] questions. That's one thing. Now, you've also is told me about some churches and statements made

[6] at churches and so on. Were they made at your

m church?

A: No. I do not live in this area, and I also do m not attend church in this area. And that is

hearsay from me. I do not have firsthand

[11] knowledge of that. I believe you will get a

[12] better answer to that question from Rob Eshbach [13] whose father is a minister in this community.

Q: Was it Rob Eshbach who communicated to you this [14]

[15] phenomena you described of discussions of the ne issue in church?

A: No, it was not. (17)

Q: Who else?

A: I can't tell you specifically who it was. It 120] was members of the community who attend some of

211 the more fundamental churches in this area that

were in attendance. Obviously the one gentleman 23) on the school board who was appointed is also a

pastor in a relatively fundamentalist church.

Q: Who is that?

Page 174

Page 172

A: Reverend Rowand, R-o-w-a-n-d, I believe. He was properties one of the more recently appointed members after the others had resigned.

(s) you and reporting what—

161 A: Yes.

[7] Q: In the portion of the notes we're looking at, [8] Bert, there's this notion about why it wasn't [9] negative. Is that a series of thoughts about [10] why your statement was not negative?

A: Yes, It's referring to the preceding statement that was read. It was not intended to be read negative. It basically was to point out the cooperation the department had with both the rest curriculum committee and the administrators.

[16] Q: That's sort of what I surmised. I want to ask [17] you then, you said you jotted down these notes [18] at the meeting, was there a statement to the [18] effect that what you had said was negative? The [20] notes appear as though you were rebutting an [21] assertion.

A: No, that was not the case. No, that was not the case.

[24] Q: Beneath the portion of the notes we're referring to now there's a hash mark that sort of brackets

Page 173

ரு design.

Min-U-Script®

Page 175

(1) off the material on the top of the page from (2) what comes below. Would you look at what is (3) below that hash mark?

A: I'm looking there. Thank you.

G: Onto the next page and then on that next page there's another section marked off by hash marks, for lack of a better word, under the heading, Summary. Looking at those, Bert, do they refresh your recollection as to any conversations that were had in connection with the board meeting that was held on October 18, 122 2004?

A: I'm not sure they were conversations. There had the peen some discussion as to whether we as members to of the science department were going to be able to speak to media. And we were told we may talk to whomever but it makes obviously much more sense not to talk. But there obviously was no given gag order. We were not told we could not communicate with media.

20] communicate with media.

[21] Now, oftentimes at the board meetings there

[22] were cameras there from ABC. I mean, there

[23] were, you know, people everywhere. And the

[24] court reporters — or court reporters, I'm

[25] sorry, the board reporters, Joe Maldonado was

(1) there, Heidi I think Bubb was there, and it was
(2) not uncommon for them— They actually came up
(3) to me after my statement and wanted to have the
(4) statement, and I did not give it to them.

isi Q: Why is that, Bert?

A: Well, I just— You know, I didn't need to see
in it in print. I had seen enough in print. But I
in mean, you know, they would always come, and we
in were actually given the opportunity to speak to
whomever but were told, you know, temper what
in you say because you could, in fact, be held
accountable for it.

And, you know, Sandy, the Sandy that's
being referred to here was at that point
president of the association. And she would
basically be involved in covering statements.
And then she would work oftentimes between the
ssociation and to Dr. Nilsen.

[19] Q: Looking at that, Bert, do you recall [20] conversations with Dr. Nilsen or Mike Baksa [21] after the vote was had and the meeting adjourned [22] on the evening of October 18th?

A: No. I can honestly tell you when that meeting was adjourned I got out of there.

Q: So these notes then, are they from the days

[1] following the October 18th meeting?

A: Well, the Gary Sutton note has to do— He's a radio announcer that was basically doing a, I don't know, a show on the radio on Tuesday morning, and this was Monday night, having to do with this issue of evolution and intelligent

lthink these were simply notes that came
p) out at a later time. I'm not positive that they
came from that board meeting because the one
to down here where it says, number four, Brian in
call earth science, how do we deal with the fossil
call record, that would never have come up at that
to board meeting. So I believe this is basically

[15] notes that came from something else, okay,

nel subsequent to that board meeting.

[17] Q: That's what I was asking. The note you just [18] referenced, Brian in earth science, what is [18] that?

A: Brian Baun is a first year teacher. He teaches earth science. And within the earth science curriculum, there was this time line which had to do with fossil records. And it had to do with how are we now to deal with that and is he going to be impacted with this creationism, ID,

[4] going to be held accountable for it.

[1] or evolution. And basically because he is a

191 section from his curriculum or, you know, is he

6) yesterday, and she said after the curriculum

(8) for my classroom instruction. Is Brian's

[11] records — you know, obviously there are

[12] processes with fossil records that, you know,

[15] avoid controversy. But the same thing, how

[13] evolve that you see in Darwin's theory - or is [14] he now going to be expected to avoid this to

would this impact on how he is going to present

See, most people it only affected the

[19] biology classroom. But because he taught earth

go science and fossil records, he was concerned as

[24] Well, let me ask this before we leave that, do

Q: Between October 18th and November 1, 2004-

21) a first-year teacher whether it would also

PI concerns of the same nature?

[17] material in his classroom.

[22] impact on him.

m vote she had issues about what does this mean

Q: Let me see, Bert, I know I spoke with Jen Miller

A: Yes, would he then be able to deal with fossil

Page 178

Page 179

Page 176

A: Not that I can recall. (2) first-year teacher should he simply remove that

Q: How about in the period between the October 18th

[3] meeting and say the beginning of November, do 141 you recall any discussions with the

is administration about the curriculum change and

161 its-A: October the 28th we were presented the draft

which was to be read to the biology classes which was written by Mr. Baksa.

Q: Were you part of that process, Bert?

A: Writing the draft? (11)

Q: No. I mean, I understand that the first draft 1121

[13] came from Mr. Baksa. Is that correct?

A: Correct.

Q: And then some changes were made to it by Jen [15] Miller?

A: That's correct. ĺππ

Q: Were you part of the process of making changes 181 [19] coming from the science faculty?

A: Jen pretty much made most of the changes on that

[21] because obviously it had to do with biology. It

[22] had to do with the definition of a theory. But

233 she was the one who primarily was the one who

(24) was in charge of directing the correction of [25] anything that was scientifically inaccurate

Page 177

[1] because Mr. Baksa is not a science person. And

[2] we were directed actually by Tom Scott and I

(3) think Clayton Gibbs was there at the same time

[4] after a meeting at the Shiloh UCC Church, so it [5] was an association meeting, and they were both

is in attendance. And we obviously had a copy of

[7] the document with us and said, okay, now what do

en we do with this. And they said, if it's

D scientifically inaccurate, we have the

responsibility to correct the document, and that

[11] is exactly what we did. I don't know how many

[12] major changes were there. But there were a few.

Q: Mr. Scott is an attorney who represented you

(14) through the PSEA?

A: Yes. 1453

Q: How about did you participate, Bert, in the [16]

[17] reworking of the statement in any way?

A: No, I did not. (181

Q: You've indicated that your meeting with pg Mr. Scott took place on November 1st, If you'd

[21] look at Miller 3 at the agenda for the

[22] November 1st meeting, did you go to that

1231 meeting?

A: I went to whatever meeting they interviewed all 25 of the candidates. I do not know exactly what

Q: But other than that, nothing?

[3] October 18th, 2004 on the evening other than

[25] you recall any comments by the board members on

- [2] Mr. Buckingham's statement?
- A: Not specifically, I know there were
- [4] interchanges with board members. They could s often be very curt with each other in addition
- is) to being curt with some people in the audience.
- 71 Specifically I do not remember what the
- [8] discussion was among them.
- Q: So when you left this meeting, Bert, you say you
- 100 got out of there, did you talk with your science
- [11] faculty that evening before you left?
- A: No, because I think I got out of there, and some
- [13] of the others remained behind. They were
- [14] speaking to- Reporters were there. Some of
- [15] the other board members came over. I think the
- [16] Browns came over, I think as you see later when the Browns resigned, you know, over this issue
- [18] that we always felt that they were on our side,
- (19) whatever that means. She certainly would
- [20] listen, you know, to what we had to say, and she
- [21] had done some research on her own on this issue.
- [22] And she oftentimes would walk over and speak to
- 1234 us, but those two. Noel Wenrich also I believe
- [24] may have come over and exchanged a few words.

ertha E. Spahr ay 19, 2005 Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 180

I date that is. Obviously I went to one that was

no— Oh, no, I'm sorry, that was the

November 18th meeting where I went and all the

candidates were being interviewed. To my

knowledge, I did not go to the November 1st

meeting. There are no notes here. These are

all notes that are in Jen Miller's handwriting.

C: You went to the November 18th meeting, Bert?

A: Yes. That was where they interviewed the

candidates to fill the vacancies.

Q: Was there any discussion had at that meeting relating to the change to the biology curriculum?

A: Some of the questions that were asked of the specific that following that those are my handwritten notes concerning each of the candidates.

G: Are they the notes that begin with Nightline from ABC News filmed the entire meeting on top?

A: Yes. I will say in many of those interviews they tried or attempted to avoid that contentious topic. They kind of scoped it in they are they are they formed the question, do you believe strongly about a topic and would you try

25) to persuade others to follow.

On a few occasions they did specifically
come out and ask candidates how they felt about
the change in the curriculum. They certainly
prepared and did their homework some questions
that would put certain of the candidates in some
rather dubious positions.

[7] Q: Well, tell me about that, Bert. Why do you say my that?

A: Well, I speak specifically to Mr. Rehm.

Mr. Rehm was obviously my former physics teacher

Mr. Buckindren in the

Mr. And if you go to Bryan, they ask him the

Mr. Question in essence— Let me find it because I

Mr. Buckingham asked him

Mr. Buckingham ask

Now, if Mr. Buckingham had known anything, if he was now a new teacher in a new district, he would have had to have all of his security clearances in order to get there. But this is what I meant about it would shed — did Mr. Buckingham know something about this gentleman that no one else seemed to know. But

[1] it cast this.

Another one was Monica Marlowe. Monica
Marlowe was the mother of one of the biology
Leachers in the district, and they specifically
saked her if she owns property in the Dover
district. She does not. She rents. And it was
pust things like this that, you know, we just
felt gave certain candidates more credibility
than others.

You will notice that several of the

[11] candidates who applied for the replacement

[12] positions are candidates who now ran and

[13] successfully won the Democratic ticket for the

[14] recent primary elections.

[15] But it was interesting as we sat there, we

[16] all knew — when I say we meaning the members of

tra the science department that were in [18] attendance — knew who was going to be selected [19] before anybody was ever interviewed. And we go were right on all of them but one.

(21) Q: Why is that?

A: Well, because we knew what the backgrounds were 23 and which members were in agreement with some of 24 the other board members. And we felt that this 25 was going to be a sure thing. And for all

Page 181

Page 183

Page 182

practical purposes, we— The only one we we weren't right on was the last woman that was elected.

[4] Q: Who was that?

A: What was her name. It might have been Sherrie

[5] Leber because you'll notice they took how many

(7) different votes and they couldn't get the last

m one. Then Leber finally came in on the fifth

ballot when you get to the end of the Page 6, if

100 you will. Now I just was keeping-

[11] Q: Score.

A: —a running total, yes. So that was certainly an interesting evening.

[14] Q: Now, this vote is a vote that's made by the ps board?

A: Oh, yes. No one else had any input into this.

Q: And you say that you had the sense for which candidates would be, what should I say, the most

ng attractive to the board?

A: That's correct, because they philosophically agreed with the present board members who were there. Obviously we felt very strongly that the pastor was going to be selected and was. There were some others. I'm trying to think if he was on this list. We were pretty much assured that

Page 184

Page 186

13 Bryan Rehm wasn't going to get there.

Q: Why?

A: Well, first of all, being a former teacher, he 14) was in the science department. He certainly was [5] opposed to intelligent design and, you know, is s relatively articulate so that, you know- But (7) that was the evening in which they interviewed (8) the candidates.

Q: Apart from the interview process, any comments (10) directed to the curriculum that you can recall? A: I do believe that some of the candidates were [12] asked how they felt about intelligent design.

[13] Or, you know, I think they disguised it in if it (14) were a strong issue and you had a feeling, would [15] you basically try to convince others to do so.

Bryan Rehm specifically said I am against 117 intelligent design. If you see the margin in my notes, this is a death sentence. Well, I mean, 119] now, remember these are my notes. I didn't realize they were going to have to be shared [21] with half the world. And, I mean, we wrote pzj down— You know, we knew as we were going 231 along. Over here is a death sentence. Cynthia [24] Corbett, okay, doesn't agree with the policy on

gs creationism. Well, we knew that was done. So,

[1] her statement?

A: Speak with her, I went up, and other members of in the department thanked her for her efforts on HI our behalf, you know, told her we appreciated is the efforts that she had made to try to resolve [6] the issue between the books and the curriculum. [7] Yes, that is what I said to her.

Q: How about Noel Wenrich, were you there when he m resigned?

A: Yes. And I knew what date- in fact, one of [11] the board agendas has that on as to when they [12] would be leaving. I did speak to him very briefly, but I did not to the other lady who was [14] moving to Florida, I did not know her.

Q: Jane Cleaver?

A: Yes, and did not have any reason to work with her. Noel oftentimes came in because he was [18] involved with the building project, and there (19) were eight science rooms that had to be redone. [20] So there were some issues involving the building pij project.

Q: And you had come to know Noel? A: He was a student while I was a teacher here.

Q: And you developed-I guess what I hear you

[25] saying you talked to Noel more than Jane because

Page 185

Page 187

[1] you know, as we sat there, we had our own [2] perceptions of the way things were going to go.

Q: How about let's look at board meetings for the (4) remainder of the 2004 period, and just did you [5] attend those board meetings?

A: Unless there was a reason for me to be there, (7) once this issue basically had been resolved with • the curriculum, I didn't attend as many as some m of the others did.

Q: So do you think you attended them from this [11] board meeting we just got done discussing? A: I was present when they interviewed the

(13) candidates. I do not remember attending board [14] meetings in December. And these notes that are ns here are not mine.

Q: How about were you there when Angie Yingling (17) resigned?

A: No. Well, I don't remember that. I was there (19) when the Browns resigned.

Q: That's what I was going to ask you next.

A: I can't tell you when that was, but I remember [22] Casey reading-

Q: Her statement?

A: Right. [24]

Q: Did you speak with Casey Brown after she read

19 you had a relationship with Noel?

A: No, I wouldn't say that. I did speak to Noel,

(a) but it was on very rare occasions. Jane 1

(4) didn't have any connection to at all. I just

b) had no reason to have dealings with her.

Q: Let's look at the period from October 18th

[7] forward. Have you spoken with any of the p persons who were on the board as of October 18th

191 about the curriculum change from October 18th,

[10] 2004 to the present?

A: Have I spoken to any members of the board after

(12) the curriculum change was voted in?

Q: Right, to the present period about the [14] curriculum change.

A: Not to my knowledge. Once it had been put in, [16] we felt we were going to have to deal with it.

177 Talking was going to get us nowhere. It hadn't

(18) in the future — or hadn't in the past, I mean.

Q: How about I know that some communications were

120] had between the administration and the science 211 department, the science faculty relating to the

22 formulation of the statement.

A: That's correct.

Q: I know you said you didn't personally play a

gs role in the input from the science faculty into

ortha E. Spahr ay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

the statement, but did you have discussions with 3 the administration about the statement after n October 18, 2004?

A: There were discussions among the staff as to s) what input the department should have as Jen 6 actually wrote it up, you know, what did we 71 think might be changed. But Jen after she heard our suggestions is the one who was in charge of m writing that.

Q: So she was like the point person on the-10]

A: Yes, because it dealt with biology. And as I 12] said, she was the lead teacher and certainly the 13] most senior teacher in biology.

Q: Who else participated in that sort of give and is take surrounding the statement?

A: I can't answer that. My- And I'm guessing 117 would have been Bob Linker and Leslie Prail who pg are the other two biology teachers.

Q: So essentially they'd be relating to Jen?

A: Yes, not to me. 1201

Q: I know there came a time when the department went on record as not wanting to read the 33 statement. Bert, just give me your reasons for [24] that as you see them.

A: We felt that if the science teachers in the

Page 188

Page 190

in is not science.

MR. GILLEN: Let me just take a brief

p) break.

(Recess taken) M

BY MR. GILLEN:

[5] Q: Bert, towards the end there you mentioned that [6]

[7] one of the reporters asked you for your

🕫 statement, a copy of your statement, and you

m declined to give it to him, you didn't want to

no see it in print, Have you had exchanges with

[11] the various reporters that cover the school

(12) district in connection with this dispute?

A: I have had one or two. Because they were [14] primarily interested in questions being answered

[15] in biology, they usually spoke to either Jen

[16] Miller or Rob Eshbach instead of me. On a few

107 occasions I have been interviewed. I have been

[18] contacted by people in the media. Oftentimes I

[19] refer them to Jen Miller. But Joe Maldonado

go which is a writer for the local newspapers came

121) to the school and interviewed the three of us on

1221 this issue. Q: Let me ask you about them. Have you had 1231 [24] interviews with Joe Maldonado yourself?

A: Not alone, no.

Page 189

Page 191

(1) department read the statement that they were [2] going to give credibility to this intelligent p design, and we felt it was not science. And, (4) therefore, we did not want to become part of s that.

Q: Bert, when you say you feel intelligent design n theory is not science, give me just a sense for m the basis for your opinion on that issue.

A: Intelligent design we believe is a belief. 110] Beliefs cannot be proven scientifically in a [11] laboratory; and, therefore, we feel intelligent tiz design does not belong in a science class.

Now, we were never opposed to teaching 114 intelligent design. We said it belongs - could (15) belong in a philosophy class, it could belong in [16] comparative religions, you know, in some [17] elective. But we just felt that it had no merit in the science classroom.

Q: Do I understand you correctly, Bert, that your go opinion in that regard is based on this notion [21] that scientific assertions are provable through (22) lab science?

A: Testable and repeatedly you get the same 124] response. A belief cannot be tested; and, [25] therefore, as far as we're concerned, a belief

Q: How about in a group? [1]

A: Yes. It was Jen Miller, Rob Eshbach, and [2]

[9] myself.

Q: Have you had a chance to review his reportage of [4]

s those interviews and more specifically

is statements that he may have attributed to you?

A: Yes. And they are in the file of newspaper [7] (B) articles.

Q: Did you find his reportage accurate-

A: Yes, I did.

Q: --inaccurate? How about the same question for

112] Heidi Bernard Bubb, did she attribute quotes

(13) to-

A: I never interviewed or answered questions to her

(15) specifically. If she attributed quotations to

ng me, it was because of being present in a meeting

where I read the statement. I'm not sure she

[18] was there. But I did not ever speak to her

[19] specifically.

Q: Lori Lebo, did you have-[20]

A: I've never spoken to her specifically either.

[22] There was a- When the ABC News press was here

231 and videotaped that one entire meeting, I did

[24] speak briefly to the person from that

[25] organization. The one that I specifically

Page 192

Page 194

(1) remember, and Jen and Rob and I were all three p) there, was the gentleman from the very (3) well-known science journal called Science where 40 he came to interview us. And I believe that may s) have been in December, I don't remember is specifically. And his article which was printed [7] in the Science magazine was very accurate. Q: When we began this deposition, Bert, you

19) referenced some discussions you had had with [10] plaintiffs' counsel from the fall of 2004 [11] through I think it was April or May of this

(12) year. As you sit here today, do you recall any [13] subject matter that you discussed with them that [14] I haven't asked you questions about today? A: When I spoke to Eric most recently, he had

[16] called to ask me the accuracy of the [17] Dr. Peterman memo, and that was the most recent [18] contact and probably in the last few months the (19) only contact I have had with him.

Now, we exchanged back and forth phone [21] messages on the answering machine probably three (22) days in a row. He'd call, I'd call, and he'd [23] call back. But it basically was to ask me about 124) the Trudy Peterman memo because that document 125] was not present in any of the material that I

[1] Redding and asked him to find out what he could 21 about whatever happened to that because, as I [3] said, it was a gift. It was a student project [4] and whatever and then came to find out that 15] Mr. Reeser who was the head of building and [6] grounds had come in during the weekend and had [7] removed it from the room and destroyed it. I (a) was told that it was burned so that it would [9] never again appear in the classroom.

Well, obviously when I found that out as [11] department chair because it was a gift to the [12] science department came to Dr. Nilsen, and I [13] asked Dr. Nilsen what is going to happen to a (14) district employee who basically steals material out of a room and destroys it. And Dr. Nilsen [16] told me at that point in time that it was none [17] of my concern, that it was a personnel issue, [18] and that he would take care of it.

And it was at that point that we kind of [20] got a hint that, you know, this issue is still 21) out there in the community. You know, it's a 221 very strong religious community. And there were people and openly said so were offended by the [24] fact that that mural or picture, whatever you [25] wanted to call it, sat on the chalkboard in the

Page 193

Page 195

[1] sent to anybody because I did not have it in my 2) possession, or at least if I do, it was not with

B) everything else. Do I remember that

(4) Conversation and was — what was written in the

[5] memo as accurate as I could remember it, and I

responded to him that it was.

Q: Anything else, Bert?

A: Yes. And something that I think has been behind 191 a lot of this, the science department, at least tiof the present members who are still here, have in always felt that this entire issue revolved [12] around that mural that was in that room several [13] years ago where obviously it was the picture on [14] that one document where you had what appeared to [15] be a monkey, okay, evolving into a man. And [16] that was why when it was first found missing-[17] And I found it on the exact weekend that it was [18] moved because I know where things are. And when no something 16 feet by 4 feet disappears, it's not gor difficult to find.

And of course I questioned the janitorial [21] [22] staff as to who did anything with it, you know, [23] who directed you to move this, and they knew of [24] nothing and then of course contacted the [25] assistant principal at that point was Mr. Larry

[1] back of the room.

And as Mr. Reeser's granddaughter was in 13] the school at that time, she was coming through [4] the science curriculum, and I don't know whether is) there was an open house, but there was 69 something, and the family saw it, you know, [7] sitting in the rear of the room. And, you know,

(8) he certainly voiced his displeasure.

But we always felt- And that's why when [10] Mr. Buckingham at a subsequent - and that was my years later - meeting, you know, made the [12] statement about monkeys and man together, that was it for me because that's where I truly felt [14] this whole thing stemmed from, that he, you [15] know, truly believed, and I'm not sure why, that us we taught man evolved from a monkey. So, I mean, that I think has been at the center of Hal this controversy.

Now, had the mural never been in our school building, would this have occurred, I can't [21] answer that. But I do know that that was the [22] inflammatory item that, you know, certainly [23] caused discussion.

Q: Well, I mean, and that's important to you. So [25] let me ask you a few more questions. I'm

rtha E. Spahr ay 19, 2005 Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Page 198

Page 196

looking at Miller 4 which has that history mural at the beginning of it, and I see that the mural disappeared according to this document August 2002. Is that right?

A: It was over a weekend. And we had come in for in-service day. I don't know, at that point it might have been a Thursday, Friday, Monday, and Tuesday. And between Friday and Monday the mural disappeared.

So when I went back into the room Monday
morning to check on books, if they had their
books that they needed to begin the new year,
where is it. I mean, you know 16 feet by 4 feet
is big, and, you know, it wasn't there. And
is that was when we went on a hunting expedition to
if find out what had happened to it.

Q: Then you said that you obviously learned some

18) details about how the mural came to the
19) attention of was it Mr. Reeser?
20) A: I don't know whether it came to the attention,
21) but he was the one who literally removed it from
22) the school and burned it. That's what I was

[23] told. [24] Q: Who told you that?

A: I believe Mr. Redding who was then the assistant

[1] taught. And that was the young man's perception [2] as his art project of what he saw.

Q: What I'm trying to get at, Bert, is I know that we've got some specific information that's tied to Mr. Reeser. And then on the other hand you have this sort of sense of the community. And what I'm trying to do is get at what you're

relying on there. I mean, plainly Mr. Reeser s was offended and acted on it.

[10] A: Do I know whether he was ever directed by [11] someone to do that, the answer to that question [12] is no.1 never spoke to Mr. Reeser on the [13] issue, no.

(14) Q: Did any members of the community come to you personally and express objections to that mural?

A: Not to me personally they did not.

Q: Were you aware of members of the community coming to your science faculty and expressing

119 objections to the mural?

A: I know that the school district would not permanently hang the mural in that particular room, so it was not, you know. Now, I again do not know again who directed the janitorial staff to refuse to do that. But they would not permanently adhere it to the wall.

Page 197

Page 199

(1) principal of the high school at the time.
[2] Q: Was Mr. Redding as assistant principal the
[3] person who investigated the—

A: I believe he was.

© Q: You've referenced a PTA or parent/teacher—
© some sort of what did you call it, open house?

A: Yes. We have since we're on block schedule an sopen house for parents to come and follow their student's schedule twice a year. And I believe that — now, obviously the previous year, some two or three years. The young man who presented it I believe graduated in 1998. So this probably had been standing for a good two, they year.

So it was there that if you came into the room it obviously was visible. And sometime in that interim community members had come in.
They saw it sitting back there. Now, I'm not sure if the open house they would ask about it, but it was visible. And most people's perception when you see that particular picture is that somebody believes that man came from a monkey. Now, to my knowledge, that was never

[1] Q: Again, I think earlier you said Mr. Reeser was [2] the head of the buildings and grounds?

A: Yes, he was. But there were— I don't know whether it would have been the responsibility of 151 the janitors at the high school to do it.

Now, yesterday they were hanging all the round on that all the walls and the construction has been done. So, I mean, they were not our janitorial staff but are members of

ion the district staff that were hanging those huge

[19] murals all over the hall.

But we knew that when they refused — well, not they, were directed not to adhere it permanently to a wall that there obviously was some controversy and of course was the reason why it was sitting on the chalkboard tray.

G: I just want to make sure I understand you. Did you say yesterday it was district staff hanging the murals in the building now that the building project has been completed?

A: Yes, And I don't even know who those gentlem

A: Yes. And I don't even know who those gentlemen were, but I know they are not janitors who are normally in our building.

MR. GILLEN: Bert, I have no further questions. I thank you for coming.

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al. Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

		Page 200	Page 2
	(The deposition concluded at 4:39 p.m.)		
			[1] COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA:
		1	[2] COUNTY-OF YORK :
	•		[3] I, Belhann M. Mulay, Reporter and Notary
			Public in and for the Commonwealth of
1			[4] Pennsylvania and County of York, do hereby
 		•	certity that the foregoing deposition was taken
i			[5] before me at the time and place hereimbefore set
)]			forth, and that it is the testimony of:
1			form, and that it is the resistion? or
1			[6]
)]			BERTHA E. SPAHR
7)			И
8)			i turther certify that said witness was by
8) Cj			[8] me duly sworn to testify the whole and complete
1]			truth in said cause; that the testimony then
2) 2)	•		[9] given was reported by me stenographically, and
3) 4)			subsequently transcribed under my direction and
25)			(10) supervision; and that the foregoing is a tull,
			true and correct transcript of my original
			[11] shorthand notes.
		-	
			[12] I surther certify that I am not counsel for
	•		[13] or related to any of the parties to the
	•	•	toregoing cause, or employed by them or their
	•		[14] attorneys, and am not interested in the subject
			matter or outcome thereof.
			រេទ
			[16] Dated at York, Pennsylvania this 25th day
		•	of May, 2005.
			[17]
			[18]
			[19]
			(20) Bethenn M. Mulay
			Registered Professional Reporter
			D.A.E.
			[22]
			The loregoing certification of this
			(23) transcript does not apply to any reproduction of
		•	the same by any means unless under the direct
			[24] control and/or supervision of the certifying
			reporter.
			[25]

#

#1 121:4 #2 159:7

1

1 34:22; 45:4; 53:24; 60:5; 79:3: 98:2; 121:3.7; 128:24; 176:23 1/21/05 47:1,3 10 24:24, 24 10/18/04 159:2 10th 137:22 11 17:4; 24:24; 103:15 11/8/04 11:17 11th 137:22 12 17:4 12/15/2004 28:7 12th 106:8, 15; 137:18; 138:2, 7, 16 1385 6:12 14 154:18 14th 68:2; 69:18; 70:8, 13; 73:4 15 17:20 15th 137:19; 138:2, 7, 16 16 81:15; 193:19; 196:13 18 173:11; 188:3 18th 11:24; 130:11; 137:16; 139:8; 140:17; 142:20, 24; 143:1, 5; 144:18; 148:24; 154:25; 155:10, 17; 156:22, 24; 174:22; 175:1; 176:23; 177:1; 178:2; 180:3, 8; 187:6, 8, 9 1965 24:5 1990 81:14 1998 18:17; 44:4; 47:16; 197:13 1st 51:15; 129:15; 155:18; 179:20, 22; 180:5

2

2 75:21; 92:11, 16; 94:24; 131:11; 159:6, 14 20 25:23; 127:25; 136:23, 24; 138:14 2000 22:7: 49:23 2001 49:24 2002 18:17; 21:11; 23:17, 20; 29:21; 31:8; 32:4; 66:2; 76:1; 93:2; 94:18; 95:19; 97:13, 20; 98:20; 104:9, 15, 18, 23, 25; 105:9, 13; 196:4 2002-2004 97:3 2003 30:10; 31:15, 21, 22; 32:15, 24; 33:4, 6; 34:15; 44:11, 25; 46:18; 47:11;

51:11, 11, 15; 53:24; 58:17;59:14, 18; 60:15; 61:14, 16, 20, 25; 119:9, 20: 120:1; 121:21; 123:3; 124:21; 126:22 2003-2004 44:14 2004 5:23: 13:15; 17:21; 44:13; 45:2; 60:1, 12; 62:15, 17; 63:21; 64:1; 65:8: 66:8, 16; 67:25; 69:18: 76:20; 78:23; 83:17; 92:23; 94:11, 15. 20: 95:5, 11, 19; 96:1, 4, 7, 19; 97:11, 16, 21, 24; 98:1, 7, 22; 99:22; 101:1, 7, 18; 102:11: 104:13; 105:13; 106:7, 24; 107:17; 112:14; 114:19; 116:4; 127:12; 128;7; 130:5; 131:13; 140:1; 155:10, 19; 173:12; 176:23; 177:1; 185:4; 187:10; 188:3; 192:10 2004-2005 63:6 2005 25:6: 49:23 2006 39:4 217 83:15 22 141:8 22nd 14:2, 6; 15:17; 116:4 24 15:6 25 130:18 250 117:24, 25

3

28th 14:4; 25:6; 178:7

2nd 106:7, 24; 107:1;

27 125:9

115:8

27th 124:21

3 66:13; 106:6; 130:3; 132:10, 20; 154:23; 155:2, 9; 179:21 30 128:7 30th 79:2; 127:12, 18; 128:3, 12, 24; 129:15

4

4 50:23; 76:20; 81:16; 82:14, 18; 86:14; 89:9; 96:6; 131:10; 193:19; 196:1, 13 40 24:5; 161:23; 169:21 400 48:8 4:39 200:1 4th 130:4; 131:5; 155:4

5

5 46:20 50 37:10

6

6 25:4; 29:4; 131:4; 183:9 6/4 77:22 6/8/2004 77:19 66 24:5

7

7 39:4;66:8, 16; 136:14, 17; 141:7; 144:20
7th 66:10; 67:9, 12, 22, 25; 68:7; 69:14

8

8th 131:13; 133:2; 137:6; 140:16; 143:1

9

95 24:23 98 81:14

A

ABC 173:22; 180:19; 191:22 ability 5:20; 6:1 able 40:13; 54:24; 111:3; 126:25; 151:24; 153:13; 168:25; 173:15; 176:10 above 113:1; 125:8 abusing 181:16 accept 90:3 acceptable 63:18; 98:8; 102:6; 118:24; 153:8 acceptance 154:21 accepted 153:2 accepting 64:4; 89:18; 130:23 access 165:23 according 196:3 account 36:20; 38:21 accountable 148:15; 174:12; 176:4 accounts 62:16 accuracy 13:3; 192:16 accurate 13:5; 69:3; 110:7; 111:2; 191:9; 192:7:193:5 accused 181:16 acquire 127:1 across 47:2;59:1; 132:21; 135:16 act 123:11; 161:3 acted 198:9 activities 60:8; 93:22 actual 50:4 Actually 19:18; 21:3;

35:14; 43:6; 49:23, 24; 64:25: 69:17; 71:2; 72:23; 83:12:85:10;97:14; 101:7; 105:17; 110:6; 119:13, 15; 120:6; 122:13; 123:5; 126:24; 143:18; 152:8: 174:2, 9: 179:2; 188:6 adapt 58:20 add 133:14; 138:17; 145:25 added 137:19; 138:8; 141:7 addition 134:16; 136:3; 144:14; 145:9; 162:7; 177:5 additional 8:22; 42:24; 48:1; 106:12; 117:25 address 6:11, 14, 16; 9:7; 38:7; 39:6; 40:24; 49:1; 54:5, 18 addressed 33:1;38:14; 41:5, 7; 57:19; 61:13; 71:10; 163:10; 168:4 addressing 40:16; 57:8; 70:16; 103:1; 147:21 adequately 57:11 adhere 198:25; 199:13 adhesive 132:22 adjourned 174:21, 24 administration 15:7: 21:14; 22:2, 12; 25:20; 31:24; 32:6; 61:12; 62:1; 63:14; 103:19; 106:19; 118:12; 129:13, 21; 137:15; 141:25; 143:17; 145:18; 146:13; 148:5, 22; 149:1, 3, 4, 12, 19; 150:13; 151:5; 152:16, 20, 22; 178:5; 187:20; 188:2 administrative 27:17 administrators 148:10: 160:16; 172:15 admit 164:21 admits 146:7 adopted 72:18 advanced 103:2 advancing 111:21 advised 41:18, 20 advising 41:25 affected 164:8; 176:18 affixed 86:7, 23 afterwards 19:19 again 139:19 Again 9:17; 13:10; 15:17; 28:23; 29:4; 59:25; 60:9; 63:4; 72:25; 74:6; 78:22; 84:16, 19; 90:11; 95:1, 17; 98:5; 104:20; 118:22; 139:6; 150:15; 152:14; 158:12; 161:13; 194:9; 198:22, 23, 199:1 against 166:17; 169:5; 184:16

75:15; 106:7; 130:3, 6; 131:5; 154:4, 6, 7, 14, 20, 25; 155:9, 13, 17, 18; 156:6, 19; 179:21 agendas 66:15, 24; 67:3; 140:8; 186:11 ago 13:24; 24:19, 23, 25; 29:1, 2; 73:21; 193:13 agree 151:21;163:5; 168:20; 184:24 agreed 40:6; 90:21; 119:7; 133:13; 136:16; 183:21 agreement 182:23 ahead 115:18 air 104:20 Alan 38:1; 74:10; 78:15; 119:9; 121:21; 146:7; 162:7 alert 68:21 alerted 53:24 allow 4:12, 16 allowed 127:7; 154:3, 10 alone 190:25 along 93:11; 145:9; 160:21; 184:23 altering 148:13 alternate 40:11; 41:22; 45:18 alternative 42:7 although 6:24; 34:23; 41:11:44:15;72:2;136:12 altogether 60:18 always 29:15; 30:1, 1, 4, 5, 15; 33:20; 38:24; 43:18; 45:17; 67:5; 71:10, 13, 17; 85:3: 104:22, 24: 174:8; 177:18; 193:11; 195:9 amended 135:5; 136:6, 15; 137:3, 19; 153:1 amiss 86:3 among 27:13; 29:6; 101:13; 103:17; 128:19; 166:3, 6, 8; 177:8; 188:4 analysis 11:23 anatomy 72:2 ancestors 98:4 Angle 19:10, 11; 75:7; 185:16 announcer 175:3 answered 18:12;53:1; 57:10, 25; 190:14; 191:14 antagonistic 161:18; 163:1 anti-God 169:2 anti-whatever 169:3 anticipated 105:8; 139:2 anymore 41:11; 46:11 apart 7:21; 10:17; 12:22; 17:12; 21:23; 37:16; 38:2: 43:11;61:9,22;75:1; 93:25; 100:6; 112:4; 157:5; 163:16; 184:9 apologize 139:24; 167:7

age 72:9

agenda 67:22; 69:17;

pparently 32:14, 20, 24; 3:19:87:1;132:24; 33:23; 154:24 ppealing 102:19 ppear 135:6; 146:9; 48:9; 172:20; 194:9 ppeared 18:16; 93:7; 93:14 ippears 6:17; 12:2; 56:2; 161:8 appease 118:18; 168:19 applied 182:11 appointed 171:23; 172:2 appreciate 6:20 appreciated 186:4 appreciation 31:2 approached 151:2 appropriate 43:5 appropriately 128:18 approved 41:19; 44:3; 49:13, 17; 69:24; 70:1, 18; 71:18; 72:4; 104:10, 16; 115:12, 15, 24; 130:17; 144:3, 7, 13; 145:1; 149:20; 151:8; 157:2 approving 89:21 approximate 51:1 April 14:2; 34:16; 51:15, 17; 53:21, 24; 55:1; 192:11 Area 21:10; 22:6; 23:23; 24:4: 30:24: 45:20: 69:7; 72:22; 76:13, 14; 95:18; 100:6; 110:3; 149:7; 171:8, 9, 21 areas 48:25 arena 45:15, 17 arise 142:8 arose 120:6 around 24:24; 59:14; 84:7; 128:3; 156:5; 193:12 arrived 128:17 art 198:2 article 126:24; 192:6 articles 125:1; 126:13; 163:7; 191:8 articulate 184:6 arts 61:2 aside 18:3 assent 81:16 assertion 172:21 assertions 98:10, 20; assessments 129:24 assign 48:15 assigned 48:3 assistant 193:25; 196:25; 197:2 Association 16:10, 12; 22:23; 67:5; 84:5; 119:13, 21; 157:20, 25; 174:15, 18; assume 59:17; 116:6 assumed 115:17 assuming 14:19;77:19

assurance 59:23 assure 65:18 assured 104:8, 17; 114:23; 115:14; 183:25 attached 67:14; 146:11 attacked 162:21 attempt 34:2; 161:13 attempted 47:18; 105:6; 167:7; 180:21 attend 64:25; 67:24; 107:1; 116:5; 130:9; 139:14; 149:12; 157:12, 16: 171:9, 20; 185:5, 8 attendance 15:15; 22:24; 67:5; 69:25; 70:3; 77:5; 78:6, 12; 84:3; 107:3; 116:15; 156:23; 164:3, 16; 171:22; 179:6; 182:18 attended 67:2; 69:19; 185:10 attending 69:13; 74:16; 140:5, 10; 157:1; 185:13 attention 30:13, 15; 31:20; 32:23; 52:10; 87:7; 101:16; 107:7; 134:18; 136:21; 196:19, 20 attorney 1520 attorney 179:13 attorneys 74:22 attract 100:21 attracted 134:18 attractive 183:19 attribute 191:12 attributed 146:6; 191:6, audience 84:6; 100:22; 102:20; 161:22; 165:22; 166:7, 12; 177:6 August 45:2; 96:22; 101:7; 106:24; 107:1, 3, 5; 112:16, 21; 114:17; 115:8; 116:4, 15; 117:14; 127:12, 18; 128:3, 7, 12, 24; 129:15; 140:6; 196:4 authority 98:25 authors 19:8; 108:13; automatically 71:25; 72:8; 115:22 available 40:20; 48:19; 69:10; 93:14; 125:4; 127:24:130:20 avoid 176:14, 15; 180:21 avoided 169:15 aware 30:15; 53:12; 103:4; 133:10; 134:11; 146:6; 157:15; 198:17 away 102:4; 104:13; 114:22

B

B 121:3, 4, 7; 143:22, 25; 152:24; 159:7; 165:10; 166:13; 167:22

back 32:12; 40:14; 41:1, 2; 46:21; 54:2; 60:22; 62:1; 68:25; 83:14; 86:11; 87:9; 90:14; 104:12; 107:17; 109:10; 114:23; 120:23; 130:13; 136:1; 137:24; 138:9; 192:20, 23; 195:1; 196:10:197:20 background 14:3, 9; 17:16; 24:3; 43:4; 53:4; 110:15 backgrounds 42:10: 182:22 bad 90:10, 22 Baksa 11:22; 36:23; 37:1, 5, 8, 13, 18, 22; 38:4, 11; 43:20; 47:12; 51:23; 52:22, 22; 53:10, 21; 54:10; 55:7, 24, 25; 56:19; 59:7; 61:11; 75:24; 78:4; 79:12, 24; 80:1; 94:14; 95:4, 10; 96:8; 99:4; 104:1; 105:2; 107:9; 108:4; 114:16; 118:17; 131:17, 19; 134:22; 135:8; 137:25; 138:22; 139:2; 141:1; 143:20; 144:19; 145:21; 147:23; 148:2; 151:4; 153:2: 174:20; 178:9, 13; 179:1 Baksa's 53:4: 105:16 hallot 183:9 bare 4:20 barely 110:2 * Barrie 17:1; 50:16, 19; 68:25; 71:7, 9; 158:18; 166:23 based 29:22; 62:6; 88:2; 105:9; 107:5; 111:14; 117:20; 146:15; 189:20 basically 20189:20 basically 12:17; 13:10, 12; 15:4, 5, 5, 7; 18:8, 16; 20:2; 27:8; 32:6; 40:8; 42:3, 20: 53:2, 7; 56:19, 21; 62:5; 63:5; 68:20; 73:8; 83:15; 99:3; 102:1, 15; 108:15; 109:8; 111:17; 113:11; 126:25; 128:20; 129:6, 23; 130:24; 163:6; 164:13; 170:18; 172:13; 174:16; 175:3, 14; 176:1; 184:15; 185:7; 192:23; basis 38:21; 171:2; 189:8 Bates 136:23, 24; 138:13; 141:8 Baun 175:20 beak 58:21 became 25:20; 137:20; 144:2; 170:4, 9, 16, 21, 22 become 24:17; 28:20; 189:4 becoming 115:5 began 158:4; 192:8 begin 4:13, 14; 45:16;

196:12 beginning 32:18; 44:15; 56:18; 62:18; 65:13, 20; 78:23; 96:10; 102:16; 109:17, 18; 128:17, 22; 129:4: 152:9; 154:13, 19; 178:3; 196:2 begins 38:10; 45:14; 75:24; 133:9; 158:3 behalf 98:11; 103:2; 167:7; 186:4 Behe 17:3 behind 32:13; 60:22; 139:19; 155:22; 177:13; 193:8 belabor 99:9 belief 111:5, 12, 15; 189:9, 24, 25 Beliefs 189:10 believes 197:24 belong 22:15, 16; 189:12, 15, 15 belongs 189:14 below 173:2,3 beneath 45:13; 51:10; 76:13; 121:15; 164:17; 168:10; 172:24 beneficial 161:14 Bermuda 25:22; 27:2, 3 Bernard 191:12 Bert 6:16, 18, 21; 8:14; 12:2, 22; 23:6; 24:4, 7; 27:23; 30:8; 31:15; 33:3, 8, 18; 34:8, 23; 36:18, 24; 38:15; 39:21; 41:7, 15; 44:9; 45:6; 46:16, 23, 23; 47:4, 6; 49:5; 50:22; 51:3; 52:15; 55:12, 23; 58:13; 59:14; 60:15; 62:9, 21; 66:1, 12, 17; 67:9, 22; 68:24; 69:19; 75:20; 76:3, 15, 21; 82:9, 13, 23; 84:21; 86:12; 87:4; 88:1; 91:3; 93:16: 95:1, 8, 17; 97:17; 98:17; 100:9; 101:1; 104:12; 105:12; 106:6, 16; 109:22; 110:23; 111:10, 25; 116:1, 25; 119:24; 120:17, 24; 121:7, 19; 123:25; 125:6, 25; 127:10; 128:11; 130:15; 131:13; 132:6; 133:4, 24; 136:14, 17, 137:5, 15, 138:4, 12; 139:12; 141:24; 142:21; 144:9; 145:12; 146:4; 150:3, 6, 9; 151:21; 154:23; 155:12, 14, 20, 25; 158:8, 24; 159:10; 163:8, 16; 164:9, 18; 165:16; 167:10; 169:14; 172:8; 173:8; 174:5, 19; 176:5; 177:9; 178:10; 179:16; 180:8; 181:7; 188:23; 189:6, 19; 190:6; 192:8; 193:7: 198:3; 199:24 **BERTHA 9199:24** Bertha-6:10, 19 best 4:19, 24; 5:14; 9:16;

13:5; 56:14; 102:18 Beth 4:1.9 better 30:21; 171:12; 173:7 Beyond 95:2; 113:14 big 58:10; 100:17; 101:23; 102:12; 139:8; 196:14 Bill 23:2; 74:7 billed 152:20 binder 11:13 bio 25:24;68:12;108:14 Biological 27:4 biologists 43:3 biology 10:8, 9; 18:7, 9, 10, 15, 19; 20:5, 6, 11; 23:20, 21, 25; 24:10, 11; 25:14, 18; 27:15; 28:12, 16; 29:12, 24; 30:18; 31:17, 22; 32:1, 2, 5; 33:21; 37:12; 38:11; 39:2, 15, 16; 40:6, 15; 41:12, 13, 18, 20; 42:3; 43:24; 46:3, 6, 12; 47:17, 22; 48:8, 10, 12, 14; 49:7, 10; 50:14, 17; 51:20, 20; 52:25; 53:5; 55:14, 17, 20; 58:1, 8, 13; 59:21, 24; 62:2, 3, 19, 25; 63:1, 8, 18, 23; 65:3, 19; 70:8; 72:10, 16; 74:1; 75:3, 24, 25; 76:2, 8, 14; 77:10; 78:25: 82:11: 85:11, 17; 87:13, 13, 16, 23; 88:22; 89:2, 6; 92:4, 17; 95:19; 97:3:99:11;105:13; 106:21; 107:25; 108:22; 109:5, 8; 116:23; 119:5; 125:14; 128:1, 16, 19; 129:11, 22; 134:19; 135:11, 12; 136:1, 5; 139:5; 140:19; 146:12, 22; 147:14; 148:3, 4, 7; 150:13, 18; 152:25; 159:21; 168:17; 176:19; 178:8, 21: 180:12; 182:3; 188:11, 13, 18; 190:15 bird 58:18, 19, 20, 22, 23 blacked 144:11 blacking 156:8 biatantly 90:12 block 197:7 blood 21:9 board 8:6; 10:13; 11:25; 12:1, 16; 13:11, 14, 14; 15:16: 16:17, 20, 22; 18:4; 19:17, 18, 24; 20:8, 24, 25; 21:3, 11; 22:6; 27:13, 18; 28:11; 31:24; 32:14, 17, 21; 36:23; 37:5, 8, 13, 23; 41:19; 47:13, 25; 50:17; 61:21; 63:22, 25; 66:14, 14, 24; 67:2, 12; 70:15; 71:3, 4, 10, 18; 73:7, 10, 13, 13, 15, 19; 74:5, 16, 24, 25; 75:12; 77:13; 78:2; 81:23; 82:2; 83:16, 19; 84:4; 89:17, 20; 90:1, 2; 91:4; 96:18, 18, 25; 98:8;

112:20; 148:1; 180:18;

101:9; 104:4, 11, 14; 105:19; 106:2, 3, 4, 7, 20, 23; 107:1, 4; 114:12, 17; 115:9, 15; 116:13, 14, 17, 18: 117:16; 120:8; 121:25; 122:5, 10; 127:11, 14, 14; 130:3, 4, 22, 25; 131:1; 137:16; 139:9, 11, 22, 25; 140:2; 142:14, 17, 20, 23, 24; 143:5, 18; 144:18, 23; 145:15; 146:2; 147:22; 148:1, 11; 150:19; 151:2, 22: 152:22; 154:25; 156:12; 157:14; 159:2, 18; 160:12; 161:2, 7; 162:3, 8, 12, 17, 20, 22; 166:3, 6, 11; 167:4, 13, 16, 25; 171:23; 173:11, 21, 25; 175:10, 14, 16; 176:25; 177:4, 15; 182:24; 183:15, 19, 21, 185:3, 5, 11, 13; 186:11; 187:8, 11 Bob 14:4; 56:2; 76:17; 77:18; 78:6; 80:5; 188:17 bodies 52:4 body 110:21 bold 121:12 bone 101:23 Bonsell 20:22; 38:1; 51:13; 52:9, 19, 21; 53:1; 54:19; 55:3, 10, 23; 56:17, 25; 57:5; 58:17; 70:5; 74:10; 75:17; 78:15; 119:9, 23; 120:1, 4, 12; 121:21; 122:7; 123:2, 12; 125:19; 137:19; 138:8, 17; 145:25; 146:7; 147:8; Bonsell's 52:20; 55:6 book 11:23; 18:8, 9; 19:7, 7: 32:1, 5; 33:12; 34:5; 43:24:44:2,4;47:17; 48:24; 59:24; 60:7; 62:24; 63:18; 68:17; 69:7; 76:16, 17; 77:9, 10, 18; 79:4, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 19; 80:3, 5; 89:2, 7; 91:9; 93:2, 13; 94:10, 11; 96:2, 15, 21; 97:17; 99:4. 5, 22; 100:3, 17; 101:15; 103:25; 104:1, 10, 18, 19; 107:6, 12; 108:14; 110:12, 16, 19; 112:8, 23, 24; 113:3, 6, 9, 23, 24, 25; 114:8, 13, 15, 24; 115:1, 3, 5, 13, 13, 24; 117:7; 118:8; 127:25; 128:16, 17; 132:2; 134:4; 141:15, 23; 142:10; 147:4, 19; 170:13 books 32:1, 8; 40:19; 44:24; 45:1; 48:18; 50:20; 60:3, 23; 63:2, 3; 64:5; 68:13, 16; 69:10, 23; 70:17, 18, 23; 71:12, 13, 19, 24; 72:10, 11, 17, 22; 76:14; 80:2; 95:20; 97:3, 5; 100:15; 105:14; 117:23, 24, 25; 118:3, 13, 20; 130:18, 23; 134:1, 5; 186:6; 196:11, 12

both 18:10; 23:6; 73:10, 12; 80:8; 97:5; 104:2; 118:18; 150:4, 12; 172:14; 179:5 bottom 77:17; 124:20; 131:4: 138:8, 14, 18; 144:14; 145:2 Bowser 22:19, 20; 66:23, 24; 68:5 box 9:1; 16:6 brackets 172:25 Brad 23:4 break 5:9: 46:13; 90:25; 190:3 Brian 175:11, 18, 20 Brian's 176:8 brief 46:13; 85:3; 90:25; 161:17; 190:2 briefly 186:13; 191:24 bring 46:5; 49:10; 62:11; 87:6 bringing 124:7 brings 23:6; 62:15; 130:2 brittle 29:6, 9 broke 46:16 brought 30:4; 45:25; 59:4:65:1; 121:20, 22; 122:16, 24, 25; 123:4, 19; 125:18; 127:5 Brown 18:5, 14; 20:16; 64:24, 24; 70:5, 6; 78:10, 12:90:19; 116:17; 117:1; 120:13; 122:8; 130:21; 143:11; 167:5; 185:25 Browns 177:16, 17; 185:19 Bryan 9:21:56:3.9: 114:8; 181:13; 184:1, 16 BS 130:7; 155:13 Bubb 174:1; 191:12 Buckingham 20:15; 64:23; 65:18; 73:3, 17; 78:4; 79:7; 80:20, 24; 82:4; 83:9, 20; 84:10, 25; 88:5, 14; 89:11, 13, 14; 90:20; 91:16; 93:1, 25; 94:3; 113.7, 17; 115:1; 161:5, 19; 162:12; 163:10; 167:10; 181:15, 19, 24; 195:10 Buckingham's 74:7; 87:6; 88:10; 91:17; 177:2 bud 54:4 budget 31:23; 61:8; 62:23; 71:20; 118:1 building 20:3; 48:23; 54:11; 81:22; 85:25; 86:9, 17, 18, 25; 108:4; 112:17, 20; 131:20; 135:14; 186:18, 20; 194:5; 195:20; 199:19, 19, 23 buildings 199:2 bunch 166:1 burden 170:7 burned 82:11; 194:8;

business 21:22, 23 busy 129:8 buy 48:1 buying 104:23 C C 70:5; 143:22, 25; 153:5; 165:10; 166:14; 167:22 calendar 66:11 California 15:25 call 6:18, 22; 10:12; 13:9; 148:18; 153:25; 192:22, 22, 23; 194:25; 197:6 Callahan 17:1, 11, 12; 50:16, 19; 69:1; 71:7, 9; 73:3; 158:18; 160:8; 166:24 called 9166:24 called 13:2; 17:1; 18:5; 20:13; 30:14; 52:17; 72:12;94:14;105:2; 115:13; 131:21; 135:20; 166:5; 192:3, 16 calling 69:17 calmed 32:24 came 9:2, 6; 13:3; 19:19; 29:9; 30:12; 40:4; 44:14; 47:13; 52:10, 22; 53:11; 58:23; 67:7; 73:22; 81:8; 83:13; 84:13; 85:23; 89:17; 96:13; 100:15, 16; 101:14, 15; 102:4; 104:13; 107:6; 108:4; 114:19; 116:13; 119:14, 17, 18, 20; 123:22; 131:22; 135:14; 142:3; 143:23; 147:16; 148:6; 157:8; 165:18; 167:6; 174:2; 175:8, 10, 15; 177:15, 16; 178:13; 183:8; 186:17; 188:21; 190:20; 192:4; 194:4, 12; 196:18, 20; 197:17, 24 cameras 173:22 can 13:19; 41:16; 44:11; 51:24;53:20;54:13, 18; 55:11; 57:2, 3, 7; 58:15; 65:12; 67:17; 70:22, 23; 71:16; 77:16; 81:1; 102:3; 108:20; 129:25; 130:14; 142:21; 148:7; 149:21; 151:18; 155:6; 156:5; 161:13; 163:22; 166:19; 174:23; 178:1; 184:10 candidates 179:25; 180:4, 10, 15, 17; 181:2, 5; 182:8, 11, 12; 183:16;

184:8, 11; 185:13

capacity 29:16; 129:19

carried 125:22; 138:24;

carry-68:17; 118:20, 22;

carbon 57:21; 110:25

capable 28:13

care 194:18

cared 58:22

156:17

125:20 carrying 54:3 case 164:3 case 4:23; 9:18; 10:20; 52:6; 160:22; 172:22, 23 cases 100:11; 125:24 Casey 18:5, 14; 20:15; 64:23, 24; 70:6; 78:10, 12; 90:19; 116:17; 117:1; 120:13; 122:8; 130:21; 143:11; 185:22, 25 cast 182:1 Catholic 77:7, 8; 79:15 caused 195:23 cautious 30:17 Center 15:23; 195:17 Certain 7:7; 14:8; 43:22; 48:21; 56:13; 62:4; 81:6, 23, 23; 82:1; 99:19; 100:15; 120:11; 130:11; 134:24; 139:6, 10; 142:3; 144:24; 148:5; 168:1; 181:5; 182:8 certainly 20:16, 17; 24:24; 28:10, 14; 29:10, 14; 34:14; 45:25; 46:3; 55:15; 59:2; 64:13; 65:23; 69:5, 9; 79:11; **80**:1**8**; 87:11; 89:15; 90:8; 93:23; 98:6; 99:18, 24; 100:15, 20; 101:12, 21; 107:23; 108:10, 22; 113:24; 117:20; 126:14; 131:22; 143:13; 149:10; 152:24; 153:1, 2; 162:18; 169:11; 177:19; 181:3; 183:12; 184:4; 188:12; 195:8, 22 certification 422 certification 24:13 chain 43:18 chair 21:5; 22:22; 43:19; 85:15; 129:20; 149:8; 194:11 chairs 164:1 chalkboard 86:10; 194:25; 199:16 challenge 161:11 challenged 161:24 chance 2361:24 chance 191:4 change 12:13; 20:11; 58:9, 25, 25; 59:2, 4; 62:2, 7; 79:3; 101:24; 128:2, 9; 129:14; 131:24; 134:22; 139:20; 143:2, 7; 154:12; 160:3; 163:18; 165:13; 167:18; 178:5; 180:12; 181:3; 187:9, 12, 14 changed 12:15, 16; 19:7, 7; 48:21; 49:6; 58:23; 69:6; 99:20; 132:25; 154:11; 188:7 changes 10:9:95:19; 97:2, 8, 24; 98:10; 99:22; 100:2: 102:21; 105:13; 129:1; 137:7; 138:1, 3, 6;

140:16; 146:12; 150:12;

178:15, 18, 20; 179:12 chaotic 166:14 chapter 92:4; 96:8; 97:5; 98:16; 99:7, 21; 100:20; 102:17 chapters 97:6; 99:19; 109:19 character 25:13 characterize 57:15 charge 178:24; 188:8 charged 86:22 chart 95:15; 136:21 check 44:17:196:11 checked 9:9 Chem 24:16, 16; 72:3 chemicals 110:7 chemistry 18:11, 19; 19:2, 3; 24:12, 13, 14; 28:15; 65:2; 70:17; 72:10; 135:13 **child** 181:16 children 181:11 chime 55:16 choice 62:25; 149:17 chose 93:23; 118:11 **chosen** 65:25 Christian 77:6 church 39:11; 170:20; 171:7, 9, 16, 24; 179:4 churches 40:12; 42:8; 170:1; 171:5, 6, 21 circled 50:24; 116:2; 131:6, 11 cite 133:25 cited 93:6; 129:3; 160:21 civil 57:16 civilized 85:4 claims 98:12, 24; 103:2; 111:21 clap 149:24 clarification 12:12; 13:23; 14:4, 11; 19:9; 152:13; 165:20 clarify 4:24; 12:7; 22:8; 34:2;52:24;159:23 class 48:11; 49:9; 123:23; 165:1; 189:12, 15 classes 37:12; 49:25; 50:5, 14; 87:14; 134:1; 178:8 classification 48:22, 25; 69:B classroom 33:13; 39:22; 41:11, 12, 55:20, 68:18; 85:25, 89:25; 108:9; 118:10; 122:12; 123:10; 128:14; 130:17, 19; 133:20, 22; 153:15; 161:2; 164:11, 14, 24; 169:10; 176:8, 17, 19; 189:18; 194:9 classrooms 85:18; 118:13; 128:1; 168:17 claws 58:22

ertha E. Spahr lay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

layton 179:3 lear 58:5 learances 181:22 learer 165:4 learly 167:2 :leaver 19:13:75:5; 86:15 :lip 8:22 :lose 59:18:66:4,7; 15:25; 96:2 :loser 132:15 :loset 9:25 :louded 167:3 Club 22:9 :lue 86:3 coach 78:7 collaborative 99:6 colleagues 7:21:51:6 collect 114:3 collected 8:16; 15:1 collection 9:15; 121:9; 144:20 collective 51:8,9 college 110:18, 20 collegial 57:16 column 133:9; 134:9; 137:11: 145:6; 147:4; 150:22 combination 145:8; 151:23 comfortable 40:16; 140:20:152:18 coming 5:5; 37:7, 24; 51:16; 57:21; 64:14; 91:9; 143:12: 148:12: 152:5; 154:8; 172:4; 178:19; 195:3; 198:18; 199:25 command 43:18 comment 68:12;71:7; 73:8, 18; 74:3, 6, 11; 88:12; 139:23; 151:9; 153:23; 154:2, 3, 9, 10, 18, 19; 158:5, 14, 17; 162:10. 13; 163:12, 17; 164:4; 167:14 commenting 18:21 comments 58:3:70:11: 88:10; 154:13; 163:16; 166:19; 167:20; 168:7; 176:25; 184:9 commit 123:10; 161:3 committee 18:13; 20:14, 14, 24; 21:1, 2, 4, 5; 22:22; 61:22; 63:22, 25; 64:22; 76:20; 77:4, 14, 23; 78:2, 12; 90:18; 91:4; 104:14. 17; 105:20; 106:3, 4; 108:21; 113:8; 114:13, 24; 117:16; 119:14; 122:11; 123:21: 127:11, 14, 15; 138:11; 139:1; 140:23; 142:15; 160:2, 10, 13, 15; 168:14; 172:15 committee's 145:16 common 101:23; 102:12;

103:14, 16 commonly 104:3 commotion 169:19 communicate 149:11; 173:20 communicated 11:1, 2; 16:17; 148:25; 171:14 communication 4:21; 11:11; 16:4, 7; 18:2; 149:3 communications 8:23: 15:22: 17:13: 18:4; 20:22, 23; 63:14; 106:18; 187:19 community 13:13; 22:4; 30:2, 3, 10, 16; 32:17; 42:11; 81:24; 139:17; 159:20; 160:9, 15; 169:2, 12, 16, 20; 170:16, 17; 171:13, 20; 194:21, 22; 197:19; 198:6, 14, 17 companies 115:13, 14 companion 115:3; 117:23 company 110:11 comparative 169:8; 189:16 compare 97:19 comparing 99:7, 12; 137:5 comparison 64:15; 96:23 competing 140:15; 149:2 compile 15:4 compiling 51:5; 67:1 complaining 79:8, 10 complaints 91:15, 17, 23 complete 4:11; 9:15 completed 199:20 compliance 49:11 compounds 111:1 comprehending 103:6 comprehension 113:15 compressed 49:8 compromise 53:6; 108:21; 118:22, 25; 127:21; 151:16; 160:1; 161:14 computer 9:4, 10, 12 concept 33:22; 137:11 conception 111:22 concern 27:13; 31:18; 38:6; 39:18; 46:2; 50:2; 53:14; 54:17; 60:14, 19, 21:61:10;71:11;85:6; 88:25:98:23;123:9,14; 194:17 concerned 11:5; 29:22; 62:8: 125:15: 161:15; 176:20; 181:12; 189:25 concerning 9:8; 13:23; 14:8: 27:14: 36:21, 21; 90:6; 101:2; 110:6; 122:11; 180:17 concerns 28:12; 30:10, 12; 33:2, 10; 40:20; 42:15;

45:7, 10; 53:2, 7; 54:25;

55:6; 56:18, 21; 57:4, 19; 61:8; 84:24; 87:6; 135:4; 176:9 concluded 200:1 concludes 76:2 conclusion 97:10; 161:16 condition 32:9 conducted 97:4; 98:9 confess 4:17 confident 122:23 confirmed 120:24 confusing 143:21; 165:11, 11, 24 confusion 33:16; 52:12, 18; 166:8 connection 20:23; 22:21; 29:8; 39:23; 81:3; 92:22:116:23:154:6: 173:10; 187:4; 190:12 connections 21:7 connotation 134:24 consequently 48:2 conservative 30:2 consider 131:2 considerable 24:7 considerations 31:6 considered 98:6 consistency 40:8; 109:9 consistent 5:14; 134:13 Constitution 121:13 constraint 42:19 constraints 118:1 construction 199:8 consult 51:5 Consulting 14:1 consumer 64:5, 10; 65:9; 70:18 contact 15:23; 16:14; 17:10, 12; 40:18; 79:13; 192:18, 19 contacted 11:3; 16:16; 77:6; 190:18; 193:24 contained 125:1; 147:4 contemplated 129:1 content 45:19; 137:11 Content/Concepts/Process 134:10 contention 101:24 contentious 71:1: 168:23; 180:22 contents 13:4 contest 5:8 context 31:12; 68:20 contingents 13:22 continue 38:8; 41:14, 21; 42:4: 87:4: 124:9 continues 41:17 contributed 55:18: 139:19 contributions 89:18 36:24; 37:2, 3, 6, 9; 38:12, controversial 19:4; 98:6;

controversy 23:25; 29:25:31:17;38:24;44:8; 99:23; 100:9; 103:11; 119:19; 170:10; 176:15; 195:18; 199:15 conversation 19:11, 22; 36:19; 37:7; 38:2; 51:14: 53:8, 9, 18; 81:10; 193:4 conversations 12:5: 19:10; 45:23; 55:7; 63:21; 142:14; 169:13; 173:10, 13; 174:20 conveyed 58:16 convictions 82:7 convince 184:15 cooperate 168:14 cooperation 172:14 copied 35:9 copies 9:5; 66:24; 120:9, 11, 14; 122:1, 6; 127:25; 142:5 copy 35:2, 6, 10; 36:16; 44:6; 47:24; 67:6; 92:25; 95:7; 107:10; 109:11; 113:23; 120:15; 159:3; 179:6; 190:8 copyright 76:1; 95:19; 97:3; 105:13 Corbett 184:24 cordial 57:16 corner 47:1; 50:25; 66:21; 92:19; 116:3; 121:11; 130:5; 131:12; 132:23; 136:25; 155:13 correction 178:24 correctly 30:22; 33:3; 93:16; 122:22; 145:7; 189:19 cost 106:12 counsel 306:12 counsel 7:2, 5: 10:20; 11:1; 13:20; 15:13, 19; 192:10 couple 41:15;58:12; 83:25; 127:10; 155:19 course 25:17, 22; 27:2; 48:6, 14; 49:20; 50:1; 63:13; 72:3, 15, 16, 18; 79:21: 104:3; 114:22; 118:2; 170:14; 193:21, 24; 199:15 court 100:11; 125:24; 160:21; 173:24, 24 cover 111:17:130:6: 136:22; 146:10; 150:4, 5, 11:190:11 covering 174:16 covers 5:15; 109:19 created 35:9; 82:20; 86:12; 89:18 Creation 95:3; 121:14, 16; 123:7; 160:20 creationism 17:18;

45:15, 17; 46:8; 100:14, 24; 101:3, 20; 119:11; 121:16; 122:12; 123:2; 125:2; 148:19; 160:20; 168:17; 169:24; 175:25; 184:25 Creationist 124:2 credibility 182:8; 189:2 criteria 112:1 criticisms 114:1 cross 73:21 crowded 164:2 cultural 30:25; 31:2, 12; 103:11 curiosities 40:25 current 6:11: 20:8 currently 46:8 curriculum 8:8; 10:10; 11:20; 12:1, 15, 16; 18:13; 20:6, 12, 13, 14, 24; 21:1, 2; 23:21; 27:15; 29:24; 33:21; 40:3; 41:20; 43:21; 47:20; 48:5, 20; 49:2, 19; 51:20:59:21;61:21;62:2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 20; 63:22, 24; 64:22; 65:6; 69:6, 22; 76:19; 77:3, 4, 13, 23; 78:2, 11; 80:18; 83:21; 90:17; 91:4; 95:12; 104:14, 17; 105:19; 106:3, 4, 9; 108:21; 113:8; 114:12, 24; 116:4, 14; 117:16; 122:11; 127:11, 14, 15; 128:2, 8; 129:1, 14, 23; 130:14, 16; 131:24; 132:5; 133:24; 135:5; 136:6, 21; 138:9, 18, 23, 23; 139:1, 20; 140:15, 22; 142:15; 143:2, 7; 145:15; 146:13; 147:19; 148:14, 16; 149:2; 150:13; 151:8; 153:12; 154:22; 157:2; 158:4; 160:1, 3, 9, 12, 14; 161:9; 163:18; 164:5, 13; 165:14; 167:18; 168:14; 172:15; 175:22; 176:3, 6; 178:5; 180:13; 181:3; 184:10; 185:8; 186:6; 187:9, 12, 14; 195:4 curt 177:5, 6 cut 19:4 cycle 31:25; 60:20; 61:4; 72:6, 17 cycled 72:23 Cynthia 184:23

D

D 39:2 Darwin 108:1 Darwin's 40:11; 92:2; 133:11; 134:12; 168:21; 176:13 Darwinism 91:18 date 64:3; 77:16, 19; 104:25; 180:1; 186:10

100:3; 101:19, 21; 102:12

13; 39:9, 22; 40:10; 41:9,

10, 21; 42:6, 16; 43:7;

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

dated 11:17; 25:6; 28:7; 47:1; 51:15; 53:24; 76:20; 77:22 dates 29:2; 44:19; 61:19 dating 57:21 Dawn 115:20 day 15:3; 17:5; 61:18; 77:22; 89:3; 107:24; 108:15; 112:18; 115:15; 116:8; 128:20; 149:24; 151:10; 154:18; 196:6 days 27:3; 40:1; 42:22; 62:4; 66:9; 174:25; 192:22 deal 48:24; 60:10; 168:23; 175:12, 24; 176:10; 187:16 dealing 138:19; 141:22 dealings 21:22, 23; 187:5 deals 100:20 dealt 48:24; 188:11 death 184:18, 23 Debate 95:3; 100:25; 169:23 December 13:15, 17; 15:17; 17:20; 21:11; 59:25; 61:16; 185:14; 192:5 decide 42:15 decided 137:23; 139:21; 140:12 decision 149:24 declined 190:9 deemed 160:18 defendants 1660:18 defer 63:10 definite 91:12 definitely 135:7; 151:13 definition 178:22 dety 161:1 degree 110:1; 161:21 delay 89:5; 161:12 delayed 32:7; 61:6; 71:14 deleted 98:3 deletes 103:16 deletion 103:19 deliberations 149:1 Delone 77:7; 79:15 demeanor 162:15 Democratic 182:13 demonstrate 98:13 demonstrated 24:6; departed 57:11, 18 department 6:18;7:7, 13, 14, 22; 8:15; 11:7; 13:9, 22; 17:2, 7; 24:18; 25:15, 19; 28:2, 17; 29:17; 30:23; 32:16, 23; 33:1; 34:1; 35:23; 38:5; 39:25; 43:19; 50:8; 51:6; 52:8, 25; 54:23; 56:12, 17; 60:25; 61:3; 63:15; 64:6; 65:5; 69:23; 71:24; 72:5, 21;

76:2,8; 81:12; 85:15; 86:5, 23; 87:13; 90:21; 101:14; 107:21, 25; 113:20; 117:6, 20; 123:24; 129:20; 134:19, 20; 135:2, 5, 12, 24; 136:6, 16; 138:10, 25; 139:19; 140:19; 143:8, 14, 19; 148:4, 8; 149:8; 152:25; 153:9; 157:8,9, 10; 159:21, 22, 25; 163:3; 168:12, 25; 170:2; 172:14; 173:15; 182:17; 184:4; 186:3; 187:21; 188:5, 21; 189:1; 193:9; 194:11, 12 departments 49:20 deposed 5:17;7:14 deposition 177:14 deposition 5:7; 6:15; 7:6, 23; 8:3; 9:19, 20; 16:18, 19; 82:17; 121:4; 159:7; 192:8; 200:1 depositions 7:25 depth 109:21 derived 55:6 deriving 169:14 descent 101:23; 102:12; 103:14,17 described 61:23; 76:9; 95:24; 146:17; 150:14; 171:15 description 85:20; 150:7 design 19:24; 20:1; 70:5; 75:17; 101:2, 4, 10, 14; 112:7, 10; 119:11; 121:17; 125:2; 131:25; 133:13, 15; 134:17; 136:10; 141:22; 144:13; 145:5; 146:8; 147:18; 148:18; 160:19; 161:8; 168:16; 169:6, 7; 170:4: 175:7; 184:5, 12, 17: 189:3, 6, 9, 12, 14 desire 4:10; 148:3 desired 86:23 destroyed 90:12; 194:7 destroys 194:15 destruction 89:9, 11, 16 detail 27:24; 133:5 details 27:20; 84:22; 196:18 determine 13:12 developed 186:24 development 11:20; 12:1; 138:24 developments 62:21 devoted 162:1 diagram 97:8,8 diagrams 98:5; 102:10 Dick 167:5 died 73:20 differ 19:6 differed 98:15, 18, 19; 105:7 difference 18:18; 64:19;

140:14, 25; 141:5, 10; 103:8, 10; 106:17 142:23; 143:17; 147:7, 11; different 22106:17 148:2; 157:5; 171:15; different 12:14; 13:22; 41:2; 42:10; 48:23; 61:3; 63:2; 79:18; 102:3; 111:18, 19; 132:12; 133:8; 149:17, 20; 151:15; 165:9, 13: 166:1; 167:20; 183:7 differentiation 58:6 difficult 4:9, 15, 17; 5:1; 6:4; 110:4; 111:12; 193:20 difficulty 118:6 dignify 162:6 dimensions 31:3 direct 6:23; 42:3; 129:22; 136:20; 141:24; 161:6, 7 directed 35:19; 42:3; 55:13; 62:4, 7; 75:23; 79:12; 109:7; 163:18; 179:2; 184:10; 193:23; 198:10, 23; 199:13 directing 178:24 direction 41:17; 129:25; 163:3 directions 62:2 directly 161:5 disappeared 196:3,9 disappears 193:19 disappointed 148:8 discrepancies 108:1 discuss 7:12, 16; 14:6; 22:22; 27:6, 9, 24; 29:12, 18; 113:16; 157:10 discussed 10:14; 20:5; 37:21; 43:22; 53:10; 58:14; 100:1; 106:22; 113:17; 117:15; 122:15; 127:15; 128:6; 134:14; 142:12; 192:13 discussing 79:4, 6; 83:4; 95:13; 114:8, 14; 123:1, 19; 124:7; 126:5, 18; 127:5, 10, 13; 185:11 discussion 8:1; 29:7; 36:20, 21; 37:18; 39:12; 43:9; 47:7; 49:16; 51:18, 21, 22, 25; 52:19, 21; 53:23; 61:22; 64:9; 72:20; 79:6; 80:15; 83:7; 84:9, 20; 89:10; 90:15, 21; 91:7; 93:10, 21; 95:15; 98:2; 100:14, 16; 101:13; 103:17, 22; 108:18; 120:6, 22; 122:10; 127:19; 128:8, 13; 133:19; 139:14; 142:18; 143:14, 15; 146:15: 147:25; 149:15; 153:3, 15; 155:7; 165:19; 173:14; 177:8; 180:11; 195:23 discussions 19:25: 20:17; 31:9; 38:19; 42:14; 46:6; 53:20; 54:14; 55:2; 61:11, 20; 85:10; 90:6; 94:7, 8; 112:22; 113:22; 114:12; 128:25; 129:12;

178:4; 188:1, 4; 192:9 disguised 184:13 disjunction 50:7 displayed 163:7 displeasure 195:8 dispute 2195:8 dispute 245:8 dispute 9:3; 19:16; 20:10; 21:8; 27:7; 119:17; 190:12 disrespect 162:4 disseminated 15:8 distant 21:20 distinction 58:11, 16 distinguish 127:8 distribute 128:20 distributed 128:19 district 10:4, 15; 11:5; 17:4, 8; 21:11, 14, 17, 18, 24; 22:6; 23:1, 23; 24:4; 76:13; 81:11; 82:5; 86:19, 20; 89:14; 90:7; 95:18; 114:9; 124:17; 130:23; 149:7; 160:14; 161:23; 162:2; 181:11, 12, 20; 182:4, 6; 190:12; 194:14; 198:20; 199:10, 18 document 36:7; 51:5; 75:22; 76:5, 12; 82:19, 23; 83:3; 86:12, 13; 92:7, 10, 12, 14; 95:6, 17, 21, 23; 96:5; 97:2; 105:11, 17, 21; 121:12; 122:15, 16, 21; 124:1, 6; 132:7, 18, 21; 133:1, 5; 135:9; 137:5; 141:7; 143:21; 144:3, 19, 21; 149:20; 151:20, 22, 24; 152:2: 156:4; 159:1, 13; 179:7, 10; 192:24; 193:14; documents 8:2, 3, 11, 23; 9:10, 15; 11:12; 12:3; 14:9, 13, 14, 17, 23; 15:2, 10, 23:10, 46:20, 50:23; 75:22; 76:22; 77:2, 4; 80:9; 92:15; 99:25; 114:5; 120:25; 121:9, 20; 122:1, 14, 19; 123:18; 124:1, 10; 126:17; 127:4; 132:12; 151:18; 152:5; 156:1; 165:24; 166:10, 11; 167:21 donation 130:17 donations 90:7, 16 done 17:8; 33:12; 39:13; 62:25; 99:1; 102:18; 109:5; 119:9; 130:1; 132:5; 154:1; 156:17; 157:17; 159:22, 25; 163:5; 177:21; 184:25; 185:11; 199:8 door 54:11; 83:1 doubt 65:11

Dover 9:2; 21:10; 22:6;

23:23; 24:3; 49:8; 76:13;

95:18; 100:6; 149:7; 182:5 Dover's 62:11 dovetail 62:12 down 7:11; 12:10; 32:25; 33:15; 34:2; 36:13; 38:8; 51:3; 53:6; 86:14; 94:17; 99:3; 105:5; 115:22; 121:12; 124:24; 143:23; 151:16; 156:9; 161:13; 162:5; 172:17; 175:11; 184:22 Dr 13:4; 17:3; 28:25; 35:3, 5, 9, 12; 36:19; 38:15; 41:25; 42:2, 12; 43:12, 19; 45:7, 10, 22; 51:14, 22, 25; 59:9; 78:18, 20; 96:14; 99:5; 107:9; 118:17; 141:1; 149:22; 151:3,7; 162:9; 165:6; 168:8; 174:18, 20; 192:17; 194:12, 13, 15 draft 11:21; 132:17, 21, 25; 135:3, 9; 136:7, 15; 137:5; 146:11; 164:25; 178:7, 11, 12 drafts 165:9, 10 driven 148:17 driving 102:22 drop-by 132:9 dry 19:4 dubious 181:6 due 74:23; 112:19 duly 10112:19 during 5:24; 31:20; 43:13; 45:23; 49:18; 57:5; 58:17:63:22:65:22; 80:25; 112:6; 128:3; 129:14; 142:13; 163:12; 169:18: 194:6 duties 78:8 duty 5:15 **DVDs** 107:19

E

E 907:19 E 6:10 e-mail 10:24; 16:12; 25:5 28:5, 7; 29:5, 8; 77:17; 94:25; 99:25 e-mails 9:2, 7; 16:5, 6; 25:8 earlier 88:2; 112:5; 154:22; 168:3; 199:1 earliest 11:2 early 17:19, 21; 33:15; 36:1;53:15;59:15, 16; 77:21 earth 49:25; 175:12, 18, 21, 21; 176:19 easier 6:21; 23:14 easy 18:23 eat 7:8 ecology 25:22; 48:5; 72:14

147:2; 167:21

differences 18:16;

134:21; 138:3; 139:12;

ertha E. Spahr lay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

dition 18:17, 17; 44:2, 5; 7:16:60:10;64:16, 16; 6:2; 92:16; 93:2, 8, 13; 4:12, 15, 18, 21; 95:24; 6:5; 97:11, 13, 16, 21; 8:1, 23; 99:20; 102:11, 8; 104:5, 9, 22, 24; 06:11; 114:19 ditions 96:14; 97:9; 18:15 :ditor 125:14 Education 15:24; 43:2; 181:12 effect 45:23; 71:16; 109:3; 172:19 effort 62:10; 99:6; 159:25 efforts 186:3, 5 eight 186:19 eighth 48:22 either 32:17; 33:11; 53:5; 54:22; 59:12; 78:19; 90:3; 106:19; 117:8; 140:25; 143:12; 147:12; 151:3; 161:1; 166:17; 190:15; 191:21 elaborate 92:1 elected 183:3 election 10:13 elections 182:14 elective 189:17 elements 171:1 else 7:23: 10:17: 12:11; 13:1,7,18;37:16,20; 38:3; 42:12; 43:12; 55:11; 59:5; 73:25; 74:7; 87:20; 94:2; 110:18; 112:5; 127:17; 128:21; 154:16; 157:6; 163:17; 171:18; 175:15; 181:25; 183:16; 188:14; 193:3,7 else's 61:5 elsewhere 78:8 emphasis 58:8, 24; 59:2; 69:7 emphasize 58:9 emphatically 85:12 employee 194:14 employees 21:16, 18; 82:5 employment 21:24 enclosed 136:22 Enclosure 153:5; 155:23 enclosures 136:19 encourage 39:10; 157:14, 15 end 10:5; 13:16; 42:21; 44:12, 18; 65:13; 81:17, 19;84:19;96:3,11;97:10; 102:16; 133:8; 135:13; 136:11: 154:15; 161:4; 183:9; 190:6; 197:15 endeavor 31:5 ended 74:16; 87:19; 137:12 endurance 5:7

English 157:9 enough 14:22; 18:23; 21:22; 22:17; 47:24; 48:9, 15, 20; 52:2; 88:19; 108:12; 118:21; 140:12; 147:1; 174:7 enter 55:20 entire 35:11; 64:19; 72:5; 100:18; 161:22; 180:19; 191:23; 193:11 entirely 61:2 entry 47:2; 51:10, 11; 96:6; 131:12 enumerated 45:5 enumeration 45:13 enumerations 36:11 environment 30:25: 48:5; 58:21; 72:14 environmental 25:17 equal 37:10; 42:17 equally 162:16 equipment 9:23 equivalent 101:3 Eric 11:2; 192:15 Fshbach 15:3; 36:5; 48:11, 13; 56:7; 78:5; 113:21; 116:18; 117:5, 12; 135:15; 147:13; 171:12, 14; 190:16; 191:2 Eshbach's 67:20 essence 181:14 essentially 55:5; 172:4; 188:19 estimate 163:22; 164:2 evaluation 39:7 even 38:24; 41:4, 9; 42:22; 46:10; 56:3; 57:1; 72:1;83:13;98:8;104:25; 113:15; 143:23, 23; 151:14; 152:10; 162:5; 165:7, 11, 14; 167:4, 6; 199:21 evening 35:23; 36:2; 135:15; 160:4; 166:25; 174:22; 177:1, 11; 183:13; 184:7 eventtul 62:16 events 7:19; 33:4 eventually 49:21 everybody 110:17; 112:25 everybody's 105:23 Everyone 61:3; 149:16. 17 everywhere 173:23 evolution 11:19; 17:18; 27:14; 30:4; 32:18; 33:13, 19, 20; 38:12; 39:3; 40:12; 41:22; 42:5, 18; 62:8; 81:7; 82:19; 92:3, 4; 95:3; 96:9; 97:5; 99:2; 100:14, 21, 24; 123:6; 125:3; 133:12; 134:13; 136:12; 137:13;

138:19; 145:3; 160:21;

169:24; 175:6; 176:1

evolution/creationism 130:24 evolutionary 29:19; 31:12, 19; 36:22; 37:11; 39:1, 23; 59:21; 81:16; 88:3; 97:20; 98:11, 13, 21, 24; 99:13; 103:2; 108:18 evolve 87:11; 176:13 evolved 90:2; 195:16 evolving 193:15 exact 29:2; 64:3; 193:17 exactly 7:13; 30:19; 33:9; 49:12; 52:23; 64:7; 73:24; 74:19; 89:23; 100:10; 115:10; 131:23; 144:16; 156:7; 157:17; 158:19; 166:3; 179:11, 25 Excellent 6:3 except 5:3 exception 48:11; 163:9 excerpts 113:24 exchange 4:2, 10; 10:17; 15:9: 70:7, 9; 84:24; 85:3; 87:8; 88:20; 93:25; 151:3 exchanged 73:5; 134:23, 24; 177:24; 192:20 exchanges 70:22; 71:2; 73:2, 6, 10, 15; 91:7; 148:22; 190:10 Exhibit 45:4; 121:4; 132:20; 155:2 existing 150:17 expected 176:14 expedition 196:15 experience 23:22 expertise 18:20; 110:3 expertly 54:24 experts 53:3; 148:13 explain 12:10; 47:18; 113:8; 133:5 explained 38:10 explanation 4:7 explanations 42:8: 111:16; 113:14 explore 21:7 express 136:3; 198:15 expressed 31:18; 45:11; 57:5; 84:25; 159:13 expressing 198:18 extent 8:1; 19:21; 80:25 extra 118:3 eves 88:11; 153:22 F

facets 25153:22 facilitator 85:15; 129:21 fact 13:21; 18:22; 27:8; 65:24:81:24;89:21; 99:19; 104:24; 117:21: 130:1; 141:19; 142:7; 143:7; 147:17; 153:11, 14; 156:1; 159:19; 160:22; 168:12; 174:11; 186:10;

194:24 factual 161:18; 163:2 faculty 38:20; 56:1; 57:24; 85:1; 103:18, 18; 106:21; 107:19; 119:1, 3; 129:11; 137:8; 139:13; 140:14; 141:6; 147:12; 148:23, 25; 151:4; 156:22; 157:6, 7, 13, 18; 177:11; 178:19; 187:21, 25; 198:18 fair 39:7 fairly 25:12; 34:24; 45:9; 53:15; 159:14; 160:23 fall 17:19, 21; 32:24; 33:1, 4, 5, 14, 15, 18; 34:7, 21; 51:11, 16, 18; 52:3, 9; 53:15, 15, 21; 55:2, 9; 58:17; 59:13; 61:15; 112:13; 119:8; 120:1; 121:21; 123:3; 126:4; 192:10 talling 163:25 familiar 34:24; 76:3; 92:12 families 40:13; 42:8 tamily 39:11; 40:18; 64:5, 10: 65:9; 70:18; 195:6 tar 21:20: 27:15; 29:22; 48:20; 62:7; 113:1; 156:4; 189:25 farming 110:13 father 171:13 fax 115:15 taxed 115:22 features 38:2 feel 5:11, 12; 57:12; 140:19; 143:15; 149:16, 21; 160:5; 189:6, 11 feeling 82:12; 149:18; 151:11; 184:14 feet 81:15, 16; 193:19, 19; 196:13, 13 felines 98:4 felt 28:13; 42:25; 43:3; 53:5, 14; 54:23; 63:17; 85:6, 6, 22; 90:2; 97:11; 98:7; 100:22; 102:7, 17; 104:21; 108:7; 109:24; 110:4, 5, 18, 22; 111:4; 127:21; 149:7; 157:1; 160:25; 162:25; 163:1, 2; 167:10; 168:24; 177:18; 181:2; 182:8, 24; 183:22; 184:12; 187:16; 188:25; 189:3, 17; 193:11; 195:9, 13 few 2513 tew 6:7; 16:15; 21:6; 25:11: 121:8; 129:4; 153:25; 177:24; 179:12; 181:1: 190:16; 192:18; 195:25 field 18:20;53:3;55:17; 99:11; 124:17

file 35:11, 16, 17, 21; 95.7; 191:7 filed 11:19; 16:23; 17:14, 15:35:15 filing 435:15 filing 17:20 fill 180:10 filmed 180:19 final 144:1, 3; 145:7; 151:20, 21, 24; 152:7 finally 49:17; 85:5; 144:7; 145:1; 183:8 find 23183:8 find 4:22; 9:24; 42:23; 79:14; 89:6; 105:23; 114:1, 25; 125:3; 141:1; 181:14; 191:9; 193:20; 194:1, 4; 196:16 fine 6:19; 28:23; 44:17; 59:13; 153:18; 157:25 finish 4:12, 16 fire 170:15 Firm 14:18 first 4:1; 6:8, 18, 25; 23:16; 24:15; 38:8; 46:22, 24; 47:2; 49:5; 66:15; 67:21;70:4;77:1;82:17; 85:16; 86:13; 112:6, 7; 115:4; 116:21; 119:20; 128:20: 129:4; 130:6; 132:3, 16; 144:2; 145:22; 155:14, 17, 18; 158:15; 159:17; 164:20; 171:2; 175:20; 178:12; 184:3; 193:16 first-year 176:2, 21 firsthand 88:4; 171:10 five 12:14; 36:12; 45:9; 64:18 five/three 114:21 flag 37:24; 53:25; 153:25 Flip 46:21: 76:12, 15, 18; 95:17; 121:8; 124:19; 130:3, 13; 150:9 floating 99:5 Florida 186:14 focal 81:13 focus 12:19; 23:17; 62:19 focused 12:19; 31:10; 125:25 tolder 35:14 tollow 4:16; 5:3; 124:1; 180:25; 197:8 followed 39:1; 43:18; 160:6 following 31:25; 60:10; 72:19; 136:21; 137:12; 155:4; 175:1; 180:16 follows 10180:16 tollows 109:20 food 169:16 toot 134:9 Forgive 45:15 forgotten 25:7 form 65:7

fifth 183:8

figure 113:10

gentlemen 199:21

Geologic 124:3

Georgia 100:12

gift 90:3, 12; 131:3; 194:3,

GILLEN 25:10; 46:13, 15;

90:25: 91:2; 120:23;

121:6: 136:24; 137:1;

gestures 4:9

Gibbs 179:3

GILLEN 1111

Gillen 151

torm 132:1, 25 formal 131:21; 135:1, 22; 139:6: 142:11; 157:24 formed 180:23 tormer 9:22; 16:17, 20, 22; 18:4; 25:14; 73:7; 162:20; 181:10; 184:3 forms 165:13 formulation 187:22 forth 111:5; 192:20 torum 20:17 forward 187:7 fossii 57:21; 175:12, 23; 176:10, 12, 20 found 10:1; 35:21; 82:5; 110:16; 123:9; 193:16, 17; 194:10 foundation 111:18 four 29:1, 2; 36:12; 81:18; 160:2; 175:11 four/four 114:20 frame 48:7; 49:15 frankly 4:16 fraternal 22:4 frequently 6:20 freshmen 110:19 Friday 7:15; 196:7, 8 front 8:4; 25:9; 56:18; 68:6.9:110:8;157:14; 163:24; 167:17 fueling 170:15 tull 6:8; 48:13; 65:24 fundamental 171:21 fundamentalist 171:24 further 27:24; 61:10; 76:18; 110:8; 199:24 furthermore 110:14 future 187:18

G

gag 173:19 gaps 108:18, 20, 24; 109:4; 133:10; 168:20 gaps/problems 134:12 Gary 175:2 gathered 11:7; 56:20 gave 14:17; 19:20; 89:21, 24; 90:13; 125:12; 144:19; 161:11; 182:8; 197:15 gees 35:21 Geesey 74:13, 18; 75:1 general 58:3; 75:11 generally 4:8; 30:10; 64:9: 100:6; 142:18 generate 105:11 generated 152:5" generating 156:23 Genesis 74:4 gentle 64:13 gentleman 33:9; 171:22; 181:25; 192:2

153:6; 155:6, 8; 159:6, 9; 190:2, 5; 199:24 given 9:5; 11:22; 12:17; 15:3,7;57:14;77:9;79:24; 81:11; 90:13; 92:18; 95:4, 9; 107:10, 14, 19, 22; 114:16; 115:3; 120:10; 132:1; 145:18; 153:1; 166:4: 173:19; 174:9 gives 65:15 giving 36:20; 120:3; 123:16 Glass 24:20, 21, 22 goal 118:12 God 111:15 goes 94:17; 109:21 Good 12109:21 good 6:7; 9:14; 10:25; 14:22; 18:25; 20:19; 21:22; 22:17; 32:8; 52:2; 110:4, 22; 140:12, 21; 147:1; 197:14 grade 47:21, 21; 48:6, 23; 110:21; 126:12 grader 110:1 graders 72:16; 113:15 graduated 197:13 granddaughter 195:2 Grandview 6:12 granted 87:3; 110:2 great 25:24; 48:24 greater 109:21 greatest 100:22 ground 30:6 grounds 86:1, 9, 17, 18; 194:6; 199:2 group 8:17; 40:5; 124:9; 191:1 grouped 156:1 groups 12:14; 30:16 Grove 6:12 guaranteed 60:3 guess 16:21; 53:22; 66:10, 11; 81:12; 89:20; 126:19; 138:22; 140:4; 144:2; 159:11; 186:24 guessing 188:16 guide 132:24 guidelines 11:21 guys-67:15

H

ha 161:22 half 170:13; 184:21 halfway 38:9; 75:21; hall 52:5; 75:25; 79:20; 92:16; 93:4; 95:20; 135:16:199:11 Hamilton 25:6, 12, 14, 23; 27:5; 28:5; 29:5, 7, 18 hand 15:2; 47:1; 50:25; 66:20; 92:19; 106:10; 116:3: 121:11; 130:5; 131:12; 132:23: 136:25; 146:2; 150:21; 155:13; 198:5 handed 77:5; 79:21, 23; 80:3; 119:15; 135:3; 143:21; 144:5; 145:21 handicaps 6:3 handle 42:8 handled 27:17 handling 28:14 hands 115:19 handwriting 51:4; 66:17, 18: 67:18; 76:24; 125:8, 10, 11; 130:8; 140:3; 180:7 handwritten 46:21; 76:19; 92:9, 17; 95:4; 121:10; 124:11, 20; 155:11, 20, 22; 158:12; 168:6; 180:16 hang 86:1;87:2;198:21 hanging 86:2; 199:6, 10, happen 7:7; 59:19; 90:11, 23; 139:15; 140:20; 152:17; 194:13 happened 22194:13 happened 54:9; 128:11; 148:13; 167:1; 194:2; 196:16 happens 87:7 happy 19:8; 109:2; 117:22; 151:12; 153:10 harass 5:10 harassing 5:4 hard 9:5; 105:23 Harkins 20:15; 64:23, 25; 68:11; 69:1; 75:9; 78:4; 88:7; 90:19 hash 121:10; 172:25; 173:3.6 hate 105:3 head 4:8: 24:17; 29:16; 43:21; 86:18; 123:24; 129:20; 135:23; 138:23; 194:5: 199:2 headed 75:23; 76:13, 19; 92:16; 95:18; 97:2; 124:3; 134:10; 147:5; 159:1 heading 95:2; 105:12; 121:12, 15; 130:14; 131:6;

heads 7:19; 54:13 hear 80:20; 84:15; 85:18; 88:17: 101:10; 112:7; 149:23; 186:24 heard 29:23; 52:5; 68:7; 88:19; 101:4; 112:6; 114:15; 115:4; 188:7 hearing 116:11 hearsay 171:10 heart 29:12 heated 73:9, 10; 162:23; 166:15 Heather 74:13; 75:1 hectic 129:5 Heidi 174:1; 191:12 held 20:16; 32:12; 106:23; 120:22; 130:4; 148:14; 155:7; 173:11; 174:11; 176:4 hereby 2176:4 hereby 476:4 hey 54:18 high 25:21; 86:19; 87:1; 110:17; 125:5; 197:1; 199:5 highlighted 27:11; 120:8; 122:22 highlighting 121:18; 122:23:127:7 himself 28:14; 58:20 hint 194:20 hired 24:10 history 8:7; 82:18; 196:1 hold 44:19 holding 46:18 holdup 43:23; 44:24, 24 Holt 79:20 home 9:10, 12; 29:9; 34:4; 60:6; 68:17; 78:25; 89:4; 94:10, 23; 96:12 homework 181:4 honest 94:13 honestly 151:18; 174:23 honor 113:16 honors 25:17, 24 hoped 59:24 hours 15:6 house 17:5; 195:5; 197:6, 8, 21 hug 19:20 huge 199:10 human 4:21 humanly 168:13 hundred 161:25 hunt 13:25 hunting 103:8, 9; 196:15 hypothesis 111:19

I

ID 11:20, 22; 153:11; 169:23; 175:25; 180:15 idea 34:17; 66:21; 83:13;

90:10, 16, 22; 96:12; 102:12; 123:5; 134:23; 135:10, 17; 149:25; 150:2; 151:9; 157:21 identification 121:5; 159:8 1 24:16 illegal 123:10; 160:18; 161:3 imagine 116:7; 137:17; 162:2 immediately 94:13 imminent 104:4 impact 176:16, 22 impacted 175:25 impair 5:20, 25 impending 157:15 implemented 72:14 Implicate 39:18 important 157:3, 23; 195:24 imprecision 4:21 impression 97:23 in-service 40:1; 61:18; 62:4; 107:24; 116:8; 196:6 inaccurate 178:25; 179:9: 191:11 incidents 27:6 inclination 140:21 including 131:25; 133:12; 134:16; 136:9; 145:4 indeed 57:17 independent 140:10 indicate 29:10; 119:1 indicated 11:4; 17:6; 37:23; 38:4; 55:9; 56:20; 62:24; 77:12; 83:9; 87:23; 92:2: 103:23; 157:11; 179:19 indicates 27:12; 28:19 indicating 47:13; 88:19 individually 32:2; 131:3 individuals 172:4 inferring 33:4 inflammatory 195:22 inform 149:5 information 8:16; 11:6, 8: 15:8; 17:16, 17; 38:19; 39:21; 82:22; 108:20; 110:10; 138:25; 168:2; 198:4 informed 115:7 initials 130:7; 155:3, 5 inkling 116:21 input 12:14; 88:24; 132:2; 140:23; 143:8; 148:12; 160:7, 13; 183:16; 187:25; 188:5 inquire 17:9; 18:6; 77:8 inquirer 124:21; 125:9; 126:21 inquiry 84:11 instance 111:14

173:8

ertha E. Spahr fay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

nstead 50:14; 190:16 nstituted 48:4 nstitution 38:23 nstruction 45:21; 176:8 nstruction/curriculum 132:24 nsulted 167:11 integrity 161:23 intelligent 70:5; 75:17; 101:2, 4, 10, 14; 112:7, 10; 119:11; 121:17; 125:2; 131:25; 133:13, 14; 134:17; 136:10; 141:22; 144:13; 145:5; 146:8; 147:18; 148:18; 160:19; 161:8; 168:16; 169:6, 7; 170:3; 175:6; 184:5, 12, 17; 189:2, 6, 9, 11, 14 J 96:7; 124:13, 16 intended 172:12 Jane 19:13; 75:5; 186:15, intent 98:14, 14 25; 187:3 interchanges 177:4 janitorial 86:24; 193:21; interest 32:14, 21 interested 27:5; 190:14 interesting 44:1; 52:16; 182:15; 183:13 interestingly 108:12 interim 142:1; 197:19 Internet 123:23 intervening 55:1 interview 184:9; 192:4 interviewed 179:24: 180:4, 9; 182:19; 184:7; 185:12; 190:17, 21; 191:14 interviews 180:20; 190:24; 191:5 into 20:13; 25:20; 49:8, 10; 62:11; 85:17; 106:11; 108:4: 109:21; 123:9; 142:20; 143:8, 12, 16; 144:17; 145:13; 149:18; 152:15, 22; 153:20; 160:25; 161:2; 168:17; 169:23; 183:16; 187:25; 193:15; 196:10; 197:17 introduced 12197:17 introduction 101:22 investigated 197:3 involve 10:1 involved 11:3; 21:8; 100:13; 107:24; 132:4; 174:16; 180:15; 186:18 involving 20:25; 52:7; 186:20 issue 9:8; 10:14; 20:6; 23:21; 27:19; 29:11, 24; 32:19; 35:16; 37:11; 38:20; 39:1; 41:4, 7; 42:13, 20, 23; 43:13, 16; 52:8, 13; 61:17; 62:20; 99:24; 102:13; 119:18, 21; 125:13, 15; 141:22; 148:11; 153:11; 157:13, 23; 159:18; 161:12; 164:5; 167:17; 169:22; 171:16; 175:6; 177:17, 21; 180:15;

184:14; 185:7; 186:6; 189:8: 190:22; 193:11; 194:17, 20; 198:13 issues 7:16; 13:24; 14:10:34:2:39:6:40:17; 43:22; 57:8; 61:13; 62:22; 69:4; 127:13; 167:18; 176:7; 186:20 Italian 163:15 Item 103:15: 106:9; 154:4; 158:4; 195:22 items 45:8; 154:1, 6, 14,

J

198:23; 199:9 janitors 199:5, 22 January 5:23; 22:7; 23:17, 20; 31:15, 24; 51:11; 60:1; 62:17; 63:20 Jen 10:19; 14:2; 15:3; 23:12; 40:5, 9; 55:14, 24; 57:2, 7, 7; 58:15, 16; 74:20; 76:10; 78:4; 87:15, 21: 88:2; 92:18; 93:12, 17; 99:3; 103:24, 25; 107:11; 108:19; 109:3, 11; 112:11, 23: 113:18, 19; 114:16; 117:10; 139:4; 143:19; 147:12; 165:3; 176:5; 178:15, 20; 180:7; 188:5, 7, 19; 190:15, 19; 191:2; 192:1 Jen's 58:3 jibed 50:4 job 43:5; 85:19; 130:1; 170:24 Joe 173:25; 190:19, 24 jokingly 108:4 Jones 76:17; 77:18; 80:6 iot 51:3 iotted 172:17 journal 125:1, 4; 126:22; 192:3 judgment 63:17 July 60:5; 79:3; 94:17; 96:7, 11, 19, 25; 101:1, 18; 106:7, 8, 15, 22; 107:9; 109:10; 114:17 June 64:1, 8; 65:8, 12; 66:8, 10, 16; 67:9, 12, 22, 25: 68:2, 7; 69:14, 18; 70:8, 13; 73:4; 76:20; 77:21; 78:23; 79:2; 80:19; 83:8, 21; 89:1; 91:5; 92:23; 94:9; 95:13; 96:4; 101:9; 104:9, 13; 107:17, 20; 108:17

K

Kansas 100:12 keep 29:15 keeping 150:25; 183:10 kept 170:15 kids 50:19 kind 33:4; 51:7; 99:6; 101:25; 104:1, 2; 117:22; 151:14; 180:22; 194:19 kindly 8:21 kinds 100:1 knew 27:16; 30:23; 65:24; 83:20; 104:4, 5; 149:16; 161:22; 182:16, 18, 22; 184:22, 25; 186:10; 193:23; 199:12 knowing 169:21 knowledge 9:16; 13:6; 22:15; 29:23; 35:4; 37:15; 61:24; 62:8; 67:13; 81:8; 85:13, 14; 87:22; 88:1; 105:22; 106:25; 110:10; 116:19; 117:3, 7; 127:6; 128;5; 129:2, 16; 142:16; 143:11; 163:21; 171:11; 180:5; 187:15; 197:25 known 117:11; 160:11; 163:4: 181:19 Knudsen 15:13

L

lab 111:12, 14; 112:2; 189:22 labeled 18:1; 35:16; 121:1; 144:8 labels 110:13 Labor 112:18 laboratory 189:11 labs 19:25; 126:11 laced 91:18 lack 117:21; 173:7 lady 186:13 laid 23:14 Langione 73:5; 158:20; 162:20; 163:9, 14; 164:7, 10, 12, 23; 166:22 language 61:2; 134:8 large 52:7; 81:15; 103:10 larger 102:19 Larry 24:22; 73:7; 193:25 last 7:10; 10:5; 21:19; 44:12, 18; 45:1; 89:3; 99:24: 107:24; 108:15; 112:16, 21; 133:8; 136:8; 137:10; 145:25; 152:9; 170:13; 183:2, 7; 192:18 inte 81:14 later 32:15; 35:20; 94:7, 8; 154:12; 175:9; 177:16; 195:11

125:11; 126:17 Law 14:18; 49:11; 70:6; 111:19; 161:20 lawsuit 16:23; 17:14, 15, 20; 18:7 lav 4:20 lead 76:11; 188:12 leading 11:20; 142:23; 149:15 learned 112:10; 196:17 least 29:22; 100:21; 129:5; 140:21; 193:2, 9 leave 28:23; 44:10; 65:7; 176:24 leaving 186:12 Leber 183:6, 8 Lebo 191:20 led 138:3 left 9:24; 10:3; 35:25; 44:10; 47:1; 57:14; 65:16; 70:2; 78:25; 94:10, 23; 96:3; 115:11; 136:25; 177:9, 11; 181:11 legal 168:1 legality 119:10; 122:11; 123:1; 125:25; 127:13; 168:4 legible 156:16 legislative 119:14; 123:21 length 74:4; 157:10 Lenko 79:19 Leslie 14:5; 56:2; 188:17 less 97:12; 100:3; 101:19. lessons 60:8 letter 39:2 letters 125:13 level 48:23; 109:24; 113:1 Levine 32:5; 44:4; 47:17; 62:24; 76:1; 78:25; 79:16. 19; 93:2; 94:12; 102:17; 104:10, 15; 114:19; 128:16 lieu 77:10 life 33:16; 52:14; 58:7; 138:20; 141:9, 13, 17, 21; 146:16, 19, 21; 147:17; 150:16; 162:1 light 24:1; 35:18, 20; 99:16, 22 Likewise 5:10; 20:22 limited 20:23; 133:12; 134:17; 136:9; 145:5 line 8:4; 14:1; 51:1,9; 65:15; 85:16; 116:1; 129:3; 131:10; 175:22 lined 156:18 lines 93:11 Linker 14:4; 56:2; 78:6; 188:17 linking 83:11 Lions 22:9

listed 39:2; 132:3; 134:6; 147:18; 154:18 listen 177:20 listening 123:15 lists 11:12 literally 40:14; 99:7; 100:22; 148:11; 162:21; 169:18; 196:21 litigation 10:7; 12:24; 31:16 little 4:15, 17; 82:16; 133:4, 18; 162:23; 164:22, 25; 166:14 live 153:13; 171:8 lived 82:24; 153:9 lives 10:15 load 48:14 local 190:20 long 13:24; 23:18; 24:8 longer 28:17; 58:21, 22; 69:8; 89:5; 90:1; 146:22 Lonny 73:5; 158:19; 164:10 look 5:23; 23:14; 25:3; 29:4; 30:8, 9; 31:8; 34:15, 22, 23; 36:18; 45:6, 13; 46:19, 23; 50:22; 55:22; 62:16; 66:13; 68:3, 25; 69:16; 70:4; 73:15; 75:15 20, 21; 76:3, 25; 77:10, 12, 17, 22; 82:14; 92:11, 12; 94:25; 96:6; 97:2, 17; 98:9; 106:6; 107:11, 13; 110:9; 116:1; 124:6; 130:2, 5; 131:10, 13; 132:11; 136:14: 140:3; 141:16; 144:10, 10, 11; 146:10; 150:4, 5; 151:7; 155:1, 9, 12; 156:10, 20; 159:10; 162:12; 164:1, 9, 18; 167:13; 168:6; 173:2; 179:21; 185:3; 187:6 looked 8:4, 5, 6, 8; 35:14, 20: 47:6; 63:3; 80:19; 98:18; 102:21; 110:9, 16; 112:25; 124:2; 126:3; 135:2; 152:10; 155:16; 161:19; 162:4 looking 9:23; 14:16, 24; 32:12; 36:13; 45:8; 54:5; 56:9: 63:12, 20; 66:1; 92:15; 95:1; 98:19, 22; 99:17, 18, 21; 102:1; 103:5, 15; 105:12; 108:11; 136:17; 143:25; 150:15; 151:17; 152:1, 18, 23; 154:23; 172:7; 173:4, 8; 174:19; 196:1 looks 38:18; 82:17; 124:10 Lori 191:20 lose 60:16 lot 33:15; 74:16; 149:14; 193:9 lower 136:25 lunch 7:8; 147:15; 156:25

list 183:25

Junchroom 157:19

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

M

M 16:2 machine 192:21 magazine 125:3; 126:25; 192:7 main 59:2 maintained 112:25 major 40:17; 88:25; 179:12 makes 4:15; 5:12; 28:19; 122:23; 173:17 making 45:22; 46:16; 71:15; 178:18 Maldonado 173:25; 190:19, 24 man 25:24; 80:21, 25; 81:5, 8, 9, 17, 19; 82:19; 83:10, 12; 84:16, 18; 85:7, 22; 87:10, 24; 88:17; 193:15; 195:12, 16; 197:12, 24 man's 198:1 mandated 148:19 maneuvers 166:1 menner 29:18 manuals 110:13 many 30:7; 42:9; 63:2; 73:21; 74:4; 79:18; 109:5; 122:19; 142:1, 2, 5; 157:3; 160:4: 163:19; 165:18; 179:11; 180:20; 183:6; 185:8 March 25:6; 34:16; 62:17; 63:20 margin 184:17 mark 121:1, 2, 10; 159:6; 172:25; 173:3 marked 46:20; 86:13; 93:18; 121:4, 7; 132:17, 20; 159:7, 13; 173:6 market 105:1 marks 173:7 Marlowe 182:2, 3 marriage 21:9 master's 110:1 match 40:3; 49:2, 20 material 50:4; 109:25; 125:12, 17, 18; 126:3; 137:9; 173:1; 176:17; 192:25; 194:14 materials 8:22; 9:1; 11:19:12:6; 17:23, 24; 67:1, 15; 119:25; 120:3, 5, 7, 14, 15, 18, 18; 125:21; 133:16: 147:5; 150:23; 168:3 math 19:5; 157:10 Matske 16:3 matter 10:7; 12:23; 13:21; 19:16; 20:10; 31:16; 41:18; 57:21; 59:20; 60:24; 61:1, 12; 62:3; 109:15; 192:13

matters 5:16;50:10,13; 134:14 may 4:13, 21; 6:16; 9:25; 35:14, 24; 37:22; 42:22; 52:4, 5; 53:11; 56:3; 61:1; 68:9, 21; 72:2; 80:17, 22; 83:13, 21; 88:20; 90:18; 122:7; 123:5; 127:4; 135:16; 140:4; 151:14; 164:19; 165:3; 173:16; 177:24; 191:6; 192:4, 11 maybe 52:24; 54:18; 69:1; 81:14; 90:22; 109:1 mean 7:11; 10:8, 24; 19:4; 20:19; 30:1; 61:9; 67:20; 70:21; 71:1; 84:19; 88:20, 21; 99:17; 102:22; 110:23; 111:4; 117:25; 119:3; 128:12; 132:15; 133:19; 134:25, 25; 142:4; 157:13; 162:15, 15, 18; 163:19; 164:11, 14, 24; 165:17; 166:25; 167:4; 173:22; 174:8; 176:7; 178:12; 184:18, 21; 187:18; 195:17, 24; 196:13: 198:8; 199:8 meaning 101:22; 119:17; 136:5; 161:7; 182:16 means 131:24; 165:2, 2; 168:9; 177:19 meant 91:25; 113:9; 149:25; 151:9; 181:23 measure 53:24; 58:14 mechanics 56:23; 89:23 media 8:24; 100:6; 173:16, 20; 190:18 medication 5:20, 25; 6:2 meet 22:22; 135:12; 137:22 meeting 11:25; 13:11, 14; 14:6; 15:16; 19:17, 18; 33:18; 34:19, 20, 21; 46:25; 51:12, 16, 18; 52:3, 9, 10, 11, 16; 53:15, 21; 54:19; 55:10, 12, 22; 56:16, 16, 23; 57:5, 12, 16; 58:17; 59:5, 13, 18, 20; 61:13, 14, 15; 64:7, 8; 65:6, 7, 12; 66:4, 15; 67:9, 12, 25; 68:2; 69:14, 18; 70:3, 8, 13, 19, 71:1, 9, 16; 73:4, 19; 74:5, 14, 15, 24. 25: 77:3, 6, 13: 78:21; 79:25; 80:8, 16, 17, 23, 25; 83:8, 17, 18, 22; 84:5, 22; 85:4: 88:6, 23: 89:1; 90:8, 18; 91:3, 8, 11, 23; 92:23, 25: 93:10; 94:3, 5, 6, 9, 22; 95:9, 12, 13; 96:16, 22, 25; 104:4, 13; 105:19; 106:1, 7, 11, 15, 16, 22, 23; 107:1, 4, 18, 20; 108:17; 114:17, 18; 115:9; 116:4, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19; 117:14, 18, 19; 119:8, 22; 120:1; 121:20, 22; 122:9, 16, 24, 25; 123:19; 124:7; 125:19, 21; 126:4, 15, 18; 127:5,

18; 128:3, 13; 130:4, 9; 131:5, 16, 18, 22; 132:5, 9; 135:1, 20, 22; 137:16; 139:7, 8, 9, 12, 22; 142:11, 21, 24; 143:4, 12, 16, 19; 144:18, 23; 145:13; 146:3; 147:23; 148:1, 23, 24; 149:15, 19; 151:2, 25; 152:5, 15, 22; 153:20, 22; 154:25; 155:10; 156:12, 22, 24; 157:1, 9, 18; 158:3, 4, 14, 16; 159:2; 163:20; 167:7; 172:18; 173:11; 174:21, 23; 175:1, 10, 14, 16; 177:9; 178:3; 179:4, 5, 19, 22, 23, 24; 180:3, 6, 8, 11, 19; 185:11; 191:16, 23; 195:11 meetings 20:13, 16, 24; 21:2; 22:23; 27:18; 39:25; 50:17; 52:7; 63:24; 64:24; 67:2;70:21;71:10,17; 74:16; 78:7, 11; 80:18; 89:16; 96:18; 101:9; 105:25; 117:12; 127:10, 15; 128:6; 139:25; 142:1; 173:21; 185:3, 5, 14 member 16:11; 17:2; 21:3; 22:19; 25:14; 28:1; 35:23; 36:23; 37:5, 8, 14, 23; 47:13; 56:12; 61:21; 119:13; 120:8; 121:25; 123;20; 130:22; 160:12; 162:3, 11, 20 member's 36:4 members 7:7, 12, 24; 13:9; 16:17, 20, 23; 17:7; 18:4; 20:8; 22:20; 27:14, 18; 28:16; 63:22; 64:22; 70:15; 71:3, 4; 73:8, 11, 13, 13, 16; 76:8; 81:23, 24; 82:2, 3; 83:20; 101:13; 106:20; 114:12; 122:6, 10; 131:1; 135:2; 142:14, 17, 23; 143:6; 157:7; 160:15; 166:3, 6, 7, 11; 167:4, 16; 171:20; 172:2; 173:14; 176:25; 177:4, 15; 182:16, 23, 24; 183:21; 186:2; 187:11; 193:10; 197:19; 198:14, 17; 199:9 memberships 22:4 memo 13:3, 4; 27:8, 10, 10, 34:11, 15, 22, 35:2, 6, 19, 22; 36:3, 15, 16; 37:17; 38:22; 51:15; 53:18, 24; 136:22; 146:10; 150:4, 5, 11; 192:17, 24; 193:5 memorable 74:7 memory 58:4; 70:12 memos 35:9, 12; 136:18 mention 40:10; 41:21; 42:6: 131:25 mentioned 36:23; 39:9; 41:10; 45:18; 72:8; 119:21; 145:24; 190:6 mentioning 37:13 merit 189:17

messages 192:21

met 13:21, 23; 14:3,5; 53:1; 107:8; 156:25 Michigan 100:13 middle 30:6; 96:10; 132:22; 141:2 might 5:20, 25; 9:10; 21:7; 23:14; 24:24; 40:25; 44:15; 56:19; 60:16; 66:21, 68:5; 82:13; 103:20; 122:6, 8; 127:22; 133:14; 135:18; 148:25; 168:18; 183:5; 188:7; 196:7 Mike 53:18; 54:6; 55:24; 59:7; 139:2; 141:1; 174:20 Miller 10:19; 15:3; 23:2, 12; 25:4; 29:4; 32:5; 34:22; 40:5; 44:4; 45:4; 46:20; 47:16; 50:23; 55:14, 24; 57:2, 7; 58:16; 62:23; 66:13; 75:21; 76:1, 10; 78:5, 24; 79:16, 19; 82:14, 18: 86:14; 87:15; 89:9; 92:11, 16, 18; 93:2, 12; 94:1, 12, 24; 96:6, 7; 102:17; 103:24, 25; 104:9, 15; 106:6; 108:19; 112:11; 114:19; 117:10; 128:16; 130:3; 131:10; 132:10, 20; 136:14, 17; 139:4; 141:7; 144:20; 147:13; 154:23; 155:9; 176:5; 178:16; 179:21; 190:16, 19; 191:2; 196:1 Miller's 180:7 Miller/Levine 92:17 mind 6:22; 46:19; 54:2; 66:12: 82:3; 88:8 mine 115:20; 130:8; 185:15 minister 171:13 minute 34:23; 45:1; 138:9; 156:10 minutes 66:14, 15, 25; 69:16, 17; 140:3, 8, 8; 151:22; 154:24; 156:12 mis-perception 69:2 Miss 64:23; 94:1 missing 193:16 mistook 117:4 modern 44:6 moment 120:21 Monday 66:16; 175:5; 196.7, 8, 10 money 71:19; 104:23; 117:22 moneys 118:3 Monica 182:2, 2 monkey 80:21, 25; 81:5, 9, 9; 83:10, 13; 84:16, 18; 85:8, 22; 87:10, 25; 68:17; 89:8: 193:15; 195:16; 197:25 monkeys 101:23; 195:12 month 65:13, 15 months 65:22; 192:18

more 4:25; 10:25; 14:18; 15:14; 44:6; 47:10; 54:24; 79:5; 80:21; 83:11; 85:12; 88:18; 90:24; 92:3; 98:8, 12; 102:6, 19; 110:19; 111:10; 125:25; 127:21; 171:21; 172:2; 173:17; 182:8; 186:25; 191:5; 195:25 morning 12195:25 morning 175:5; 196:11 Most 6:17; 7:10, 10; 8:19; 13:2, 8; 18:17; 48:10; 55:13, 15; 71:12; 97:12; 151:13; 156:16; 176:18; 178:20; 183:18; 188:13; 192:15, 17; 197:22 mother 182:3 move 129:7; 193:23 moved 48:21; 193:18 moving 186:14 Mrs 1286:14 Mrs 5:19; 17:11; 20:15; 64:23, 25; 73:3; 74:18; 78:4; 114:21; 124:25; 160:8 much 8:13, 27:1; 34:6; 39:12; 55:16; 81:9; 87:18; 111:14; 144:4; 158:25; 169:5; 173:17; 178:20; 183:25 mural 81:10, 15, 20; 82:6, 10, 18; 83:2, 4, 11, 14, 19, 23; 84:2; 85:24, 24; 86:22; 87:5, 10; 89:10, 12, 17, 22; 168:22; 193:12; 194:24; 195:19; 196:2, 3, 9, 18; 197:11; 198:15, 19, 21 murals 86:6; 199:7, 11, must 45:17; 118:19; 130:10; 156:16; 160:8; 164:21 myself 13164:21 myself 78:5; 191:3

N

name 14191:3 name 6:9, 10, 18, 25; 16:2; 21:19; 36:4; 183:5 namely 39:9; 40:5 narrow 118:3 National 15:23; 16:10, 11 nature 13:13, 13; 87:8; 99:10; 176:9 NCSE 15:22 Neel 23:4 necessarily 43:4; 103:6 necessary 41:5; 117:23 need 6:24; 39:3; 94:14; 105:5, 20; 129:24; 159:10; 170:19; 174:6 needed 62:5; 72:19; 89:5 196:12

Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

negative 168:10; 172:9, 10, 13, 19 neither 162:7 net 50:12 new 18:10, 11, 22; 19:25; 20:3; 25:12; 31:22; 32:1; 34:5; 44:2; 45:14; 48:6; 60:7; 62:6; 64:16; 65:19, 21; 68:22; 71:19, 24; 72:7, 15, 18, 22; 75:24; 99:21; 102:18; 104:5, 6, 7, 8, 19, 22; 105:1, 4; 106:11; 129:7; 181:20, 20; 196:12 newer 44:6 newest 71:11 news 8:24; 28:9, 10; 163:7; 180:19; 191:22 newspaper 169:19; 170:12:191:7 newspapers 139:18; 190:20 next 41:14; 51:10, 11; 54:16; 60:21; 61:5; 69:16; 76:15; 83:1; 87:7; 89:7; 106:11; 124:9; 128:11, 15; 173:5, 5; 185:20 nice 157:21 Nick 16:2 nickname 6:16 night 139:22; 144:23; 166:2; 175:5 Nightline 180:18 Nilsen 59:9; 78:18, 18; 96:8; 99:5; 118:17; 141:1; 149:22; 151:3, 7; 162:9; 165:6; 168:8; 174:18, 20; 194:12, 13, 15 Nilsen's 96:14; 107:9 nine 27:3 ninth 47:21; 72:16; 110:1, 21; 113:15 nip 54:4 no-win 160:25 nods 4:8 Noel 19:15, 17; 167:6; 177:23; 186:8, 17, 22, 25; 187:1,2 non-threatening 40:21 None 5:22; 17:6; 87:23; 131:9; 194:16 nonprotessional 169:25 nor 58:13 normally 112:20; 199:23 notation 66:20; 67:21; 68:3, 5; 92:18; 95:4; 106:10; 124:20; 130:13 notations 164:17 note 68:6; 70:5; 124:13, 23: 125:6; 138:8, 17; 141:4, 6, 13; 142:15; 144:14; 145:9; 146:5, 15; 147:8, 12; 150:15, 16; 154:24; 156:9; 164:25; 175:2, 17 noted 146:5; 147:1

4, 11; 131:5, 13; 133:2; notes 12:9, 11; 46:21, 21, 22, 24; 67:11, 13; 76:19; 77:21, 24, 25; 92:9; 113:4, 5; 124:11; 130:10; 155:11, 20, 20, 22; 156:11, 13, 14, 20: 159:3; 164:9; 165:3; 168:6; 172:7, 17, 20, 24; 174:25; 175:8, 15; 180:6, 7, 17, 18; 184:18, 19; 185:14 notice 182:10; 183:6 notion 171:2; 172:8; 189:20 November 11:3; 13:17; 15:16; 155:18; 176:23; 178:3; 179:20, 22; 180:3, nowhere 187:17 **NSTA 16:9** nuisance 170:9, 22 Number 42:19:45:5: 48:19; 50:24; 66:13; 98:2; 116:2; 117:19; 131:11; 136:23, 24; 138:14; 141:8; 164:2; 175:11 numbered 35:12; 45:8; 103:15; 128:18 numbers 67:3; 92:6 O

oath 1992:6 objected 134:20 objection 105:8 objections 505:8 objections 93:6; 159:12; 198:15.19 obligation 126:11 observations 46:17; 111:17 obviously 11:7; 18:19; 38:6; 40:5; 41:4; 65:22; 68:10:78:22;79:13; 83:10; 85:12; 102:13; 109:20; 115:7; 130:12; 139:7; 141:19; 160:2; 164:8, 15; 168:21; 171:22; 173:17, 18; 176:11; 178:21; 179:6; 180:1; 181:10; 183:22; 193:13; 194:10; 196:17; 197:10, 18: 199:14 occasion 9:21; 15:14; 29:17; 45:25 occasions 12:7; 35:8, 18: 181:1; 187:3; 190:17 occur 7:25; 47:23; 94:17; 103:22; 150:2, 2; 151:12 occurred 34:21; 44:25; 55:10: 65:14; 71:2; 91:8; 96:17; 128:21; 154:12; 158:22; 164:22; 167:8; 195:20 October 8:6; 11:24; 12:15;59:16;124:21; 128:22, 24; 129:15; 130:2,

137:6, 16, 18; 139:8; 140:6, 16, 17; 142:20, 24; 143:1, 1, 5; 144:18; 148:24; 154:25; 155:4, 10, 17: 156:22, 24; 173:11; 174:22; 175:1; 176:23; 177:1: 178:2, 7; 187:6, 8, 9; 188:3 off 13188:3 off 9:4; 15:4; 23:18; 46:16; 120:20, 22; 123:23; 155:6, 7; 158:24; 173:1, 6 offend 30:7; 43:8 offended 81:24; 82:2; 194:23; 198:9 offensive 82:6: 97:12; 100:21 office 27:17; 96:14; 107:9 often 4:4; 18:17; 35:22; 71:9; 81:17; 156:19; 166:23, 24; 177:5 oftentimes 173:21; 174:17; 177:22; 186:17; 190:18 old 68:12, 23; 97:15; 104:25; 105:9 older 22:20 once 87:18; 185:7; 187:15 one 8:17; 13:8, 8; 15:14, 25; 22:20; 35:12; 36:11; 42:19; 45:8, 25; 46:2; 47:18, 23; 48:1, 19; 49:14; 50:24; 52:23; 53:11; 55:15; 58:2, 22; 63:25; 64:1, 24; 66:15; 67:10; 68:15, 21; 70:21; 72:3, 11, 15: 74:17: 78:11, 13; 80:7, 7, 18, 21; 81:17, 20; 82:10; 85:5; 88:18; 90:8, 18, 24; 91:17, 23; 92:3, 15; 93:3, 14; 97:14; 99:2, 4, 7, 20; 100:16, 17; 103:25; 105:1, 4; 107:14; 108:12, 13, 14; 110:17, 24; 112:17; 117:20; 118:2, 22; 120:8, 10; 121:24; 122:3; 124:2, 16; 130:11, 12; 131:20; 132:16; 138:24; 144:6, 10, 12, 13, 16, 22, 22; 145:1; 146:9; 147:13; 150:10; 152:7, 24; 153:3, 4; 154:13, 15, 22; 155:4; 158:15; 163:14; 165:21. 21, 21; 170:9; 171:4, 22; 172:2; 175:10; 178:23, 23; 180:1; 181:25; 182:2, 3, 20; 183:1, 8, 16; 186:10; 188:8: 190:7, 13; 191:23, 25; 193:14; 196:21 one's 132:17 one-year 48:7 ones 67:8; 68:22, 23; 81:21; 114:6; 125:22 ongoing 53:13

online 114:1 ·

65:4; 67:10; 79:14; 88:20; 93:3, 14; 109:17; 110:12; 118:8; 122:16; 125:22; 144:22; 176:18; 183:1; 192:19 Onto 173:5 open 17:5; 90:21; 123:15; 170:12; 195:5; 197:6, 8, 21 opened 97:5; 158:17 openty 194:23 openness 119:1 opinion 101:2; 169:10; 189:8, 20 opportunity 1820 opportunity 72:7; 85:17; 90:13; 174:9 opposed 37:6; 58:25; 118:14: 170:3; 184:5; 189:33 opposite 130:14 order 31:22; 32:1; 38:18; 39:20; 60:2; 72:7; 75:25; 79:1; 173:19; 181:22 ordered 23:24; 45:1; 59:25; 61:5; 71:14, 24, 25; 72:17; 104:18; 117:24 ordering 8:7; 96:21 orders 60:4; 115:12, 19 ordinary 63:13; 150:25 organization 16:9, 13; 123:20; 191:25 organizations 22:5, 14 origin 33:16, 16; 52:13, 13; 58:7, 7, 9 original 145:4 originally 86:24 origins 138:20; 141:9, 13, 17, 20; 146:16, 19, 20; 147:17; 150:16 others 56:5; 57:25; 69:1; 144:23; 163:11; 164:4; 166:22; 172:3; 177:13; 180:25: 182:9; 183:24; 184:15:185:9 ours 61:4 out 231:4 out 11:14; 15:25; 19:9; 23:15; 39:8; 43:6; 48:9; 60:4; 64:18, 21; 65:1; 68:19;70:12;72:17; 75:14; 79:2, 14; 82:10; 84:22; 88:8; 89:6; 93:12, 17; 94:16; 99:6; 103:8; 104:22; 108:24; 110:11; 113:10; 114:25; 116:13; 134:11; 144:11; 153:24; 156:5, 8; 159:24; 160:3; 162:21; 163:14; 166:13; 167:9; 168:20; 170:8, 19; 171:3; 172:13; 174:24; 175:9; 177:10, 12; 181:2; 194:1, 4, 10, 15, 21; 196:16 outside 20:17; 22:18, 25; 89:19:90:4 only 10:11; 15:18; 24:13,

15; 47:22; 60:20; 64:18;

over 8:4, 5, 6, 8; 15:2; 29:11:34:20, 23; 38:25; 47:6; 52:8, 12; 58:9, 24; 82:10:87:19; 101:24; 124:19; 125:15; 133:15; 144:4; 147:15; 149:22; 153:11; 156:25; 159:10; 164:1: 169:23; 177:15, 16, 17, 22, 24; 184:23; 196:5; 199:11 overall 112:1 overview 109:18, 19, 21 own 11:13; 33:22; 40:13, 18; 118:14; 125:16; 158:14, 18; 177:21; 185:1 owner 149:6 owns 182:5 oxidation 110:25

P

pack 25:5; 75:22; 124:10

p.m 200:1

packet 16:6; 17:25; 46:19; 49:14; 66:14; 86:13; 119:16; 121:2; 126:14 page 46:22; 50:24; 67:8, 21; 68:6, 9; 70:4; 76:15; 82:15, 18; 92:6; 94:24; 95:1, 2, 17; 103:15; 116:2; 121:18; 123:25; 124:19, 20; 130:6, 6; 131:4, 11; 132:19; 144:22; 145:22; 150:7; 155:14, 17, 18; 164:19, 20, 20; 170:14; 173:1, 5, 5; 183:9 pages 46:24; 76:12; 93:18, 18; 144:20; 155:16 painted 81:15 Panda 11:23; 118:8; 132:2 Pandas 10:9; 20:11; 91:9; 101:15; 107:6, 10; 109:10; 112:12; 114:14; 115:2; 116:11, 20, 22; 117:2, 7, 15; 118:19; 119:2: 127:19, 23; 128:13; 130:18; 133:16, 20; 136:7; 137:10; 141:15, 18, 23; 142:5; 144:15; 147:4, 19; 150:22; 153:10, 15; 170:14 paper 13:25; 144:5; 156:18 papers 119:16 paragraph 27:11; 38:8; 41:14; 45:14; 97:7, 7; 103:16; 165:1 parent/teacher 197:5 parents 11:4; 33:23; 197:8 parliamentary 166:1 part 6:22; 8:19; 23:11; 34:14; 85:19; 128:25; 131:8; 133:9; 136:8; 147:19; 148:19; 152:9, 21; 161:5; 164:13; 168:11; 178:10, 18; 189:4 participant 138:2 participate 63:7; 179:16 participated 188:14 particular 30:24; 36:9; 46:2; 55:21; 56:10; 60:10; 94:5, 6; 102:1; 121:24; 129:11: 134:3; 197:23; 198:21 particularly 156:2 particulars 15:9; 29:13 parties 329:13 pass 48:9; 140:24 passed 49:22; 84:7; 152:3,7;164:13 passing 52:4 past 12:18; 42:4; 54:12; 109:6; 160:6, 6, 11; 187:18 pastor 39:10; 40:18; 171:24: 183:23 Pat 6:22 Patrick 15:22 Paula 13:21, 23; 14:3, 5, 7, 16; 15:2, 10, 12, 13 pause 4:18 peanut 29:6,9 pencil 105:15 Pennsylvania 6:13; 8:9; 11:18 PENNY 25:8; 120:20; 136:23; 153:4 People 21153:4 people 6:17; 30:1, 7; 32:17:33:19;71:6;86:1,9; 87:16:96:23;97:12; 100:4; 101:15; 107:10; 108:12; 114:15; 115:2; 116:20, 22; 117:7; 118:19; 127:23; 130:18; 133:17; 136:8; 137:10; 141:15, 18, 23; 142:2, 6; 143:23; 144:15; 147:13, 20; 150:22; 153:10; 157:14, 19, 20, 25; 163:12, 19; 164:3; 165:12, 19, 22; 166:12, 16, 18; 170:10, 14, 20; 173:23; 176:18; 177:6; 190:18; 194:23 people's 81:6; 197:22 per 38:13 perceive 5:21; 6:1, 4; 12:12 perceived 45:20; 167:3; 169:2.5.6 percent 37:10; 161:25 perception 81:7; 85:13, 21: 139:17, 17; 159:19; 169:12; 170:2; 197:23; perceptions 185:2 period 5:23, 24; 23:17; 29:25; 30:9; 31:8; 47:11; 54:9; 55:1; 62:17, 20; 63:12, 20, 23; 100:25; 112:6; 128:24; 129:14;

136:11; 137:12; 140:16; 142:13; 178:2; 185:4; 187:6, 13 periodically 54:6 permanent 86:2 permanently 86:7; 198:21, 25; 199:14 permission 87:2.3 person 36:1; 76:11; 84:6; 86:21; 90:13; 94:13; 179:1; 188:10; 191:24; 197:3 personal 16:7; 29:23 personally 9:6; 16:14; 20:9; 55:3; 187:24; 198:15, 16 personnel 8:24; 194:17 persons 21:8; 187:8 perspective 23:9; 53:17; 128:12 persuade 180:25 Peterman 13:4; 28:25; 34:11; 35:3, 5, 9, 12; 36:19; 38:15; 41:25; 42:2, 12; 43:12, 19; 44:10; 45:7, 10, 22; 51:14, 22, 25; 59:11; 78:20; 192:17, 24 phenomena 171:15 philosophically 183:20 philosophy 169:8; 189:15 phone 192:20 phrase 83:10 physical 72:1 physics 9:22; 24:16; 56:5, 9; 72:1; 181:10 physiology 72:2 pick 29:9 picture 83:18, 23; 84:1, 2, 7, 13; 87:11; 97:7; 193:13; 194:24; 197:23 pictures 98:5; 102:10 piece 144:5 pieces 9:23 place 17:23 place 92:3; 96:10, 24; 100:12; 118:12; 165:12; 166:2; 169:8; 179:20 placed 118:9; 128:1; 133:15, 17; 141:15 places 4:2,11;100:1; 169:16 Plainly 21169:16 plainly 53:23; 137:15; 170:25; 198:8 plaintiffs 9:18; 10:20; 11:1; 13:20; 14:15; 15:13, 19: 192:10 planned 65:21; 132:23 play 187:24 please 4:5, 16; 5:9, 13; 6:25; 11:16; 40:18; 66:13; 106:6; 107:12; 142:25; 161:12

pledge 153:25 Plus 118:4 podium 74:21; 163:25 point 18:12; 19:8; 27:16; 32:4, 10; 40:9, 15, 22; 49:5; 52:18; 56:4; 58:24; 74:2; 84:20; 87:15; 102:2; 103:8: 107:5: 108:24: 109:7; 114:19; 129:2; 130:21, 22; 134:14; 139:21; 154:9; 160:17; 167:3, 8; 168:20, 21; 169:15; 172:13; 174:14; 181:18; 188:10; 193:25; 194:16, 19; 196:6 point-blank 83:22 pointed 43:6; 64:17, 21; 65:1; 104:22; 159:24; 160:3 pointing 93:12, 17 points 45:5; 130:15; 160:3 policy 12:1, 13; 89:17; 90:1, 7, 10, 16; 131:6; 154:11; 184:24 policy-making 12:20 poor 64:12 pop 33:5 portion 172:7, 24 pose 164:12 posed 166:22 position 52:20; 148:5 positions 181:6; 182:12 positive 175:9 positively 168:24 possession 92:8; 114:7; 115:17; 122:20; 193:2 possibility 68:8 possible 53:25; 79:22; 118:24; 126:20; 157:4; 168:13 possibly 127:22; 161:3; 168:18 potential 129:13 practical 183:1 practice 12:18; 42:5; 109:6: 146:17; 150:17, 25; 160:6, 7, 11 Prall 14:5; 56:2; 188:17 preceded 64:2 preceding 172:11 precise 4:25; 11:1 prefer 6:14 preliminary 5:16; 6:7 premium 4:2, 11 Prentice 75:25: 79:19; 92:16; 93:4; 95:20 preparation 7:6, 23; 8:2; 9:18, 20; 16:18, 19; 79:2; prepared 37:25; 57:10; 64:14; 94:15; 115:11; 139:7, 11; 153:20; 181:4 preparing 18:8; 105:21 presence 123:4

present 25:16; 27:19; 39:7: 40:13; 55:18, 25; 56:13, 16; 59:9, 11; 60:8; 64:4: 65:5; 78:3, 17; 80:4; 81:21; 84:8; 87:23; 104:6; 108:20; 135:8; 146:20; 157:4; 176:16; 183:21; 185:12; 187:10, 13; 191:16; 192:25; 193:10 presentation 17:3; 18:22; 27:14; 30:17; 31:19; 39:23; 50:4; 57:13; 62:3; 97:20, 24; 98:7; 99:13; 131:3; 158:23; presented 28:10; 29:19; 31:13; 33:14; 37:11; 39:22; 40:8; 49:7; 50:13; 88:3; 103:7; 113:3; 123:24; 125:16; 131:22; 132:6; 136:16; 137:24; 178:7; 197:13 presenting 49:9; 50:9; 119:10; 122:12 presently 21:4 president 21:2; 67:4; 75:12: 162:8; 174:15 Press 76:17; 80:6; 191:22 presumed 47:25 pretty 9:14; 19:4; 34:6; 64:14; 87:18; 111:14; 113:13; 118:1; 129:8; 140:21; 158:25; 163:15; 164:1; 178:20; 183:25 previous 197:10 previously 23:24;99:1 primarily 89:7; 164:7; 178:23; 190:14 primary 22:21; 126:10; 182:14 primate 98:2 primates 98:3; 101:22; 102:14 principal 25:20; 28:20; 41:23; 43:14; 115:21; 193:25; 197:1, 2 principalship 28:20 print 99:23, 25; 100:5; 146:1; 174:7, 7; 190:10 printed 17:23, 24; 123:23; 192:6 prior 17:19; 18:2; 23:19, 19: 30:10: 31:8; 49:25; 64:8; 65:8; 96:24; 110:11; 119:25; 126:4, 4, 14, 17; 128:17; 137:15; 139:23; 143:18; 146:2; 151:24; 154:1; 156:22 Priscilla 124:13, 16; 125:11 privy 51:19 probably 18:25; 48:8; 49:22:66:23:68:19:85:4; 90:9; 96:20; 97:12; 104:21; 139:5; 140:23; 158:15; 170:12; 192:18, 21:197:14

problem 18:22; 33:5; 43:24: 46:5: 53:25: 104:6, 7,8 problems 133:10 procedure 17:9 process 257:9 process 4:5, 20; 5:7; 12:20: 40:3; 46:17; 49:18; 76:9; 95:23; 143:3; 178:10, 18; 184:9 processes 176:12 produce 76:5 produced 12:2; 14:14 product 76:16 production 23:11 professional 22:14; 63:16; 123:20; 160:14; 161:19; 163:1 professionally 168:25 professionals 63:10 professors 110:18 profile 76:16 project 20:3; 81:13; 112:17, 20; 186:18, 21; 194:3; 198:2; 199:20 property 149:6; 182:5 proposal 140:18, 22 proposed 32:1; 140:15; 147:3; 163:18 proposing 18:11 protect 165:5; 168:8 provable 189:21 prove 111:12,15 proven 111:3, 8, 11; 189:10 provide 66:24; 120:18 provided 8:10, 17, 21; 114:5; 120:25; 137:14; 147:24; 168:3 **PSEA** 179:14 PSSA 39:5 PTA 197:5 public 45:14, 17, 18; 71:6, 6; 73:8, 11, 16, 18; 74:3, 6, 11; 125:23; 139:22, 23; 143:4; 153:23; 154:1, 3, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19; 158:5, 13, 17; 163:4, 12, 17; 164:4; 167:13; 169:23; 170:10 publicly 159:17; 162:10 published 110:9, 12 publisher 110:10 publishers 19:7 publishing 110:12 purchase 10:8; 46:17; 51:19; 79:1; 94:18; 97:14; 114:18; 115:12, 19; 117:23 purchased 65:10; 72:9; 100:19; 115:24 purchases 100:17 purpose 1400:17 purpose 5:4, 10; 49:9;

pleasure 25:21

Bertha E. Spahr May 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

39:6; 135:21; 141:6; 142:9; 147:18; 156:23; 157:16; 159:16; 165:5; 168:7 purposes 6:15; 82:16; 183:1 pushed 85:5 put 7:18; 10:15; 42:24; 67:15; 72:21; 163:19; 181:5; 187:15 putting 111:5; 128:13; 133:19, 23; 153:15; 160:23

Q

quick 45:6 quite 28:13; 29:3; 64:20; 134:3 quotations 191:15 quotes 191:12

R

R-o-w-a-n-d 172:1 radio 175:3.4 railroaded 160:5 raise 33:24 raised 32:18; 88:11, 21 ran 182:12 rare 187:3 rather 71:1; 129:5; 156:18; 181:6 rattled 164:23 reach 160:1 reached 61:14; 127:22 react 88:9.9 reaction 133:5 read 8:5; 11:14; 19:19; 41:16, 16; 46:10; 74:4; 97:7; 107:13; 109:17, 21; 110:4; 111:1; 113:6, 9, 24; 118:11; 120:6; 122:13; 123:5; 126:12; 138:19; 150:6, 6, 11; 164:21, 25; 172:12: 178:8; 185:25; 188:22; 189:1; 191:17 readability 112:24; 113:1; 117:21; 118:6 reading 103:5; 109:24; 185:22 reads 141:13, 20 real 140:20 realign 49:24 realigning 49:19 realize 44:7; 184:20 realizing 49:21 Really 25:25; 29:13; 32:11; 50:12; 52:3; 72:13; 84:18; 127:7; 134:2; 139:9; 165:2; 167:1 rear 195:7 reason 14:5; 32:11;

34:24; 35:25; 36:9; 55:19; 56:10:65:17:69:21,25; 78:13; 79:12; 83:16; 86:8; 105:2: 124:24; 185:6; 186:16; 187:5; 199:15 reasons 68:21; 166:9; 188:23 rebutting 172:20 recall 31:9; 36:24; 37:1, 5, 13, 16, 20; 38:15; 41:24; 42:12:44:11;45:21; 47:10; 50:16, 19; 51:24; 54:20; 55:11; 56:24; 57:3, 20; 58:15; 59:5, 7; 61:19; 66:8; 70:15, 23; 71:15; 73:2, 22, 25; 74:10, 13; 75:2; 77:24; 78:15, 17; 79:5, 7; 80:16; 81:1; 87:21; 88:12, 23; 89:10; 90:15; 93:10, 94:2; 95:5, 7, 15; 103:17, 21; 107:18; 108:16, 17; 109:3; 112:22; 113:22; 114:8; 117:1, 14, 18, 19; 118:15; 120:3; 121:25; 122:3; 123:1, 12; 127:12, 17; 128:8; 132:6; 134:21; 137:14; 138:6; 141:3, 5, 9; 142:22; 147:7, 11: 150:15; 158:13, 21; 166:18, 19; 167:15, 19; 174:19; 176:25; 178:1,4; 184:10; 192:12 recalling 78:3; 80:15; 122:17 recalls 36:6, 10 receipt 115:14 receive 35:2; 130:25 received 9:8; 14:10, 13; 16:5, 12; 27:10; 35:6; 77:20; 92:24, 25; 94:11; 96:2: 105:4; 126:17; 137:6; 144:21; 156:4; 162:22 receiving 95:24 recent 13:8; 182:14; 192:17 recently 13:2; 172:2; 192:15 Recess 46:14; 91:1; 190:4 recipe 29:6, 10 recognize 67:17; 76:21, 22, 23; 95:20; 96:5 recollect 6:4; 7:19; 54:14 recollection 11:16; 37:17; 47:7; 51:7; 54:8; 56:15; 69:13; 70:7; 82:15; 90:6; 91:12; 96:20; 107:8; 116:9, 16; 131:8; 135:17; 140:10; 152:21; 168:11; 173:9 recommendation 32:4; 97:14; 138:10, 13 recommended 64:17; 146:12; 150:12 record 13150:12

record 1450:12

record 4:9, 11; 6:9; 28:6; 41:17;57:22; 120:20, 22, 23; 132:19; 138:12; 153:4; 155:6, 7; 175:13; 188:22 records 175:23; 176:11, recounts 36:19 red 37:24; 53:25 Redding 194:1; 196:25; 197:2 redesigned 81:22 redone 186:19 Reeser 86:15, 17, 21; 87:3; 194:5; 196:19; 198:5, 8, 12; 199:1 Reeser's 195:2 refer 66:22; 133:16; 190:19 reference 28:19; 40:17, 19; 46:7, 11; 51:12; 69:10; 74:19; 86:14; 100:24; 116:3; 118:9, 13; 125:8, 12, 21; 126:20; 127:19; 128:14; 131:16; 132:3; 133:15, 20, 25; 134:3, 5; 136:7, 19; 137:9, 9; 141:15; 142:9; 144:15; 146:8; 147:3; 150:22; 153:16; 164:10 referenced 14:13; 45:7; 46:25; 50:3; 58:11; 62:10; 65:8, 12; 96:17; 103:11, 14; 111:7; 112:4; 119:8; 138:1; 141:4; 144:19; 147:22; 168:1; 175:18; 192:9:197:5 references 89:9; 92:2; 93:7; 96:7; 130:19; 136:22 referencing 15:12; 28:5. 6; 33:18; 38:10; 39:14, 16; 53:9; 92:9; 100:8; 122:9; 166:2 referred 83:3; 134:8; 174:14 referring 47:16; 68:10; 71:21, 21; 80:7; 100:5; 137:2; 154:20; 165:7; 172:11.24 reflect 8:23; 106:12; 156:3 reflected 51:15; 53:23; 99:22; 151:22 reflects 146:16; 150:17; refresh 11:15; 82:15; 173:9 refuse 198:24 refused 86:1; 199:12 regard 88:13; 189:20 regarding 11:19; 18:10; regular 22:23 Rehm 9:21; 10:3; 56:3, 9; 114:9; 181:9, 10; 184:1, 16 reject 90:3 rejected 138:11; 140:19

relate 9:11; 46:25; 49:5; 67:11:95:23 related 9:13; 10:1; 27:7; Relatedly 4:10 relates 83:3 relating 9:3; 15:10; 31:19; 42:13; 47:7; 51:19; 59:20; 61:12; 63:15, 23; 67:8; 70:7, 23; 75:2; 89:11; 90:7; 103:19; 106:21; 128:8, 25; 134:22; 141:6; 155:10; 180:12; 187:21; 188:19 relations 21:9 relationship 8:8; 21:20; 22:18, 18, 25; 68:14; 85:23; 187:1 relative 115:20 relatively 32:8; 85:4; 161:17; 163:24; 171:24; 184:6 relied 63:16 religion 46:4; 123:22; 125:23; 127:2 religions 169:9; 189:16 religious 30:3; 42:10; 43:2; 45:21; 79:14; 82:6; 121:14; 123:7; 170:17; 194:22 relying 34:11; 168:2; 198:8 remainder 185:4 remained 177:13 remember 15:9, 18; 17:15; 37:3, 8, 22; 38:3; 42:14:43:11;45:25; 53:20; 56:5, 22; 63:25; 64:19; 68:1; 70:9, 25; 71:6; 73:16;75:13;78:19; 84:23; 90:9; 91:9, 19, 21; 92:5; 93:12; 101:11, 12; 112:18; 113:6; 114:14; 118:8; 120:5, 5; 123:16; 124:6: 129:6: 140:5; 141:12; 142:19; 144:4,7; 147:15; 149:21; 152:30; 158:16: 163:16; 165:9; 167:15, 24; 177:7; 184:19; 185:13, 18, 21; 192:1, 5; 193:3,5 remembered 36:11, 13; 107:16 remembering 84:21 remembers 36:2 remove 176:2 removed 98:1, 5; 102:11; 136:8, 10; 137:10; 153:12; 194:7; 196:21 rents 182:6 rep 93:5; 94:10 repeat 142:25 repeatedly 189:23 replacement 182:11 reportage 191:4, 9 reporters 173:24, 24, 25;

177:14: 190:7, 11 reporting 172:5 represented 117:6; 179:13 reprint 126:23; 127:1 reps 158:1 request 40:12; 42:7; 63:13; 72:21, 25 requested 11:8;86:5 required 48:6; 49:20; 50:1 research 17:17; 18:1; 27:4; 110:14; 113:25; 119:10, 12, 15; 121:1; 125:16; 126:14; 177:21 researched 123:22 reservations 94:4; 112:4 reserved 6112:4 resigned 172:3; 177:17; 185:17, 19; 186:9 resolution 11:21 resolve 53:14; 78:24; 161:13; 186:5 resolved 185:7 resources 133:16; 147:5; 150:23 respect 5:14; 8:13; 24:7; 98:21, 23; 129:13 respective 3129:13 respond 4:4, 6; 5:21; 6:5; 13:3; 30:19; 84:10, 12, 17; 161:9 responded 13:5; 37:25; 87:16:193:6 responding 74:11; 85:1; 123:12 response 8:11; 14:14; 73:18; 113:12; 120:24; 130:25, 131:2; 158:22; 162:6; 189:24 responses 55:16; 57:2; 123:8 responsibility 179:10; 199:4 rest 34:6 resubmit 62:23 resubmitted 60:2; 63:4 result 49:8; 50:12; 89:19; 105:20 retained 7:2 retirement 24:19 returned 112:13: 115:7 Reverend 172:1 review 8:3; 63:1, 7; 79:22; 80:1, 10; 93:4, 15; 96:9, 14, 23, 24; 98:9; 99:10; 102:4; 107:20; 109:12, 15; 112:8; 191:4 reviewed 32:3; 79:18, 21; 93:1; 96:8; 102:15; 106:17: 109:23; 110:16; 117:2 reviewing 8:2; 99:12, 16; 101:17, 18 reviews 97:4

revise 62:5 revised 11:25; 62:6 revision 62:9 revisited 32:25 revolved 193:11 reworking 179:17 rewriting 40:3 rewritten 49:1 rewrote 49:16 Richard 78:18 Riedel 28:24; 44:14 Right 20:21; 27:21; 29:3; 31:3; 33:24; 45:2; 50:25; 54:17; 62:9; 66:1, 20; 80:13; 82:1; 83:5; 90:3; 92:18; 94:9, 19; 103:12; 106:10; 116:3; 121:11; 130:5; 131:12; 132:23; 133:21; 135:16; 136:24; 141:17; 147:5; 149:9; 150:7; 155:2, 3, 13, 23; 162:19, 23; 167:10; 182:20; 183:2; 185:24; 187:13; 196:4 Rinehart 79:20 rival 149:16 Hoad 6:12; 12:10; 30:6 Rob 14:2; 15:3; 36:5; 56:7; 67:20; 74:19; 78:5; 94:11; 113:21: 117:12; 135:15; 143:19; 147:13; 171:12, 14; 190:16; 191:2; 192:1 role 25:15; 187:25 roll 153:24 rolling 154:7 room 9:24; 35:25; 43:9; 48:12; 64:2, 8; 77:15; 82:11:83:1, 15;86:11; 135:13, 17, 18; 181:17; 193:12; 194:7, 15; 195:1, 7; 196:10; 197:12, 18; 198:22 rooms 81:20; 129:7; 131:20; 186:19 Rothschild 11:3; 12:6, 23 roughly 15:6; 48:7; 49:23 round 143:20 row 192:22 Rowand 172:1 ruling 161:1 rumblings 27:12 run 54:12;71:4 running 10:13; 183:12

S

same 5:1; 14:5, 17, 23; 22:12, 13; 23:2; 47:22; 59:20; 65:3; 72:9; 74:5; 77:22; 79:15; 80:5, 21; 81:5; 88:18; 98:23; 103:16; 154:18; 176:9, 15; 179:3; 189:23; 191:11 sandwich 169:17

Sandy 22:19, 20; 66:23, 24; 68:5; 174:13, 13 sat 13:12; 21:1; 33:15; 81:20:83:15:105:5; 162:5; 182:15; 185:1; 194:25 satisfied 57:13; 151:12 satisfy 111:22 save 25:3 saw 29:23; 59:19; 92:14, 22; 126:1; 133:1, 6; 134:2; 150:24; 195:6; 197:20; 198:2 saying 30:23; 37:5, 8; 40:23; 44:23; 50:19; 54:4; 73:25; 80:24; 85:21; 88:1, 23; 91:19; 93:17; 101:9; 108:19; 109:3; 149:23; 163:8; 167:14; 170:9; 186:25 SB 66:20:67:11 Scale 124:3 schedule 197:7.9 school 9:13; 10:4, 13; 11:25; 15:4; 16:13; 17:4, 8; 21:3, 10, 11, 14, 16, 18, 24; 22:6, 6, 25; 23:23; 24:4; 25:21; 41:19; 44:12; 45:3, 14, 17, 19; 50:16; 62:18; 63:6; 65:16, 20; 66:5, 7; 76:13; 77:7; 78:8; 79:1: 81:11, 25; 82:5; 86:6, 19; 87:1; 89:3, 3, 14, 22, 24: 94:10: 95:18, 25: 96:3. 18; 106:20; 108:15; 110:17; 112:13, 15, 20; 125:5, 23; 128:17, 21; 129:4, 9; 135:15; 142:17; 149:7; 161:1; 162:3; 170:18; 171:23; 190:11, 21: 195:3, 19; 196:22; 197:1: 198:20; 199:5 schools 9:3; 76:15; 79:14 science 7:22; 8:15; 13:9, 22; 15:24; 16:10, 11; 17:2; 19:25; 24:17; 25:19; 28:1; 29:16; 30:23; 31:10; 34:1; 38:5, 20; 42:25; 47:20; 49:25; 51:6; 56:1, 12, 17; 57:24; 60:24; 64:5; 69:23; 70:18; 71:11, 23, 23; 72:1, 5, 12; 81:12, 20; 85:1; 86:5; 103:18, 18; 106:20. 21; 107:19; 110:5, 22; 111:13, 18; 117:6, 20; 118:10; 119:3; 121:16; 123:6, 23; 124:18; 127:2; 129:11, 19; 135:5, 23; 136:16; 137:8; 138:10, 25; 139:13, 16, 18; 140:14; 141:5: 143:8, 14, 19; 147:12; 148:4, 8, 13, 23, 25: 150:24; 151:4; 152:25; 153:9: 156:21: 157:3, 5, 8, 22; 159:20, 25; 160:21; 168:12; 169:9; 173:15; 175:12, 18, 21, 21; 176:20; 177:10; 178:19; 179:1;

187:20, 21, 25; 188:25; 189:3, 7, 12, 18, 22; 190:1; 192:3, 3, 7; 193:9; 194:12; 195:4; 198:18 sciences 64:10; 65:9 scientific 57:20; 124:25; scientifically 178:25; 179:9; 189:10 scientist 113:10 scoped 180:22 score 9:9; 183:11 Scott 14:19; 179;2, 13, 20 scream 80:22; 84:16; scribbled 68:5 se 38:13 sealing 48:13 seat 162:21 second 27:11; 45:16; 50:2, 23; 72:3; 104:1; 133:9; 146:11 Secondly 48:20 secret 14:22 secretaries 120:10 secretary 105:17; 115:21 section 82:16; 138:18; 153:12; 165:19; 173:6; 176:3 security 181:21 seeing 36:2,7,10,14; 77:24; 86:22; 95:5, 7; 152:19 seeking 29:5 seem 84:21; 117:1 seemed 57:12; 181:25 seems 8:14; 12:19; 20:5; 23:18; 27:23; 28:4; 29:21; 40:23; 44:9, 20, 23; 52:18; 53:19: 68:25; 103:9; 105:8; 107:5; 111:25; 112:1; 140:9; 147:20; 149:14; 155:25; 163:8 select 18:9 selected 91:24; 151:5; 182:18; 183:23 selection 19:2; 20:10; 31:17; 33:12; 63:4, 15 semester 42:21;55:21 send 11:8, 10; 31:23; 35:10 senior 81:13; 188:13 sense 30:25; 34:9, 12; 44:20; 52:19; 55:5; 56:15; 57:4, 14; 58:4; 60:6, 14; 65:8; 82:13; 87:5; 99:10; 102:22; 122:5; 135:11; 143:3; 146:14; 151:14; 152:16; 169:11; 170:6; 173:18; 183:17; 189:7; 198:6 sensitive 31:5 sensitivity 5:14;31:11 sent 11:17; 17:16; 32:5;

136:6; 193:1 sentence 38:9; 45:16; 80:21; 81:5; 84:19; 88:18; 103:5, 6; 137:12; 152:9; 184:18, 23 sentences 4:11;41:15 sentiments 159:15 September 59:16; 112:15; 139:25; 140:6, 9 September/November 126:22 sequence 72:18 series 168:7; 172:9 serve 113:2; 115:2; 118:5 served 14:15; 21:13; 116:17 services 86:25 set 176:25 set 8:17; 14:23; 18:3; 23:10; 50:23; 67:10; 69:16; 72:11; 107:22; 120:25; 121:10; 126:12 sets 48:11; 130:17, 19 setting 169:25 settled 109:1 seven 72:6 seven-year 60:20;61:4; 72:6 seventh 48:22 several 6:17; 7:24; 12:7; 63:24; 99:24; 103:14; 182:10; 193:12 shall 62:11 shared 22:4; 98:4; 104:3; 135:4; 156:19; 184:20 shed 181:23 Sheila 68:10, 10; 69:1; 75:9; 88:6, 7; 90:19 Sherrie 183:5 Shiloh 179:4 shop 169:17 short 168:15 show 46:2; 98:22; 109:4; 157:21: 175:4 showed 98:21; 168:15 showing 132:19; 157:16 shown 83:19: 159:1 side 20159:1 side 12:9; 96:15, 15; 106:10; 145:2; 162:23; 166:15:177:18 sides 118:18 sign 10:16 significance 103:20 significant 133:6; 137:7 silence 181:17 simply 30:17; 40:10; 44:2, 5; 56:12; 88:17; 90:20; 127:23; 154:10; 157:11, 18; 168:12; 175:8; 176:2 simultaneously 74:20 singled 75:13 sit 5:19;7:11;34:2;53:6,

19: 58:4, 12; 70:12, 24; 127:3; 161:13; 166:20; 192:12 sitting 43:9; 84:6; 85:24; 86:10:97:18;116:18; 143:20; 162:9; 163:23; 165:22; 166:12; 195:7; 197:20; 199:16 situation 29:13; 40:21; 52:25; 111:13; 112:2; 147:22; 160:25; 170:5 six 68:12 skeptical 124:21; 125:9; 126:21 small 8:22:50:23;170:16 Snook 73:7; 166:23 snow 42:22; 66:9 social 22:25 society 72:13 soften 102:19 softened 100:23 sole 64:13 solution 104:7; 118:24 somebody 43:8; 61:5; 77:6; 84:3; 113:8; 115:7; 119:12; 120:13, 13; 169:20; 197:24 someone 12:11; 73:20; 165:17; 198:11 someone's 123:4 sometime 38:7:77:21; 91:5; 94:22; 95:10; 197:18 sometimes 4:18; 5:1; 12:8; 43:20; 67:4; 78:7; 81:6 somewhat 32:25; 42:25; 73:9; 132:15; 139:16; 148:8; 165:4 somewhere 71:17; 89:16; 113:4; 137:21; 138:7, 16: 142:3; 147:15 son 10:15;55:19 soon 38:7 sophisticated 113:13 sophomore 110:19 sorry 19:20; 52:15; 117:4; 152:6; 173:25; 180:2 sort 4:1; 5:15; 50:7, 12; 58:5, 15; 97:4; 100:6; 103:11; 132:9; 141:2; 143:2; 170:7; 172:16, 25; 188:14; 197:6; 198:6 sounds 80:11; 135:19 sources 89:19 **SPAHR** 99:19 Spahr 12:19 Spahr 5:19;6:10; 21:19; 46:23; 96:8; 115:20; 121:3, 4, 7; 155:14; 159:7, speak 7:1, 22; 15:12, 14; 35:5; 57:24; 112:11; 143:5; 157:6; 164:4; 166:24; 173:16; 174:9;

182:17; 184:4; 186:19;

ertha E. Spahr (ay 19, 2005

Tammy Kitzmiller, et al. v. Dover Area School District, et al.

77:22; 181:9; 185:25; 36:2, 12; 187:2; 191:18, peaking 15:18; 50:16; 1:3; 167:16; 177:14 pecies 33:17; 52:13; 8:7, 9, 25; 59:1, 3, 4 pecific 32:22; 47:11; 0:9; 56:24; 57:9, 20; 1:19:69:21;70:11;71:8; 3:17; 79:5; 82:3; 91:15**,** 12: 92:24; 98:12; 103:1; 154:6; 198:4 specifically 7:11; 13:17; 14:10:15:11;36:6;54:14; 56:22; 58:2, 6; 65:17; 74:12;75:10,11,13; 80:14: 84:4; 87:9, 22; 88:25; 118:15; 121:23; 122:4; 134:20; 140:5; 159:21; 164:6; 171:19; 177:3, 7; 181:1, 9; 182:4; 184:16; 191:5, 15, 19, 21, 25; 192:6 specifics 70:10, 24, 25; 71:3; 80:16; 166:19; 167:15 spend 117:22 spoke 9:25; 10:23, 24; 33:10; 61:17; 71:9; 73:6, 8; 88:11:154:22;163:12; 166:23, 23, 25; 176:5; 190:15; 192:15; 198:12 spoken 7:5; 9:21; 10:6, 11, 20; 12:22; 13:1; 15:20; 16:20, 22, 25; 18:5; 19:15, 17; 20:9; 39:20; 156:21; 170:18; 187:7, 11; 191:21 spray 132:22 Spring 6:12; 32:15; 33:10; 34:4, 10, 13; 55:21, 21: 83:17; 95:5, 11; 96:1; 127:11: 128:7 stack 155:1 staff 7:24; 22:21; 36:1, 4; 86:24; 139:16; 146:13; 150:14; 152:20; 157:22; 160:14; 162:11; 188:4; 193:22; 198:23; 199:9, 10, stamp 138:13; 141:8 stamped 128:18; 132:21 standard 39:1 standards 8:9; 11:18; 38:14, 25; 40:2, 4; 41:6; 49:2, 6, 13, 21; 62:6, 12; 129:24; 148:17, 20 standards-driven 38:23 standing 197:14 standpoint 98:10, 19; 101:19; 106:16; 137:8 stands 163:14 stapled 124:9 start 24:3; 56:14; 65:20; 71:5; 89:2; 112:15; 129:9; 143:18; 147:23; 153:22; 158:24

started 34:4, 9; 153:24 starts 134:11 state 6:8; 8:9; 11:18; 38:11, 25; 40:2, 4; 41:6; 49:2, 6, 11, 12, 20; 62:6, 12; 100:18; 148:20 stated 166:9 statement 8:5; 11:24; 19:19; 37:21; 38:21; 46:10; 73:20, 23; 74:18; 75:1, 16; 84:15; 139:22; 140:13; 141:20; 146:1; 147:16; 149:5, 9; 153:21; 158:7, 8; 159:2, 16, 17; 161:6, 16; 162:25; 167:25; 172:10, 11, 18; 174:3, 4; 177:2; 179:17; 185:23; 186:1; 187:22; 188:1, 2, 15, 23; 189:1; 190:8, 8; 191:17; 195:12 statements 45:22; 56:24; 71:15; 73:17; 74:8; 88:5; 110:6, 24; 171:5; 174:16; 191:6 states 146:11 Station 27:4 stationary 86:8 stay 70:19 stayed 27:3 steals 194:14 stemmed 195:14 step 128:15 stick 70:11 sticking 84:22 sticks 88:8 still 32:8; 59:23; 78:23; 79:3, 9, 11; 114:9; 116:17; 130:21; 151:10; 181:11; 193:10; 194:20 stipulated 2194:20 STIPULATION 194:20 stood 74:3, 20; 158:6; 197:11 stop 52:15; 82:1; 94:19; 131:20:145:3 stopped 13:10; 135:8 store 169:17 story 2069:17 story 23:8; 53:17; 87:4; 128:15 strange 36:12 stress 101:24 strong 184:14; 194:22 strongly 180:24; 183:22 STS 72:12 student 40:25; 47:24; 48:3, 15; 69:11; 81:13; 89:21, 24; 93:9, 13, 23; 110:21; 115:3, 5; 117:24; 118:14; 127:23; 186:23; 194:3 student's 197:9 students 19:1; 25:24;

40:14; 47:21; 48:8, 13;

68:16, 17; 71:11; 89:18;

93:18; 109:4; 110:20; 113:2, 16; 118:5, 10, 20; 126:11; 130:20; 133:9; 134:11; 164:15 stuff 57:22 subject 10:7; 12:23; 19:16; 20:10; 25:7; 31:16; 39:17:40:4;50:10,13; 57:20; 59:20; 60:22, 24; 61:1; 62:3; 72:22; 78:21; 98:15; 109:15; 130:24; 192:13 submitted 60:2; 150:19 subpoena 8:11, 14; 14:15; 120:25 subsequent 53:12; 106:2: 162:19: 175:16; 195:10 Subsequently 94:9 substance 167:16 substitutes 124:16, 17 successfully 182:13 sufficient 67:3 suggested 52:24; 90:15; 93:11, 20, 21; 118:7 suggesting 54:18; 77:11 suggestion 52:11, 17; 54:21, 22, 23; 55:11; 77:9; 79:17, 22; 118:16; 127:24; 137:24 suggestions 93:24; 188:8 suitable 109:25; 110:19 sum 120:17 summarize 159:14 summarizes 45:10 Summary 173:8 summer 25:23; 34:5; 54:3; 60:7, 12; 65:22; 70:2; 94:23; 95:11; 96:13; 105:22 Sunday 170:18 superintendent 130:16 supervisor 85:16 supplementary 116:12 supplies 129:7 supply 11:6 support 148:10; 157:8, 11, 16, 22; 159:22; 166:17; 170:20 supportive 19:1; 29:14 supposed 113:2 sure 5:5; 9:9, 14, 17; 10:3; 14:10, 23; 27:9; 28:13; 29:1; 33:9; 37:9; 40:23; 41:10; 43:15; 44:9; 46:10; 49:4: 52:23: 53:16: 61:17; 74:19, 24; 78:13, 19; 88:24, 24; 89:23; 111:9; 116:25; 120:21; 122:18; 126:16; 129:2; 131:23; 135:3; 140:7; 156:7; 158:10, 11; 164:9; 165:25; 166:9; 173:13; 182:25; 191:17; 195:15; 197:21; 199:17

surmised 172:16 surprised 134:3,5; 162:16 surprising 4:4 surrounding 31:18; 188:15 Survey 76:14 survive 58:20 Sutton 175:2 switched 47:19; 114:21 sworn 10114:21 synonym 160:20 system 9:4; 81:25 systems 125:23

T

table 97:18; 106:10; 143:20; 144:5; 149:22; 163:23 tabled 96:21; 106:15 tables 20:2 tablet 156:17 talk 6:25; 9:17; 30:8; 34:10, 41:9, 51:17; 173:16, 18; 177:10 talked 53:10;71:22;94:1; 106:13; 112:24; 186:25 talking 22:8; 62:13; 75:16; 83:8; 92:23; 100:25; 119:25; 122:21; 187:17 talks 127:1 taught 24:15; 25:17; 30:19; 33:13, 21; 36:24; 37:6, 9, 14; 38:13; 39:4; 47:20; 50:1; 81:8; 138:20; 141:9, 14, 21; 146:16; 150:16; 176:19; 195:16; taxpayer 149:8 teach 25:15; 30:18; 41:5, 19; 42:5, 16; 43:8; 85:22; 87:24; 108:23; 123:6; 126:11; 142:7; 146:18, 20; 148:15; 160:19; 161:8 teacher 9:22; 22:19; 23:22; 24:10, 11; 28:12; 29:12; 40:6; 41:13; 55:15; 56:5, 9; 58:13; 89:25; 93:1, 22, 23; 109:8; 110:17; 123:5; 125:14; 175:20; 176:2, 21; 181:10, 20; 184:3; 186:23; 188:12, 13 teacher's 93:8; 145:19 teachers 8:16; 11:22; 16:10, 11; 23:11; 30:23; 31:9, 10; 32:2; 38:11; 39:15, 16; 40:16, 24; 41:19; 42:4, 25; 45:19, 19, 20; 46:3, 4, 7, 12; 48:10; 50:9; 53:1; 55:14, 18; 58:1, 8; 60:6; 63:1; 65:19; 66:25; 74:22: 85:11; 87:24; 88:22; 92:16; 108:23; 109:5; 119:5; 128:19;

129:12, 23; 136:1; 137:3; 139:5; 146:17, 20, 22; 147:3; 148:4, 7; 150:18, 24; 152:17; 153:18; 160:23; 161:7; 182:4; 188:18, 25 teaches 123:6; 175:20 teaching 11:18, 22; 25:22; 30:24; 48:14; 85:7, 19: 87:13: 109:9; 121:14; 123:2; 147:14, 16; 162:1; 168:4; 189:13 technology 72:13 technoscience 25:16 telling 38:15; 145:14 temper 174:10 ten 24:19,23 tends 4:20 tenth 47:21; 48:6; 72:16 tenure 27:16; 35:13; 43:13 term 37:1; 101:10; 112:7, 10 terms 30:14; 38:24; 60:22; 80:15; 96:22; 139:11; 142:10; 158:17; 163:17; 167:20; 170:19 test 39:5 testable 112:1; 189:23 tested 189:24 testified 1089:24 tests 126:12 Texas 100:16, 17; 110:11 textbook 11:23; 23:25; 31:23; 65:22, 25; 70:8; 77:8; 91:24; 94:16; 106:21; 141:17 textbooks 8:7; 32:3; 43:17, 18; 47:14, 15, 24; 48:1, 2, 9; 52:6; 65:2; 99:1; 115:16 texts 48:12; 72:7; 79:18 thanked 186:3 Thanks 67:19; 104:12 themes 170:8 theories 37:14; 39:3, 8; 113:3; 121:14; 123:7; 133:11; 134:13; 136:12; 137:13 theory 29:19; 31:3, 13, 20; 36:22; 38:12; 39:24; 40:11, 11; 41:22; 42:7; 43:7; 45:18; 59:21; 88:3; 92:2; 97:20; 98:11, 13, 21, 24; 99:13; 103:3; 108:2, 19, 25; 111:6, 16, 22; 121:17; 133:11; 134:12; 168:21; 176:13; 178:22; 189:7 therefore 28:14; 48:10, 15, 24; 67:4; 69:24; 72:25; 97:13: 100:18: 125:15; 134:2; 169:1; 189:4, 11, 25 thereof 117:21 thinking 35:14; 57:18; 109:1; 133:23; 152:1

third 25:4; 121:11; 137:20 Thomas 14:18 though 30:15; 35:22; 64:1; 66:11; 93:24; 104:25; 113:16; 161:5; 166:25: 172:20 thought 18:24; 27:6; 49:21; 54:7; 59:15: 67:24; 69:22; 82:13; 94:17; 98:8; 102:5; 116:23; 118:4, 23; 140:22; 149:19; 150:1; 151:15; 152:16; 161:18. 20; 168:18 thoughts 29:15; 133:7; 136:3; 172:9 thousand 73:21 three 12:9; 36:12; 97:15; 104:24; 107:23, 23; 128:1; 132:12: 136:18, 19; 151:17, 19; 152:19; 165:1, 9, 23; 190:21; 192:1, 21; 197:12, 15 three-month 62:20 throughout 4:5; 54:7 throwing 166:13 throws 60:21 thrust 14:20; 58:3; 97:23; 159:12 Thursday 7:15; 196:7 ticket 182:13 tie 114:20 tied 59:19; 198:4 time-tested 111:16 times 58:12; 103:14 tired 169:1 title 141:16; 142:6 titled 82:18; 136:19 today 5:10, 19; 7:2; 8:21; 23:7; 43:12; 53:19; 58:5; 70:12, 24: 72:13; 127:3; 146:15; 192:12, 14 together 7:8, 19; 13:12; 15:5, 6: 32:2; 56:20; 63:1; 67:16; 137:23; 195:12 token 5:1 told 32:6; 36:6; 43:11; 51:24; 88:2; 118:11; 136:4; 138:17, 21; 139:3, 3; 140:18; 156:3; 171:5; 173:16, 19; 174:10; 186:4; 194:8, 16; 196:23, 24 Tom 14:18: 179:2 tone 57:15 took 30:17; 32:10; 72:16; 96:24; 163:9; 165:12; 166:2; 179:20; 183:6 top 46:22; 75:23; 76:19, 22, 25; 82:14; 95:2; 124:3; 130:5; 155:3, 5; 173:1; 180:19 topic 41:24; 123:22; 142:12; 180:22, 24 topics 48:21 total 183:12 touch 50:2

touched 43:13; 62:21 touching 141:10 tough 164:21 toward 149:22 Towards 65:13; 190:6 traditional 81:16; 153:24 traditionally 31:23 trained 43:1, 2 training 34:3 transcribes 4:2 transcript 4:15 transpired 115:8 tray 86:11; 199:16 treat 162:3 treated 162:10 treatment 162:22 tree \$8:19, 23 tremendous 65:23 trial 75:23 tried 30:5; 110:9, 14; 118:22, 25; 125:3; 180:21 trigger 75:18; 131:8; 152:21:168:11 trouble 118:21 Trudy 59:11; 192:24 true 50:15 truly 118:21; 195:13, 15 try 4:5; 7:19; 23:7; 49:10; 180:24; 184:15; 186:5 trying 4:23; 12:10; 34:8; 40:24; 44:20; 49:24; 53:22; 54:1; 60:5, 14; 78:23; 113:11; 118:18, 21; 129:8: 135:19; 141:1; 145:12; 148:21; 159:11; 183:24; 198:3, 7 Tuesday 175:4; 196:8 turn 43:20; 60:16; 87:1; 94:24, 25; 136:18 twenty-five 161:25 twice 197:9 two 12:9; 13:21; 25:8; 28:25; 36:11; 44:22; 46:24; 49:8, 9, 19; 76:12, 22, 25; 77:4; 96:14; 97:9, 15; 98:15; 99:13; 108:13; 115:17; 116:2; 117:9; 118:20; 130:17; 145:6; 152:19; 154:12, 13; 160:24; 170:8, 8; 171:1; 177:23; 188:18; 190:13; 197:12, 14 two-year 49:15 type 169:24 typed 92:10; 105:17

U

UCC 179:4 Um-hum 131:15 unclear 4:22; 152:6 uncomfortable 5:11, 13; 41:23; 43:1; 170:4, 23

uncommon 54:10; 131:19; 174:2 unconstitutional 160:19 under 1960:19 under 39:2; 69:22; 73:8; 74:6: 130:16; 134:9; 137:11; 139:23; 141:18; 145:6; 155:2; 158:11; 164:4; 173:7 underlying 87:5 Understood 103:23; 111:20; 146:24; 148:21 untold 23:8 unfolded 56:16 unfolds 53:17 unfortunately 169:4 union 22:19; 158:1 unique 4:1 Unit 134:10; 137:11 University 76:17; 77:18; 80:6 unlawful 160:18 unless 115:2; 185:6 untenured 160:24 unusuai 150:24 up 5:3; 6:20; 19:19; 24:1; 29:9, 21, 25; 30:4; 32:12; 33:5; 35:12; 37:7; 40:4; 43:10, 12; 46:1, 5, 18; 51:16;54:13;56:18; 57:21; 58:12; 59:4; 61:14; 68:9; 74:3, 16, 20; 87:2; 89:17; 90:8; 91:9, 11; 100:15, 16; 101:4, 6; 104:19; 110:9; 114:19; 119:18, 20; 120:17; 123:4, 22; 126:12; 128:3; 134:14; 142:3, 24; 147:16; 149:15; 152:5; 154:8; 158:6; 162:12; 165:18; 167:6; 172:4; 174:2; 175:13; 186:2; 188:6 upcoming 148:23; 149:1 updated 71:12;95:24 uphold 70:6 upper 47:1; 50:25; 66:20; 92:18:116:3;121:11; 131:11; 132:22; 155:13 upright 81:19 upset 139:16; 167:1 upsetting 64:15 usable 32:9; 69:5 usage 47:8; 83:11 use 6:25; 9:12; 48:12, 18; 68:16, 22; 69:2, 11; 77:8; 108:9; 117:15; 118:10; 119:1 used 23:11; 37:3; 47:14, 15: 48:1: 68:11, 13, 18; 76:14; 90:10; 93:22; 102:9; 118:9; 130:19; 134:1 useful 69:9 using 37:1;65:21;83:9;

usually 19:3; 35:15; 60:4; 63:16, 18; 112:16; 129:16; 138:24; 154:17; 156:17; 160:13; 190:15

V

vacancies 180:10 vacation 96:13; 107:3 vacations 105:24 validity 108:8, 11 variations 165:8 variety 50:14 Various 25:1; 32:3; 39:25; 50:5, 13; 63:2; 190:11 varying 30:16 verbal 4:3; 163:15; 166:16 verbally 4:4 verbiage 98:1; 145:4 verses 74:4 version 18:23; 136:15; 137:3, 21; 145:8, 16, 19; 147:2; 151:5 versions 99:14; 140:15; 149:2, 16; 152:19 versus 95:3; 100:24 veteran 40:6; 55:14 via 10:24 video 107:22, 25; 108:3, 6, 13, 14 videos 107:23 videotaped 17:3; 191:23 videotapes 107:19 view 108:14 viewed 107:25; 145:14 viewing 108:3 viewpoints 2208:3 virtually 12:16 visible 197:18, 22 vocabulary 113:13; 118:6 vocal 170:2 voice 88:21 voiced 195:8 Volume 125:9 vote 94:16; 114:18, 20; 115:22; 139:23; 143:23; 154:21: 157:15; 161:12; 165:12, 18; 166:4; 174:21; 176:7; 183:14, 14 voted 115:1; 160:4; 187:12 votes 183:7 voting 143:24; 165:13; 166:14

W

waiting 40:1 waived 50:1 walk 161:2; 177:22 waiked 144:4 walking 149:22 wall 86:1, 7; 198:25; 199:14 walls 199:7 waste 65:23: 104:23 watch 23:8 watching 108:6 way 19:21; 23:18; 24:2; 25:4; 33:20; 39:21; 40:7; 45:16; 50:3, 8; 54:5; 67:15; 79:6; 84:25; 88:3; 92:6; 102:3; 121:12; 124:4; 127:3; 134:25; 141:2; 149:21; 151:7; 156:2; 163:6, 9, 13, 19; 169:7; 179:17; 185:2 ways 31:10 website 9:5 Wednesday 7:15 week 7:10, 10, 16; 112:16, 21 weekend 82:10; 193:17; 194:6; 196:5 weeks 115:17; 129:4 well-known 192:3 Wenrich 19:15, 23; 177:23; 186:8 weren't 34:5; 153:10; 183:2 what's 24:23; 27:21; 87:7; 128:14 whatsoever 131:9 whereby 89:24 wherever 89:1; 119:22 who's 21:10; 138:21; 139:3 whole 8:16; 11:20; 70:19; 71:23; 81:21; 102:11; 121:2; 166:1; 195:14 whomever 173:17; 174:10 whose 21:19:76:23; 77:25; 171:13 willing 53:6; 108:10, 24, willingness 168:15 Winston 79:20 wish 148:18 within 30:16; 49:19; 58:25; 59:3; 68:18; 115:14, 17; 175:21 without 71:25; 72:20; 132:2; 140:23; 148:12; 163:25; 171:3 witness 9171:3 witness 24:6 woman 64:12:74:3; 183:2 won 182:13 word 27:9; 32:10; 37:3; 98:3; 101:4; 168:16;

127:19

170:22; 173:7

iertha E. Spahr 1ay 19, 2005 Dover Area School District, et al. v.

4ay 19, 2005		 	
9:3; 101:22; 102:9; 11:4; 117:10; 134:23, 24; 42:6; 154:7; 177:24	197:12; 198:1		
ork 24:3; 51:8; 65:21; 05:20; 129:23, 23; 74:17; 186:16			
worked 15:5; 19:23; 68:24			
working 23:12; 36:2; 60:7			
world 184:21			
worry 29:3; 144:9, 9	,		•
wrapping 61:14 wrench 89:8			·
write 12:8			
writer 190:20 writing 76:11; 104:2; 178:11; 188:9			
written 12:8; 27:21;	*		
35:24; 105:15; 125:13; 132:1; 148:15; 153:3;			
161:9; 178:9; 193:4 wrong 169:7			
wrote 49:15; 99:3; 124:24; 156:18; 184:21; 188:6			
X			
XI-A 136:19; 145:8, 16 XI-B 136:19, 22; 138:13; 145:19; 150:4,7 XI-C 136:20; 141:7; 145:10, 23; 146:5, 7, 10; 147:24, 25; 150:5, 9, 15; 153:7, 8; 155:23; 156:2			
XIII 106:9; 130:13	-		
Y			
yard 10:16 year 10:5; 13:16; 24:15, 15; 28:24; 31:22, 25; 32:7 39:4; 44:12, 15, 18; 45:3; 47:19, 23; 48:2; 49:6, 7,			
12; 55:21; 60:11, 22; 61:5 8, 25; 62:16, 18; 63:6; 65:20; 66:5; 68:15; 72:15 19, 23; 83:17; 95:25; 108:16; 112:17, 19;			
170:13; 175:20; 192:12; 196:12; 197:9, 10, 16			·
year's 66:7 years 12:10; 24:5, 19, 2' 25; 28:25; 29:1, 2; 44:22 49:8, 9; 68:12; 72:6; 73:2 97:15; 99:24; 104:24;	; 21;		
161:23; 169:21; 193:13; 195:11; 197:12, 15	· •		
yesterday 23:12; 58:15 176:6; 199:6, 18 Yingling 19:10, 12; 75:	, in the second second		
1 ingling 19:10, 12, 75.	"		

114:21; 185:16 York 77:7, 7 young 36:1; 160:24;